▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ ■ のへで

Goal: model the risk factor changes  $X_n = (X_{n,1}, X_{n,2}, \ldots, X_{n,d})$ Assumption:  $X_{n,i}$  and  $X_{n,j}$  are dependent but  $X_{n,i}$  und  $X_{n\pm k,j}$  are independent fot  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k \neq 0$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq d$ .

Goal: model the risk factor changes  $X_n = (X_{n,1}, X_{n,2}, \ldots, X_{n,d})$ Assumption:  $X_{n,i}$  and  $X_{n,j}$  are dependent but  $X_{n,i}$  und  $X_{n\pm k,j}$  are independent fot  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k \neq 0$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq d$ .

Goal: model the risk factor changes  $X_n = (X_{n,1}, X_{n,2}, \ldots, X_{n,d})$ Assumption:  $X_{n,i}$  and  $X_{n,j}$  are dependent but  $X_{n,i}$  und  $X_{n\pm k,j}$  are independent fot  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k \neq 0$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq d$ .

A *d*-dimensional random vector  $X = (X_1, X_2, ..., X_d)^T$  is uniquely specified by its (multivariate) cumulative distribution function (c.d.f.) *F*:

 $F(x): F(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_d) := P(X_1 \le x_1, X_2 \le x_2, \ldots, X_d \le x_d) = P(X \le x).$ 

(日) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Goal: model the risk factor changes  $X_n = (X_{n,1}, X_{n,2}, \ldots, X_{n,d})$ Assumption:  $X_{n,i}$  and  $X_{n,j}$  are dependent but  $X_{n,i}$  und  $X_{n\pm k,j}$  are independent fot  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k \neq 0$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq d$ .

A *d*-dimensional random vector  $X = (X_1, X_2, ..., X_d)^T$  is uniquely specified by its (multivariate) cumulative distribution function (c.d.f.) *F*:

$$F(x): F(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_d) := P(X_1 \le x_1, X_2 \le x_2, \ldots, X_d \le x_d) = P(X \le x).$$

The *i*-th marginal distribution  $F_i$  of F is the distribution function of  $X_i$  given as follows:

$$F_i(x_i) = P(X_i \leq x_i) = F(\infty, \dots, \infty, x_i, \infty, \dots, \infty)$$

Goal: model the risk factor changes  $X_n = (X_{n,1}, X_{n,2}, \ldots, X_{n,d})$ Assumption:  $X_{n,i}$  and  $X_{n,j}$  are dependent but  $X_{n,i}$  und  $X_{n\pm k,j}$  are independent fot  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k \neq 0$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq d$ .

A *d*-dimensional random vector  $X = (X_1, X_2, ..., X_d)^T$  is uniquely specified by its (multivariate) cumulative distribution function (c.d.f.) *F*:

$$F(x): F(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_d) := P(X_1 \le x_1, X_2 \le x_2, \ldots, X_d \le x_d) = P(X \le x).$$

The *i*-th marginal distribution  $F_i$  of F is the distribution function of  $X_i$  given as follows:

$$F_i(x_i) = P(X_i \leq x_i) = F(\infty, \dots, \infty, x_i, \infty, \dots, \infty)$$

The distribution function F is continuous if there exists a non-negative function  $f \ge 0$ , such that

$$F(x_1, x_2, ..., x_d) = \int_{-\infty}^{x_1} \int_{-\infty}^{x_2} ... \int_{-\infty}^{x_d} f(u_1, u_2, ..., u_d) du_1 du_2 ... du_d$$

f is then called the (multivariate) density function (d.f.) of F.

The components of X are independent iff  $F(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{d} F_i(x_i)$ . If the d.f. f and the marginal d.f.  $f_i$ ,  $1 \le i \le d$ , exist, then the components of X are independent iff

 $f(x) = \prod_{i=1}^d f_i(x_i)$ 

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

The components of X are independent iff  $F(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{d} F_i(x_i)$ . If the d.f. f and the marginal d.f.  $f_i$ ,  $1 \le i \le d$ , exist, then the components of X are independent iff

$$f(x) = \prod_{i=1}^d f_i(x_i)$$

A random vector can be uniquely characterized in terms of its characteristic function  $\phi_X(t)$ :

$$\phi_X(t) := E(\exp\{it^T X\}), t \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

