Corollary: Let F be a c.d.f. with continuous marginal d.f. F_1, \ldots, F_d . The unique copula C of F is given as :

$$C(u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_d) = F(F_1^{\leftarrow}(u_1), F_2^{\leftarrow}(u_2), \ldots, F_d^{\leftarrow}(u_d)).$$

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のへぐ

Corollary: Let F be a c.d.f. with continuous marginal d.f. F_1, \ldots, F_d . The unique copula C of F is given as :

$$C(u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_d) = F(F_1^{\leftarrow}(u_1), F_2^{\leftarrow}(u_2), \ldots, F_d^{\leftarrow}(u_d)).$$

Theorem: (Copula invariance w.r.t. strictly monotone transformations) Let $X = (X_1, X_2, ..., X_d)^T$ be a random vector with continuous marginal d.f. $F_1, F_2, ..., F_d$ and copula C. Let $T_1, T_2, ..., T_d$ be strictly monotone increasing functions in IR. Then C is also the copula of $(T_1(X_1), T_2(X_2), ..., T_d(X_d))^T$.

Corollary: Let F be a c.d.f. with continuous marginal d.f. F_1, \ldots, F_d . The unique copula C of F is given as :

$$C(u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_d) = F(F_1^{\leftarrow}(u_1), F_2^{\leftarrow}(u_2), \ldots, F_d^{\leftarrow}(u_d)).$$

Theorem: (Copula invariance w.r.t. strictly monotone transformations) Let $X = (X_1, X_2, ..., X_d)^T$ be a random vector with continuous marginal d.f. $F_1, F_2, ..., F_d$ and copula C. Let $T_1, T_2, ..., T_d$ be strictly monotone increasing functions in \mathbb{R} . Then C is also the copula of $(T_1(X_1), T_2(X_2), ..., T_d(X_d))^T$.

Example: Let $X = (X_1, ..., X_d) \sim N_d(0, \Sigma)$ with $\Sigma = R$ being the correlation matrix of X. Let ϕ_R and ϕ be the c.d.f of X and X_1 , resp.. The copula of X is called a *Gaussian copula* and is denoted by C_R^{Ga} :

$$C_R^{Ga}(u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_d) = \phi_R(\phi^{-1}(u_1), \phi^{-1}(u_2), \ldots, \phi^{-1}(u_d)).$$

Corollary: Let F be a c.d.f. with continuous marginal d.f. F_1, \ldots, F_d . The unique copula C of F is given as :

$$C(u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_d) = F(F_1^{\leftarrow}(u_1), F_2^{\leftarrow}(u_2), \ldots, F_d^{\leftarrow}(u_d)).$$

Theorem: (Copula invariance w.r.t. strictly monotone transformations) Let $X = (X_1, X_2, ..., X_d)^T$ be a random vector with continuous marginal d.f. $F_1, F_2, ..., F_d$ and copula C. Let $T_1, T_2, ..., T_d$ be strictly monotone increasing functions in \mathbb{R} . Then C is also the copula of $(T_1(X_1), T_2(X_2), ..., T_d(X_d))^T$.

Example: Let $X = (X_1, ..., X_d) \sim N_d(0, \Sigma)$ with $\Sigma = R$ being the correlation matrix of X. Let ϕ_R and ϕ be the c.d.f of X and X_1 , resp.. The copula of X is called a *Gaussian copula* and is denoted by C_R^{Ga} :

$$C_R^{Ga}(u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_d) = \phi_R(\phi^{-1}(u_1), \phi^{-1}(u_2), \ldots, \phi^{-1}(u_d)).$$

 C_R^{Ga} is the copula of any non-degenerate normal distribution $N_d(\mu, \Sigma)$ with correlation matrix R.

