

**Risk theory and risk management in actuarial science**  
**Winter term 2018/19**

**6th work sheet**

41. Consider a default-free 0-coupon bond with maturity  $T$  and face value 1 as well as a risky counterpart with the same maturity and the same face value. Assume that the market of these bonds is arbitrage free and let  $\mathbb{Q}$  be a risk-neutral probability measure such that the discounted prices of the bonds are martingales with respect to  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Let  $Q_T := \mathbb{Q}(\tau < T)$  be the risk-neutral probability of default before  $T$ .
- (a) Assume that the recovery rate  $1 - \delta$  is constant and that  $1 - \delta = 0$ . Assume moreover that the interest rate is a constant  $r$ . Derive a relationship between  $Q_T$  and the prices  $p_0(0, T)$  and  $p_1(0, T)$  of the default-free bond and the risky bond, at time  $t = 0$ , respectively.
- (b) Let  $Y_i(0, T) := -\frac{\ln p_i(0, T)}{T}$  be the yield of the default-free bond and the yield of the risky bond, for  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ , respectively. Let  $S_1(0, t) := Y_1(0, t) - Y_0(0, t)$  be the risky bond's yield spread. Write the relationship obtained in (a) in terms of  $S_1(0, t)$ .
- (c) Consider a risky 0-coupon bond  $B_1$  with maturity 5 years and yield spread 1.3% and a defaultable 0-coupon bond  $B_2$  with maturity 10 years and yield spread 1.7%, both issued by the same company. Estimate the risk-neutral probability that  $B_2$  defaults before maturity but 5 years after its emission.
42. (a) Consider a risky 0-coupon bond with face value 1, 5 years maturity and a yield spread of 0.5%. Assume that according to data provided by some rating agency the historical 5 year default probability of this bond is  $P_T := \mathbb{P}(\tau < 5) = 0.57\%$ . Denote by  $R_1$  the objective (and constant) discount rate appropriate for this risky bond. Compute  $Q_T$  and show that  $R_1 - r = \frac{1}{T} \ln \left( \frac{1 - P_T}{1 - Q_T} \right)$  holds, where  $r$  and  $Q_T$  have the same meaning as in Exercise 41. How would you interpret  $R_1 - r$  from the point of view of an investor (i.e. bond holder)?
- (b) Consider again the situation as in (a) with the only modification that the recovery rate is  $1 - \delta = 0.5$  in the *recovery of face* model. Compute  $Q_T$  in this case, compare it to the value of  $Q_T$  obtained in (a) and give an interpretation of the results.