The components of X are independent iff  $F(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{d} F_i(x_i)$ . If the d.f. f and the marginal d.f.  $f_i$ ,  $1 \le i \le d$ , exist, then the components of X are independent iff

$$f(x) = \prod_{i=1}^d f_i(x_i)$$

A random vector can be uniquely characterized in terms of its characteristic function  $\phi_X(t)$ :

$$\phi_X(t) := E(\exp\{it^T X\}), t \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

If  $E(X_k^2) < \infty$  for all k, the the covariance (matrix) of X exists and is given es

$$Cov(X) = E((X - E(X))(X - E(X))^T)$$

The components of X are independent iff  $F(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{d} F_i(x_i)$ . If the d.f. f and the marginal d.f.  $f_i$ ,  $1 \le i \le d$ , exist, then the components of X are independent iff

$$f(x) = \prod_{i=1}^d f_i(x_i)$$

A random vector can be uniquely characterized in terms of its characteristic function  $\phi_X(t)$ :

$$\phi_X(t) := E(\exp\{it^T X\}), t \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

If  $E(X_k^2) < \infty$  for all k, the the covariance (matrix) of X exists and is given es

$$Cov(X) = E((X - E(X))(X - E(X))^{T})$$

For an *n*-dimensional random vector X, a constant matrix  $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  and a constant vector  $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$  the following hold:

$$E(BX + b) = BE(X) + b$$
  $Cov(BX + b) = BCov(X)B^{T}$ 

**Example:** The d.f. f and the characteristic function  $\phi_X$  of the multivariate normal distribution with expected value  $\mu$  and covariance  $\Sigma$  are given as

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^d |\Sigma|}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(x-\mu)^T \Sigma^{-1}(x-\mu)\right\}, x \in \mathbb{R}^d$$
$$\phi_X(t) = \exp\left\{it^T \mu - \frac{1}{2}t^T \Sigma t\right\}, t \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

where  $|\Sigma| = |Det(\Sigma)|$ .

**Example:** The d.f. f and the characteristic function  $\phi_X$  of the multivariate normal distribution with expected value  $\mu$  and covariance  $\Sigma$  are given as

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^d |\Sigma|}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(x-\mu)^T \Sigma^{-1}(x-\mu)\right\}, x \in \mathbb{R}^d$$
$$\phi_X(t) = \exp\left\{it^T \mu - \frac{1}{2}t^T \Sigma t\right\}, t \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

where  $|\Sigma| = |Det(\Sigma)|$ .

Modelling the depedencies of risk factor changes (or financial data in general) in terms of the multivariate normal distribution might be inappropriate:

- risk factor changes are in general heavier tailed than normal
- the dependence between large return drops is in general stronger than the dependence between ordinary returns. This type of dependency cannot be modelled by the multivariate normal distribution.

Let  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  be r.v. There exist several scalar measures for the dependence between  $X_1$  und  $X_2$ .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Let  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  be r.v. There exist several scalar measures for the dependence between  $X_1$  und  $X_2$ .

#### Linear correlation

Assumption:  $var(X_1), var(X_2) \in (0, \infty)$ . The linear correlation coefficient  $\rho_L(X_1, X_2)$  ist given as follows

$$\rho_L(X_1, X_2) = \frac{cov(X_1, X_2)}{\sqrt{var(X_1)var(X_2)}}$$

Let  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  be r.v. There exist several scalar measures for the dependence between  $X_1$  und  $X_2$ .

#### Linear correlation

Assumption:  $var(X_1)$ ,  $var(X_2) \in (0, \infty)$ . The linear correlation coefficient  $\rho_L(X_1, X_2)$  ist given as follows

$$\rho_L(X_1, X_2) = \frac{\operatorname{cov}(X_1, X_2)}{\sqrt{\operatorname{var}(X_1)\operatorname{var}(X_2)}}$$

#### Properties of the linear correlation coefficient:

•  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are independent  $\Rightarrow \rho_L(X_1, X_2) = 0$ , but  $\rho_L(X_1, X_2) = 0 \Rightarrow X_1$  and  $X_2$  are independent **Example:** Let  $X_1 \sim N(0, 1)$  and  $X_2 = X_1^2$ .  $\rho_L(X_1, X_2) = 0$  holds although  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are dependent.