Corollary: Let F be a c.d.f. with continuous marginal d.f. F_1, \ldots, F_d . The unique copula C of F is given as :

$$C(u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_d) = F(F_1^{\leftarrow}(u_1), F_2^{\leftarrow}(u_2), \ldots, F_d^{\leftarrow}(u_d)).$$

Theorem: (Copula invariance w.r.t. strictly monotone transformations) Let $X = (X_1, X_2, ..., X_d)^T$ be a random vector with continuous marginal d.f. $F_1, F_2, ..., F_d$ and copula C. Let $T_1, T_2, ..., T_d$ be strictly monotone increasing functions in \mathbb{R} . Then C is also the copula of $(T_1(X_1), T_2(X_2), ..., T_d(X_d))^T$.

Example: Let $X = (X_1, ..., X_d) \sim N_d(0, \Sigma)$ with $\Sigma = R$ being the correlation matrix of X. Let ϕ_R and ϕ be the c.d.f of X and X_1 , resp.. The copula of X is called a *Gaussian copula* and is denoted by C_R^{Ga} :

$$C_R^{Ga}(u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_d) = \phi_R(\phi^{-1}(u_1), \phi^{-1}(u_2), \ldots, \phi^{-1}(u_d)).$$

 C_R^{Ga} is the copula of any non-degenerate normal distribution $N_d(\mu, \Sigma)$ with correlation matrix R.

For d=2 and $ho=\textit{R}_{12}\in(-1,1)$ we have :

$$C_{R}^{Ga}(u_{1}, u_{2}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\phi^{-1}(u_{1})} \int_{-\infty}^{\phi^{-1}(u_{2})} \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{1-\rho^{2}}} \exp\left\{\frac{-(x_{1}^{2}-2\rho x_{1}x_{2}+x_{2}^{2})}{2(1-\rho^{2})}\right\} dx_{1} dx_{2}$$

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

Theorem: (Fréchet bounds)

The following inequalities hold for any *d*-dimensional copula *C* and any $(u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_d) \in [0, 1]^d$, where $d \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$\max\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{d} u_{k} - d + 1, 0\right\} \leq C(u_{1}, u_{2}, \dots, u_{d}) \leq \min\{u_{1}, u_{2}, \dots, u_{d}\}.$$

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

Theorem: (Fréchet bounds)

The following inequalities hold for any *d*-dimensional copula *C* and any $(u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_d) \in [0, 1]^d$, where $d \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$\max\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{d} u_k - d + 1, 0\right\} \le C(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_d) \le \min\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_d\}.$$

Notation: Lower bound =: W_d , upper bound =: M_d , for $d \ge 2$. For d = 2 we write $M := M_2$, $W := W_2$.

Theorem: (Fréchet bounds)

The following inequalities hold for any *d*-dimensional copula *C* and any $(u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_d) \in [0, 1]^d$, where $d \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$\max\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{d} u_{k} - d + 1, 0\right\} \leq C(u_{1}, u_{2}, \dots, u_{d}) \leq \min\{u_{1}, u_{2}, \dots, u_{d}\}.$$

Notation: Lower bound =: W_d , upper bound =: M_d , for $d \ge 2$. For d = 2 we write $M := M_2$, $W := W_2$.

Remark: Analogous inequalities hold for any general c.d.f. *F* with marginal d.f. F_i , $1 \le i \le d$:

$$\max\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{d} F_k(x_k) - d + 1, 0\right\} \le F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d) \le \min\{F_1(x_1), F_2(x_2), \dots, F_d(x_d)\}$$

Theorem: (Fréchet bounds)

The following inequalities hold for any *d*-dimensional copula *C* and any $(u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_d) \in [0, 1]^d$, where $d \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$\max\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{d} u_{k} - d + 1, 0\right\} \leq C(u_{1}, u_{2}, \dots, u_{d}) \leq \min\{u_{1}, u_{2}, \dots, u_{d}\}.$$

Notation: Lower bound =: W_d , upper bound =: M_d , for $d \ge 2$. For d = 2 we write $M := M_2$, $W := W_2$.