Let  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  be r.v. There exist several scalar measures for the dependence between  $X_1$  und  $X_2$ .

#### Linear correlation

Assumption:  $var(X_1)$ ,  $var(X_2) \in (0, \infty)$ . The linear correlation coefficient  $\rho_L(X_1, X_2)$  ist given as follows

$$\rho_L(X_1, X_2) = \frac{\operatorname{cov}(X_1, X_2)}{\sqrt{\operatorname{var}(X_1)\operatorname{var}(X_2)}}$$

#### Properties of the linear correlation coefficient:

- ▶  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are independent  $\Rightarrow \rho_L(X_1, X_2) = 0$ , but  $\rho_L(X_1, X_2) = 0 \Rightarrow X_1$  and  $X_2$  are independent **Example:** Let  $X_1 \sim N(0, 1)$  and  $X_2 = X_1^2$ .  $\rho_L(X_1, X_2) = 0$  holds although  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are dependent.
- ▶  $|\rho_L(X_1, X_2)| = 1 \Leftrightarrow \exists \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}, \beta \neq 0$ , such that  $X_2 \stackrel{d}{=} \alpha + \beta X_1$  and signum $(\beta) = \text{signum}(\rho_L(X_1, X_2))$ .

・ロト ・母ト ・ヨト ・ヨー ・ つへで

The linear correlation coefficient is invariant under strict monotone increasing linear transformations. This means that for any two r.v. X<sub>1</sub> and X<sub>2</sub> and real constants α<sub>1</sub>, α<sub>2</sub>, β<sub>1</sub>, β<sub>2</sub> ∈ ℝ, β<sub>1</sub> > 0, β<sub>2</sub> > 0 the following holds:

$$\rho_L(\alpha_1+\beta_1X_1,\alpha_2+\beta_2X_2)=\rho_L(X_1,X_2).$$

The linear correlation coefficient is invariant under strict monotone increasing linear transformations. This means that for any two r.v. X<sub>1</sub> and X<sub>2</sub> and real constants α<sub>1</sub>, α<sub>2</sub>, β<sub>1</sub>, β<sub>2</sub> ∈ ℝ, β<sub>1</sub> > 0, β<sub>2</sub> > 0 the following holds:

$$\rho_L(\alpha_1+\beta_1X_1,\alpha_2+\beta_2X_2)=\rho_L(X_1,X_2).$$

However, in general, the linear correlation coefficient is not invariant under strict monotone increasing non linear transformations.

The linear correlation coefficient is invariant under strict monotone increasing linear transformations. This means that for any two r.v. X<sub>1</sub> and X<sub>2</sub> and real constants α<sub>1</sub>, α<sub>2</sub>, β<sub>1</sub>, β<sub>2</sub> ∈ ℝ, β<sub>1</sub> > 0, β<sub>2</sub> > 0 the following holds:

$$\rho_L(\alpha_1+\beta_1X_1,\alpha_2+\beta_2X_2)=\rho_L(X_1,X_2).$$

However, in general, the linear correlation coefficient is not invariant under strict monotone increasing non linear transformations.

**Example:** Let  $X_1 \sim Exp(\lambda)$ ,  $X_2 = X_1$ , and  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$  be two strict monotone increasing transformations:  $T_1(X_1) = X_1$  and  $T_2(X_1) = X_1^2$ . Then

$$\rho_L(X_1, X_1) = 1 \text{ and } \rho_L(T_1(X_1), T_2(X_1)) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}}.$$

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

Let  $(x_1, x_2)$  and  $(\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2)$  be two points in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . They are called *concordant* iff  $(x_1 - \tilde{x}_1)(x_2 - \tilde{x}_2) > 0$  and *discordant* iff  $(x_1 - \tilde{x}_1)(x_2 - \tilde{x}_2) < 0$ .

Let  $(x_1, x_2)$  and  $(\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2)$  be two points in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . They are called *concordant* iff  $(x_1 - \tilde{x}_1)(x_2 - \tilde{x}_2) > 0$  and *discordant* iff  $(x_1 - \tilde{x}_1)(x_2 - \tilde{x}_2) < 0$ .