Remark: Analogous inequalities hold for any general c.d.f. *F* with marginal d.f. F_i , $1 \le i \le d$:

$$\max\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{d} F_k(x_k) - d + 1, 0\right\} \le F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d) \le \min\{F_1(x_1), F_2(x_2), \dots, F_d(x_d)\}.$$

Exercise: The Fréchet lower bound W_d is not a copula for $d \ge 3$.

Hint: Check that the rectangle inequality
$$\sum_{k_1=1}^2 \sum_{k_2=1}^2 \dots \sum_{k_d=1}^2 (-1)^{k_1+k_2+\dots+k_d} W_d(u_{1k_1}, u_{2k_2}, \dots, u_{dk_d}) \ge 0 \text{ with } u_{j1} = a_j \text{ and } u_{j2} = b_j \text{ for } j \in \{1, 2, \dots, d\}, \text{ is not fulfilled for } d \ge 3 \text{ and } a_i = \frac{1}{2}, b_i = 1, \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, d.$$

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

Theorem: (for a proof see Nelsen 1999) For any $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $d \geq 3$, and any $u \in [0,1]^d$, there exists a copula $C_{d,u}$ such that $C_{d,u}(u) = W_d(u)$.

Theorem: (for a proof see Nelsen 1999) For any $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $d \geq 3$, and any $u \in [0,1]^d$, there exists a copula $C_{d,u}$ such that $C_{d,u}(u) = W_d(u)$.

Remark 1: The Fréchet upper bound M_d is a copula for any $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $d \ge 2$.

Theorem: (for a proof see Nelsen 1999) For any $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $d \geq 3$, and any $u \in [0,1]^d$, there exists a copula $C_{d,u}$ such that $C_{d,u}(u) = W_d(u)$.

Remark 1: The Fréchet upper bound M_d is a copula for any $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $d \geq 2$.

The fulfillment of the three copula axioms is simple to check.

Theorem: (for a proof see Nelsen 1999) For any $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $d \geq 3$, and any $u \in [0,1]^d$, there exists a copula $C_{d,u}$ such that $C_{d,u}(u) = W_d(u)$.

Remark 1: The Fréchet upper bound M_d is a copula for any $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $d \ge 2$.

The fulfillment of the three copula axioms is simple to check.

Remark 2: *M* and *W* are copulas.

Theorem: (for a proof see Nelsen 1999) For any $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $d \geq 3$, and any $u \in [0,1]^d$, there exists a copula $C_{d,u}$ such that $C_{d,u}(u) = W_d(u)$.

Remark 1: The Fréchet upper bound M_d is a copula for any $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $d \geq 2$.

The fulfillment of the three copula axioms is simple to check.

Remark 2: *M* and *W* are copulas.

Hint: Let X be a r.v. eine with d.f. F_X , let T be a strictly monotone increasing function, and let S be a strictly monotone decreasing function. Consider the r.v. Y := T(X) and Z := S(X).

Theorem: (for a proof see Nelsen 1999) For any $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $d \geq 3$, and any $u \in [0,1]^d$, there exists a copula $C_{d,u}$ such that $C_{d,u}(u) = W_d(u)$.

Remark 1: The Fréchet upper bound M_d is a copula for any $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $d \ge 2$.

The fulfillment of the three copula axioms is simple to check.

Remark 2: *M* and *W* are copulas.

Hint: Let X be a r.v. eine with d.f. F_X , let T be a strictly monotone increasing function, and let S be a strictly monotone decreasing function. Consider the r.v. Y := T(X) and Z := S(X).

Then M is the copula of $(X, T(X))^T$ and W is the copula of $(X, S(X))^T$.

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

Definition: X_1 and X_2 are called co-monotone if M is a copula of $(X_1, X_2)^T$. X_1 snd X_2 are called anti-monotone if W is a copula of $(X_1, X_2)^T$.