Let  $(X_1, X_2)^T$  and  $(\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_2)^T$  be two i.i.d. random vectors. The Kendall's Tau  $\rho_{\tau}$  is defined as

$$\rho_{\tau}(X_1, X_2) = P\left((X_1 - \tilde{X}_1)(X_2 - \tilde{X}_2) > 0\right) - P\left((X_1 - \tilde{X}_1)(X_2 - \tilde{X}_2) < 0\right)$$

Let  $(x_1, x_2)$  and  $(\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2)$  be two points in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . They are called *concordant* iff  $(x_1 - \tilde{x}_1)(x_2 - \tilde{x}_2) > 0$  and *discordant* iff  $(x_1 - \tilde{x}_1)(x_2 - \tilde{x}_2) < 0$ .

Let  $(X_1, X_2)^T$  and  $(\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_2)^T$  be two i.i.d. random vectors. The Kendall's Tau  $\rho_{\tau}$  is defined as

$$\rho_{\tau}(X_1, X_2) = P\left((X_1 - \tilde{X}_1)(X_2 - \tilde{X}_2) > 0\right) - P\left((X_1 - \tilde{X}_1)(X_2 - \tilde{X}_2) < 0\right)$$

Let  $(\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_2)$  be a third random vector independent from  $(X_1, X_2)$  and  $(\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_2)$  with the same distribution as the later two vectors. **The Spearman's Rho**  $\rho_S$  is defined as

$$\rho_{S}(X_{1}, X_{2}) = 3\left\{P\left((X_{1} - \tilde{X}_{1})(X_{2} - \hat{X}_{2}) > 0\right) - P\left((X_{1} - \tilde{X}_{1})(X_{2} - \hat{X}_{2}) < 0\right)\right\}$$

Some properties of  $\rho_{\tau}$  und  $\rho_{S}$ :

<□ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ @

# Some properties of $\rho_{\tau}$ und $\rho_{s}$ :

1.  $\rho_{\tau}(X_1, X_2) \in [-1, 1] \text{ and } \rho_{\mathcal{S}}(X_1, X_2) \in [-1, 1].$ 

# Some properties of $\rho_{\tau}$ und $\rho_{S}$ :

- 1.  $\rho_{\tau}(X_1, X_2) \in [-1, 1]$  and  $\rho_{\mathcal{S}}(X_1, X_2) \in [-1, 1]$ .
- 2. if  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are independent, then  $\rho_{\tau}(X_1, X_2) = \rho_5(X_1, X_2) = 0$ . In general the converse does not hold.

## Some properties of $\rho_{\tau}$ und $\rho_{S}$ :

- 1.  $\rho_{\tau}(X_1, X_2) \in [-1, 1] \text{ and } \rho_{S}(X_1, X_2) \in [-1, 1].$
- 2. if  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are independent, then  $\rho_{\tau}(X_1, X_2) = \rho_5(X_1, X_2) = 0$ . In general the converse does not hold.
- 3. Let  $\mathcal{T}\colon {\rm I\!R}\to {\rm I\!R}$  be a strict monotone increasing function. Then the following holds

 $\rho_{\tau}(T(X_1), T(X_2)) = \rho_{\tau}(X_1, X_2)$  $\rho_{5}(T(X_1), T(X_2)) = \rho_{5}(X_1, X_2)$ 

Proof: 1) is trivial and 2) in the case of Kendall's Tau as well. The proof of 2) in the case of Spearman's Rho and the proof of 3) will be done in terms of copulas later.

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

**Definition:** Let  $(X_1, X_2)^T$  be a random vector with marginal c.d.f.  $F_1$  and  $F_2$ . The coefficient of upper tail dependence of  $(X_1, X_2)^T$  is defined as:

$$\lambda_U(X_1, X_2) = \lim_{u \to 1^-} P(X_2 > F_2^{\leftarrow}(u) | X_1 > F_1^{\leftarrow}(u))$$

provided that this limit exists.

**Definition:** Let  $(X_1, X_2)^T$  be a random vector with marginal c.d.f.  $F_1$  and  $F_2$ . The coefficient of upper tail dependence of  $(X_1, X_2)^T$  is defined as:

$$\lambda_U(X_1, X_2) = \lim_{u \to 1^-} P(X_2 > F_2^{\leftarrow}(u) | X_1 > F_1^{\leftarrow}(u))$$

provided that this limit exists.

The coefficient of lower tail dependence of  $(X_1, X_2)^T$  is defined as:

$$\lambda_L(X_1, X_2) = \lim_{u \to 0^+} P(X_2 \le F_2^{\leftarrow}(u) | X_1 \le F_1^{\leftarrow}(u))$$

provided that this limit exists.

If the limit exists and  $\lambda_U > 0$  ( $\lambda_L > 0$ ) we say that  $(X_1, X_2)^T$  has an upper (lower) tail dependence.

**Definition:** Let  $(X_1, X_2)^T$  be a random vector with marginal c.d.f.  $F_1$  and  $F_2$ . The coefficient of upper tail dependence of  $(X_1, X_2)^T$  is defined as:

$$\lambda_U(X_1, X_2) = \lim_{u \to 1^-} P(X_2 > F_2^{\leftarrow}(u) | X_1 > F_1^{\leftarrow}(u))$$

provided that this limit exists.

The coefficient of lower tail dependence of  $(X_1, X_2)^T$  is defined as:

$$\lambda_{L}(X_{1}, X_{2}) = \lim_{u \to 0^{+}} P(X_{2} \le F_{2}^{\leftarrow}(u) | X_{1} \le F_{1}^{\leftarrow}(u))$$

provided that this limit exists.

If the limit exists and  $\lambda_U > 0$  ( $\lambda_L > 0$ ) we say that  $(X_1, X_2)^T$  has an upper (lower) tail dependence.

**Exercise:** Let  $X_1 \sim Exp(\lambda)$  and  $X_2 = X_1^2$ . Determine  $\lambda_U(X_1, X_2)$ ,  $\lambda_L(X_1, X_2)$  and show that  $(X_1, X_2)^T$  has an upper tail dependence and a lower tail dependence. Compute also the linear correlation coefficient  $\rho_L(X_1, X_2)$ .

▲□▶▲圖▶▲圖▶▲圖▶ 圖 めへぐ

#### a) The multivariate normal distribution

**Definition:** The random vector  $(X_1, X_2, ..., X_d)^T$  has a *multivariate* normal distribution (or a *multivariate* Gaussian distribution) iff  $X \stackrel{d}{=} \mu + AZ$ , where  $Z = (Z_1, Z_2, ..., Z_k)^T$  is a vector of i.i.d. standard normal distributed r.v.  $(Z_i \sim N(0, 1), \forall i = 1, 2, ..., k)$ ,  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k}$  is a constant matrix and  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is a constant vector.

#### a) The multivariate normal distribution

**Definition:** The random vector  $(X_1, X_2, ..., X_d)^T$  has a multivariate normal distribution (or a multivariate Gaussian distribution) iff  $X \stackrel{d}{=} \mu + AZ$ , where  $Z = (Z_1, Z_2, ..., Z_k)^T$  is a vector of i.i.d. standard normal distributed r.v.  $(Z_i \sim N(0, 1), \forall i = 1, 2, ..., k)$ ,  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k}$  is a constant matrix and  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is a constant vector.

For such a random vector X we have:  $E(X) = \mu$ ,  $cov(X) = \Sigma = AA^T$ . Thus  $\Sigma$  is positive semidefinite. Notation:  $X \sim N_d(\mu, \Sigma)$ .

#### a) The multivariate normal distribution

**Definition:** The random vector  $(X_1, X_2, ..., X_d)^T$  has a *multivariate* normal distribution (or a *multivariate* Gaussian distribution) iff  $X \stackrel{d}{=} \mu + AZ$ , where  $Z = (Z_1, Z_2, ..., Z_k)^T$  is a vector of i.i.d. standard normal distributed r.v.  $(Z_i \sim N(0, 1), \forall i = 1, 2, ..., k)$ ,  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k}$  is a constant matrix and  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is a constant vector.

For such a random vector X we have:  $E(X) = \mu$ ,  $cov(X) = \Sigma = AA^T$ . Thus  $\Sigma$  is positive semidefinite. Notation:  $X \sim N_d(\mu, \Sigma)$ .

**Theorem:** (Equivalent characterisations of the multivariate normal distribution)

1.  $X \sim N_d(\mu, \Sigma)$  for some vector  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and some positive semidefinite matrix  $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ , iff  $\forall a \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $a = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_d)^T$ , the random variable  $a^T X$  is normally distributed.