Definition: X_1 and X_2 are called co-monotone if M is a copula of $(X_1, X_2)^T$. X_1 snd X_2 are called anti-monotone if W is a copula of $(X_1, X_2)^T$.

Theorem: Assume that W or M is a copula of $(X_1, X_2)^T$. Then there exist two monotone functions $\alpha, \beta \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ and a r.v. Z, such that

$$(X_1, X_2) \stackrel{d}{=} (\alpha(Z), \beta(Z)).$$

If *M* is the copula of $(X_1, X_2)^T$, then both α and β are monotone increasing, if *W* is the copula of $(X_1, X_2)^T$, then one of the functions α , β is monotone increasing and the other one is monotone decreasing.

Definition: X_1 and X_2 are called co-monotone if M is a copula of $(X_1, X_2)^T$. X_1 snd X_2 are called anti-monotone if W is a copula of $(X_1, X_2)^T$.

Theorem: Assume that W or M is a copula of $(X_1, X_2)^T$. Then there exist two monotone functions $\alpha, \beta \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ and a r.v. Z, such that

$$(X_1, X_2) \stackrel{d}{=} (\alpha(Z), \beta(Z)).$$

If M is the copula of $(X_1, X_2)^T$, then both α and β are monotone increasing, if W is the copula of $(X_1, X_2)^T$, then one of the functions α , β is monotone increasing and the other one is monotone decreasing.

If C is the copula of (X_1, X_2) and the marginal d.f. F_1 and F_2 of (X_1, X_2) are continuous, then the following hold:

C = W iff $X_2 \stackrel{a.s.}{=} T(X_1)$ with $T = F_2^{\leftarrow} \circ (1 - F_1)$ monotone decreasing, C = M iff $X_2 \stackrel{a.s.}{=} T(X_1)$ with $T = F_2^{\leftarrow} \circ F_1$ monotone increasing.

Definition: X_1 and X_2 are called co-monotone if M is a copula of $(X_1, X_2)^T$. X_1 snd X_2 are called anti-monotone if W is a copula of $(X_1, X_2)^T$.

Theorem: Assume that W or M is a copula of $(X_1, X_2)^T$. Then there exist two monotone functions $\alpha, \beta \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ and a r.v. Z, such that

$$(X_1, X_2) \stackrel{d}{=} (\alpha(Z), \beta(Z)).$$

If M is the copula of $(X_1, X_2)^T$, then both α and β are monotone increasing, if W is the copula of $(X_1, X_2)^T$, then one of the functions α , β is monotone increasing and the other one is monotone decreasing.

If C is the copula of (X_1, X_2) and the marginal d.f. F_1 and F_2 of (X_1, X_2) are continuous, then the following hold:

C = W iff $X_2 \stackrel{a.s.}{=} T(X_1)$ with $T = F_2^{\leftarrow} \circ (1 - F_1)$ monotone decreasing, C = M iff $X_2 \stackrel{a.s.}{=} T(X_1)$ with $T = F_2^{\leftarrow} \circ F_1$ monotone increasing. Proof: In McNeil et al., 2005.

・ロト < 団ト < 三ト < 三ト 三 のへで

Theorem: Let $(X_1, X_2)^T$ be a random vector with marginal d.f. F_1 , F_2 and some unknown copula. Let $var(X_1), var(X_2) \in (0, \infty)$ hold. Then the following statements hold:

Theorem: Let $(X_1, X_2)^T$ be a random vector with marginal d.f. F_1 , F_2 and some unknown copula. Let $var(X_1), var(X_2) \in (0, \infty)$ hold. Then the following statements hold:

1. The possible values of the linear correlation coefficient of X_1 and X_2 build a closed interval $[\rho_{L,min}; \rho_{L,max}]$ with $0 \in [\rho_{L,min}; \rho_{L,max}]$.