# Equivalent characterisations of the multivariate normal distribution

2. A random vector  $X \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is multivariate normally distributed iff its characteristic function  $\phi_X(t)$  is given as

$$\phi_{X}(t) = \mathcal{E}(\exp\{it^{\mathsf{T}}X\}) = \exp\{it^{\mathsf{T}}\mu - \frac{1}{2}t^{\mathsf{T}}\Sigma t\}$$

for some vector  $\mu \in {\rm I\!R}^d$  and some positive semidefinite matrix  $\Sigma \in {\rm I\!R}^{d imes d}.$ 

3. A random vector  $X \in \mathbb{R}^d$  with  $E(X) = \mu$  and  $cov(X) = \Sigma$ ,  $|\Sigma| > 0$ , is multivariate normally distributed, i.e.  $X \sim N_d(\mu, \Sigma)$ , iff its density function  $f_X(x)$  is given as follows

$$f_X(x) = rac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^d |\Sigma|}} \exp\left\{-rac{(x-\mu)^T \Sigma^{-1}(x-\mu)}{2}
ight\}$$

Proof: (see eg. Gut 1995)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

#### Theorem:

Let  $X \sim N_d(\mu, \Sigma)$ . The following hold:

Linear combinations:

Let  $B \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times d}$  and  $b \in \mathbb{R}^k$ . Then  $BX + b \in N_k(B\mu + b, B\Sigma B^T)$ .

#### Theorem:

Let  $X \sim N_d(\mu, \Sigma)$ . The following hold:

- ► Linear combinations: Let  $B \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times d}$  and  $b \in \mathbb{R}^k$ . Then  $BX + b \in N_k(B\mu + b, B\Sigma B^T)$ .
- Marginal distributions:

Let 
$$X^{T} = \left(X^{(1)^{T}}, X^{(2)^{T}}\right)$$
 with  $X^{(1)^{T}} = (X_{1}, X_{2}, \dots, X_{k})^{T}$  and  
 $X^{(2)^{T}} = (X_{k+1}, X_{k+2}, \dots, X_{d})^{T}$ . Analogously let  
 $\mu^{T} = \left(\mu^{(1)^{T}}, \mu^{(2)^{T}}\right)$  and  $\Sigma = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \Sigma^{(1,1)} & \Sigma^{(1,2)} \\ \Sigma^{(2,1)} & \Sigma^{(2,2)} \end{array}\right)$ .  
Then  $X^{(1)} \sim N_{k}\left(\mu^{(1)}, \Sigma^{(1,1)}\right)$  and  $X^{(2)} \sim N_{d-k}\left(\mu^{(2)}, \Sigma^{(2,2)}\right)$ .

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

Conditional distributions:

Let  $\Sigma$  be nonsingular. The conditioned random vector  $X^{(2)} | X^{(1)} = x^{(1)}$  is multivariate normally distributed with

$$X^{(2)}|X^{(1)} = x^{(1)} \sim N_{d-k}\left(\mu^{(2,1)}, \Sigma^{(22,1)}\right)$$
 where

$$\mu^{(2,1)} = \mu^{(2)} + \Sigma^{(2,1)} \left( \Sigma^{(1,1)} \right)^{-1} \left( x^{(1)} - \mu^{(1)} \right) \text{ and}$$

$$\Sigma^{(22,1)} = \Sigma^{(2,2)} - \Sigma^{(2,1)} \left(\Sigma^{(1,1)}\right)^{-1} \Sigma^{(1,2)}.$$

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

Quadratic forms:

Is  $\Sigma$  is nonsingular, then  $D^2 = (X - \mu)^T \Sigma^{-1} (X - \mu) \sim \chi_d^2$ . The r.v. D is called *Mahalanobis distance*.

Quadratic forms:

Is  $\Sigma$  is nonsingular, then  $D^2 = (X - \mu)^T \Sigma^{-1} (X - \mu) \sim \chi_d^2$ . The r.v. D is called *Mahalanobis distance*.

Convolutions:

Let  $X \sim N_d(\mu, \Sigma)$  and  $Y \sim N_d(\tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\Sigma})$  be two independent random vectors. Then  $X + Y \sim N_d(\mu + \tilde{\mu}, \Sigma + \tilde{\Sigma})$ .