Theorem: Let $(X_1, X_2)^T$ be a random vector with marginal d.f. F_1 , F_2 and some unknown copula. Let $var(X_1), var(X_2) \in (0, \infty)$ hold. Then the following statements hold:

- 1. The possible values of the linear correlation coefficient of X_1 and X_2 build a closed interval $[\rho_{L,min}; \rho_{L,max}]$ with $0 \in [\rho_{L,min}; \rho_{L,max}]$.
- 2. The minimal linear correlation $\rho_{L,min}$ is reached iff X_1 and X_2 are anti-monotone. The maximal linear correlation $\rho_{L,max}$ is reached iff X_1 and X_2 are co-monotone.

Theorem: Let $(X_1, X_2)^T$ be a random vector with marginal d.f. F_1 , F_2 and some unknown copula. Let $var(X_1), var(X_2) \in (0, \infty)$ hold. Then the following statements hold:

- 1. The possible values of the linear correlation coefficient of X_1 and X_2 build a closed interval $[\rho_{L,min}; \rho_{L,max}]$ with $0 \in [\rho_{L,min}; \rho_{L,max}]$.
- 2. The minimal linear correlation $\rho_{L,min}$ is reached iff X_1 and X_2 are anti-monotone. The maximal linear correlation $\rho_{L,max}$ is reached iff X_1 and X_2 are co-monotone.

The proof uses the equality of Höffding:

Lemma: (The Höffding equality) Let $(X_1, X_2)^T$ be a random vector with c.d.f. F and marginal d.f. F_1 , F_2 . If $cov(X_1, X_2) < \infty$ then the following equality holds:

$$cov(X_1, X_2) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (F(x_1, x_2) - F_1(x_1)F_2(x_2))dx_1dx_2.$$

Theorem: Let $(X_1, X_2)^T$ be a random vector with marginal d.f. F_1 , F_2 and some unknown copula. Let $var(X_1), var(X_2) \in (0, \infty)$ hold. Then the following statements hold:

- 1. The possible values of the linear correlation coefficient of X_1 and X_2 build a closed interval $[\rho_{L,min}; \rho_{L,max}]$ with $0 \in [\rho_{L,min}; \rho_{L,max}]$.
- 2. The minimal linear correlation $\rho_{L,min}$ is reached iff X_1 and X_2 are anti-monotone. The maximal linear correlation $\rho_{L,max}$ is reached iff X_1 and X_2 are co-monotone.

The proof uses the equality of Höffding:

Lemma: (The Höffding equality) Let $(X_1, X_2)^T$ be a random vector with c.d.f. F and marginal d.f. F_1 , F_2 . If $cov(X_1, X_2) < \infty$ then the following equality holds:

$$cov(X_1, X_2) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (F(x_1, x_2) - F_1(x_1)F_2(x_2)) dx_1 dx_2.$$

Proof in McNeil et al., 2005.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Example: Let X_1 , X_2 be two random variables with $X_1 \sim Lognormal(0,1)$, $X_2 \sim Lognormal(0,\sigma^2)$, $\sigma > 0$. Determine Sie $\rho_{L,min}(X_1, X_2)$ und $\rho_{L,max}(X_1, X_2)$.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Example: Let X_1 , X_2 be two random variables with $X_1 \sim Lognormal(0,1)$, $X_2 \sim Lognormal(0,\sigma^2)$, $\sigma > 0$. Determine Sie $\rho_{L,min}(X_1, X_2)$ und $\rho_{L,max}(X_1, X_2)$.

Hint: Observe that $X_1 \stackrel{d}{=} \exp(Z)$ and $X_2 \stackrel{d}{=} \exp(\sigma Z) \stackrel{d}{=} \exp(-\sigma Z)$. Moreover e^Z , $e^{\sigma Z}$ are co-monotone and e^Z , $e^{-\sigma Z}$ are anti-monotone.

Example: Let X_1 , X_2 be two random variables with $X_1 \sim Lognormal(0,1)$, $X_2 \sim Lognormal(0,\sigma^2)$, $\sigma > 0$. Determine Sie $\rho_{L,min}(X_1, X_2)$ und $\rho_{L,max}(X_1, X_2)$.

Hint: Observe that $X_1 \stackrel{d}{=} \exp(Z)$ and $X_2 \stackrel{d}{=} \exp(\sigma Z) \stackrel{d}{=} \exp(-\sigma Z)$. Moreover e^Z , $e^{\sigma Z}$ are co-monotone and e^Z , $e^{-\sigma Z}$ are anti-monotone.

Example: Determine two random vectors $(X_1, X_2)^T$ and $(Y_1, Y_2)^T$ with different c.d.f.s such that $F_{X_1+X_2}^{\leftarrow}(\alpha) \neq F_{Y_1+Y_2}^{\leftarrow}(\alpha)$ holds while $X_1, X_2, Y_1, Y_2 \sim N(0, 1)$ and $\rho_L(X_1, X_2) = 0$, $\rho_L(Y_1, Y_2) = 0$ also hold.

Example: Let X_1 , X_2 be two random variables with $X_1 \sim Lognormal(0,1)$, $X_2 \sim Lognormal(0,\sigma^2)$, $\sigma > 0$. Determine Sie $\rho_{L,min}(X_1, X_2)$ und $\rho_{L,max}(X_1, X_2)$.

Hint: Observe that $X_1 \stackrel{d}{=} \exp(Z)$ and $X_2 \stackrel{d}{=} \exp(\sigma Z) \stackrel{d}{=} \exp(-\sigma Z)$. Moreover e^Z , $e^{\sigma Z}$ are co-monotone and e^Z , $e^{-\sigma Z}$ are anti-monotone.

Example: Determine two random vectors $(X_1, X_2)^T$ and $(Y_1, Y_2)^T$ with different c.d.f.s such that $F_{X_1+X_2}^{\leftarrow}(\alpha) \neq F_{Y_1+Y_2}^{\leftarrow}(\alpha)$ holds while $X_1, X_2, Y_1, Y_2 \sim N(0, 1)$ and $\rho_L(X_1, X_2) = 0$, $\rho_L(Y_1, Y_2) = 0$ also hold.

If $(X_1, X_2)^T$, $(Y_1, Y_2)^T$ represent the asset returns of two different portfolios consisting of two assets each, then we have two portfolios with the same marginal distributions of their assets and the same linear correlation coefficient, respectively, but having different value at risk.

Example: Let X_1 , X_2 be two random variables with $X_1 \sim Lognormal(0,1)$, $X_2 \sim Lognormal(0,\sigma^2)$, $\sigma > 0$. Determine Sie $\rho_{L,min}(X_1, X_2)$ und $\rho_{L,max}(X_1, X_2)$.

Hint: Observe that $X_1 \stackrel{d}{=} \exp(Z)$ and $X_2 \stackrel{d}{=} \exp(\sigma Z) \stackrel{d}{=} \exp(-\sigma Z)$. Moreover e^Z , $e^{\sigma Z}$ are co-monotone and e^Z , $e^{-\sigma Z}$ are anti-monotone.

Example: Determine two random vectors $(X_1, X_2)^T$ and $(Y_1, Y_2)^T$ with different c.d.f.s such that $F_{X_1+X_2}^{\leftarrow}(\alpha) \neq F_{Y_1+Y_2}^{\leftarrow}(\alpha)$ holds while $X_1, X_2, Y_1, Y_2 \sim N(0, 1)$ and $\rho_L(X_1, X_2) = 0$, $\rho_L(Y_1, Y_2) = 0$ also hold.

If $(X_1, X_2)^T$, $(Y_1, Y_2)^T$ represent the asset returns of two different portfolios consisting of two assets each, then we have two portfolios with the same marginal distributions of their assets and the same linear correlation coefficient, respectively, but having different value at risk.