**Definition:** A random vector  $X \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is said to have a multivariate normal variance mixture distribution if  $X \stackrel{d}{=} \mu + WAZ$  where  $Z \sim N_k(0, I), W \ge 0$  is a r.v. independent from  $Z, \mu \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is a constant vector,  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k}$  is a constant matrix, and I is the unit matrix.

**Definition:** A random vector  $X \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is said to have a multivariate normal variance mixture distribution if  $X \stackrel{d}{=} \mu + WAZ$  where  $Z \sim N_k(0, I), W \ge 0$  is a r.v. independent from  $Z, \mu \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is a constant vector,  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k}$  is a constant matrix, and I is the unit matrix.

By conditioning on W = w we get  $X \sim N_d(\mu, w^2 \Sigma)$ , with  $\Sigma = AA^T$ .

**Definition:** A random vector  $X \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is said to have a multivariate normal variance mixture distribution if  $X \stackrel{d}{=} \mu + WAZ$  where  $Z \sim N_k(0, I), W \ge 0$  is a r.v. independent from  $Z, \mu \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is a constant vector,  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k}$  is a constant matrix, and I is the unit matrix. By conditioning on W = w we get  $X \sim N_d(\mu, w^2\Sigma)$ , with  $\Sigma = AA^T$ . Moreover  $E(X) = \mu$  and  $cov(X) = E(W^2AZZ^TA^T) = E(W^2)\Sigma$ , if  $E(W^2) < \infty$ 

**Definition:** A random vector  $X \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is said to have a multivariate normal variance mixture distribution if  $X \stackrel{d}{=} \mu + WAZ$  where  $Z \sim N_k(0, I), W \ge 0$  is a r.v. independent from  $Z, \mu \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is a constant vector,  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k}$  is a constant matrix, and I is the unit matrix. By conditioning on W = w we get  $X \sim N_d(\mu, w^2\Sigma)$ , with  $\Sigma = AA^T$ .

Moreover  $E(X) = \mu$  and  $cov(X) = E(W^2 A Z Z^T A^T) = E(W^2)\Sigma$ , if  $E(W^2) < \infty$ 

**Example: the multivariate**  $t_{\alpha}$  **distribution** Let  $Y \sim IG(\alpha, \beta)$  (inverse-gamma distribution) with density function given as  $f_{\alpha,\beta}(x) = \frac{\beta^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} x^{-(\alpha+1)} \exp(-\beta/x)$  for x > 0,  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $\beta > 0$ . Then  $E(Y) = \frac{\beta}{\alpha-1}$  for  $\alpha > 1$ ,  $var(Y) = \frac{\beta^2}{(\alpha-1)^2(\alpha-2)}$  for  $\alpha > 2$ .

**Definition:** A random vector  $X \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is said to have a multivariate normal variance mixture distribution if  $X \stackrel{d}{=} \mu + WAZ$  where  $Z \sim N_k(0, I), W \ge 0$  is a r.v. independent from  $Z, \mu \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is a constant vector,  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k}$  is a constant matrix, and I is the unit matrix. By conditioning on W = w we get  $X \sim N_d(\mu, w^2\Sigma)$ , with  $\Sigma = AA^T$ .

Moreover  $E(X) = \mu$  and  $cov(X) = E(W^2 A Z Z^T A^T) = E(W^2)\Sigma$ , if  $E(W^2) < \infty$ 

**Example: the multivariate**  $t_{\alpha}$  **distribution** Let  $Y \sim IG(\alpha, \beta)$  (inverse-gamma distribution) with density function given as  $f_{\alpha,\beta}(x) = \frac{\beta^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} x^{-(\alpha+1)} \exp(-\beta/x)$  for x > 0,  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $\beta > 0$ . Then  $E(Y) = \frac{\beta}{\alpha-1}$  for  $\alpha > 1$ ,  $var(Y) = \frac{\beta^2}{(\alpha-1)^2(\alpha-2)}$  for  $\alpha > 2$ . Let  $W^2 \sim IG(\alpha/2, \alpha/2)$ . Then  $X = \mu + WAZ$  has the multivariate  $t_{\alpha}$ -distribution with  $\alpha$  degrees of freedom. Notation:  $X \sim t_d(\alpha, \mu, \Sigma)$ .