Conclusion: The marginal distributions of the assets in a portfolio and the linear correlation between the assets do not determine the loss distribution, in particular, they do not determine the risk measure of the portfolio.

Let $(x, y)^T$ and $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})^T$ be two samples of a random vector $(X, Y)^T$. $(x, y)^T$ und $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})^T$ are called *concordant* if $(x - \tilde{x})(y - \tilde{y}) > 0$ and *discordant* if $(x - \tilde{x})(y - \tilde{y}) < 0$.

Let $(x, y)^T$ and $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})^T$ be two samples of a random vector $(X, Y)^T$. $(x, y)^T$ und $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})^T$ are called *concordant* if $(x - \tilde{x})(y - \tilde{y}) > 0$ and *discordant* if $(x - \tilde{x})(y - \tilde{y}) < 0$.

Definition: Let $(X_1, X_2)^T$ be a random vector with continuous marginal distributions. The Kendall's Tau of $(X_1, X_2)^T$ is defined as $\rho_{\tau}(X_1, X_2) = P((X_1 - X'_1)(X_2 - X'_2) > 0) - P((X_1 - X'_1)(X_2 - X'_2) < 0)$, where $(X'_1, X'_2)^T$ is an independent copy of $(X_1, X_2)^T$.

Let $(x, y)^T$ and $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})^T$ be two samples of a random vector $(X, Y)^T$. $(x, y)^T$ und $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})^T$ are called *concordant* if $(x - \tilde{x})(y - \tilde{y}) > 0$ and *discordant* if $(x - \tilde{x})(y - \tilde{y}) < 0$.

Definition: Let $(X_1, X_2)^T$ be a random vector with continuous marginal distributions. The Kendall's Tau of $(X_1, X_2)^T$ is defined as $\rho_{\tau}(X_1, X_2) = P((X_1 - X'_1)(X_2 - X'_2) > 0) - P((X_1 - X'_1)(X_2 - X'_2) < 0)$, where $(X'_1, X'_2)^T$ is an independent copy of $(X_1, X_2)^T$. Equivalently: $\rho_{\tau}(X_1, X_2) = E(sign[(X_1 - X'_1)(X_2 - X'_2)])$.

Let $(x, y)^T$ and $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})^T$ be two samples of a random vector $(X, Y)^T$. $(x, y)^T$ und $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})^T$ are called *concordant* if $(x - \tilde{x})(y - \tilde{y}) > 0$ and *discordant* if $(x - \tilde{x})(y - \tilde{y}) < 0$.

Definition: Let $(X_1, X_2)^T$ be a random vector with continuous marginal distributions. The Kendall's Tau of $(X_1, X_2)^T$ is defined as $\rho_{\tau}(X_1, X_2) = P((X_1 - X'_1)(X_2 - X'_2) > 0) - P((X_1 - X'_1)(X_2 - X'_2) < 0)$, where $(X'_1, X'_2)^T$ is an independent copy of $(X_1, X_2)^T$. Equivalently: $\rho_{\tau}(X_1, X_2) = E(sign[(X_1 - X'_1)(X_2 - X'_2)])$. In the *d*-dimensional case $X \in \mathbb{R}^d$: $\rho_{\tau}(X) = cov(sign(X - X'))$, where $X' \in \mathbb{R}^D$ is an independent copy of $X \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Let $(x, y)^T$ and $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})^T$ be two samples of a random vector $(X, Y)^T$. $(x, y)^T$ und $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})^T$ are called *concordant* if $(x - \tilde{x})(y - \tilde{y}) > 0$ and *discordant* if $(x - \tilde{x})(y - \tilde{y}) < 0$.

Definition: Let $(X_1, X_2)^T$ be a random vector with continuous marginal distributions. The Kendall's Tau of $(X_1, X_2)^T$ is defined as $\rho_{\tau}(X_1, X_2) = P((X_1 - X'_1)(X_2 - X'_2) > 0) - P((X_1 - X'_1)(X_2 - X'_2) < 0)$, where $(X'_1, X'_2)^T$ is an independent copy of $(X_1, X_2)^T$. Equivalently: $\rho_{\tau}(X_1, X_2) = E(sign[(X_1 - X'_1)(X_2 - X'_2)])$. In the *d*-dimensional case $X \in \mathbb{R}^d$: $\rho_{\tau}(X) = cov(sign(X - X'))$, where $X' \in \mathbb{R}^D$ is an independent copy of $X \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

The sample Kendall's Tau:

Let $\{(x_1, y_1)^T, (x_2, y_2)^T, \dots, (x_n, y_n)^T\}$ be a sample of size *n* of the random vector $(X, Y)^T$ with continuous marginal distributions. Let *c* be the number concordant pairs in the sample and let *d* be the number of discordant pairs. Then the sample Kendall's Tau is given as

$$\widetilde{
ho}_{ au}(X,Y) = rac{c-d}{c+d} \stackrel{a.s.}{=} rac{c-d}{n(n-1)/2}$$

Definition: Let $(X_1, X_2)^T$ be a random vector with continuous marginal distributions. The Spearman's Rho of $(X_1, X_2)^T$ is defined as:

$$\rho_{\mathcal{S}}(X_1, X_2) = 3(P((X_1 - X_1')(X_2 - X_2'') > 0) - P((X_1 - X_1')(X_2 - X_2'') < 0)),$$

where $(X'_1, X'_2)^T$, $(X''_1, X''_2)^T$ are i.i.d. copies of $(X_1, X_2)^T$.

Definition: Let $(X_1, X_2)^T$ be a random vector with continuous marginal distributions. The Spearman's Rho of $(X_1, X_2)^T$ is defined as:

$$\rho_{\mathcal{S}}(X_1, X_2) = 3(P((X_1 - X_1')(X_2 - X_2'') > 0) - P((X_1 - X_1')(X_2 - X_2'') < 0)),$$

where $(X'_1, X'_2)^T$, $(X''_1, X''_2)^T$ are i.i.d. copies of $(X_1, X_2)^T$. Equivalent definition (without a proof): Let F_1 und F_2 be the continuous marginal distributions of $(X_1, X_2)^T$. Then $\rho_S(X_1, X_2) = \rho_L(F_1(X_1), F_2(X_2))$ holds, i.e. the Spearman's Rho is the linear correlation of the unique copula of $(X_1, X_2)^T$.

Definition: Let $(X_1, X_2)^T$ be a random vector with continuous marginal distributions. The Spearman's Rho of $(X_1, X_2)^T$ is defined as:

$$\rho_{\mathcal{S}}(X_1, X_2) = 3(P((X_1 - X_1')(X_2 - X_2'') > 0) - P((X_1 - X_1')(X_2 - X_2'') < 0)),$$

where $(X'_1, X'_2)^T$, $(X''_1, X''_2)^T$ are i.i.d. copies of $(X_1, X_2)^T$.

Equivalent definition (without a proof):

Let F_1 und F_2 be the continuous marginal distributions of $(X_1, X_2)^T$. Then $\rho_S(X_1, X_2) = \rho_L(F_1(X_1), F_2(X_2))$ holds, i.e. the Spearman's Rho is the linear correlation of the unique copula of $(X_1, X_2)^T$.

In the *d*-dimensional case $X \in \mathbb{R}^d$: $\rho_S(X) = \rho(F_1(X_1), F_2(X_2), \dots, F_d(X_d))$ is the correlation matrix of the unique copula of X, where F_1, F_2, \dots, F_d are the continuous marginal distributions of X.

<ロト 4 回 ト 4 回 ト 4 回 ト 1 回 9 Q Q</p>