**Definition:** A random vector  $X \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is said to have a multivariate normal variance mixture distribution if  $X \stackrel{d}{=} \mu + WAZ$  where  $Z \sim N_k(0, I), W \ge 0$  is a r.v. independent from  $Z, \mu \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is a constant vector,  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k}$  is a constant matrix, and I is the unit matrix. By conditioning on W = w we get  $X \sim N_d(\mu, w^2\Sigma)$ , with  $\Sigma = AA^T$ .

Moreover  $E(X) = \mu$  and  $cov(X) = E(W^2 A Z Z^T A^T) = E(W^2)\Sigma$ , if  $E(W^2) < \infty$ 

**Example: the multivariate**  $t_{\alpha}$  **distribution** Let  $Y \sim IG(\alpha, \beta)$  (inverse-gamma distribution) with density function given as  $f_{\alpha,\beta}(x) = \frac{\beta^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} x^{-(\alpha+1)} \exp(-\beta/x)$  for x > 0,  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $\beta > 0$ . Then  $E(Y) = \frac{\beta}{\alpha-1}$  for  $\alpha > 1$ ,  $var(Y) = \frac{\beta^2}{(\alpha-1)^2(\alpha-2)}$  for  $\alpha > 2$ . Let  $W^2 \sim IG(\alpha/2, \alpha/2)$ . Then  $X = \mu + WAZ$  has the multivariate  $t_{\alpha}$ -distribution with  $\alpha$  degrees of freedom. Notation:  $X \sim t_d(\alpha, \mu, \Sigma)$ . Since  $E(W^2) = \alpha/(\alpha - 2)$ , for  $\alpha > 2$ , we get  $cov(X) = E(W^2)\Sigma = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha-2}\Sigma$ .

**Definition:** A random vector  $X = (X_1, X_2, ..., X_d)^T$  has a spherical distribution if for every orthogonal matrix  $U \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  we have  $UX \stackrel{d}{=} X$ .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

**Definition:** A random vector  $X = (X_1, X_2, ..., X_d)^T$  has a spherical distribution if for every orthogonal matrix  $U \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  we have  $UX \stackrel{d}{=} X$ . **Theorem:** The following statements are equivalent:

・ロト・日本・モート モー うへぐ

1.  $X \in {\rm I\!R}^d$  has a spherical distribution.

**Definition:** A random vector  $X = (X_1, X_2, ..., X_d)^T$  has a spherical distribution if for every orthogonal matrix  $U \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  we have  $UX \stackrel{d}{=} X$ . **Theorem:** The following statements are equivalent:

- 1.  $X \in {\rm I\!R}^d$  has a spherical distribution.
- 2. There exists a function  $\psi \colon \mathrm{I\!R} \to \mathrm{I\!R}$  of a scalar variable, such that the characteristic function of X satisfies

$$\phi_X(t) = \psi(t^T t) = \psi(t_1^2 + t_2^2 + \ldots + t_d^2)$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

**Definition:** A random vector  $X = (X_1, X_2, ..., X_d)^T$  has a spherical distribution if for every orthogonal matrix  $U \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  we have  $UX \stackrel{d}{=} X$ . **Theorem:** The following statements are equivalent:

- 1.  $X \in \mathbb{R}^d$  has a spherical distribution.
- 2. There exists a function  $\psi \colon \mathrm{I\!R} \to \mathrm{I\!R}$  of a scalar variable, such that the characteristic function of X satisfies

$$\phi_X(t) = \psi(t^T t) = \psi(t_1^2 + t_2^2 + \ldots + t_d^2)$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

3. For every vector 
$$a \in \mathbb{R}^d$$
,  $a^t X \stackrel{d}{=} ||a||X_1$  holds, where  $||a||^2 = a_1^2 + a_2^2 + \ldots + a_d^2$ .

**Definition:** A random vector  $X = (X_1, X_2, ..., X_d)^T$  has a spherical distribution if for every orthogonal matrix  $U \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  we have  $UX \stackrel{d}{=} X$ . **Theorem:** The following statements are equivalent:

- 1.  $X \in {\rm I\!R}^d$  has a spherical distribution.
- 2. There exists a function  $\psi \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  of a scalar variable, such that the characteristic function of X satisfies

$$\phi_X(t) = \psi(t^T t) = \psi(t_1^2 + t_2^2 + \ldots + t_d^2)$$

3. For every vector 
$$a \in \mathbb{R}^d$$
,  $a^t X \stackrel{d}{=} ||a||X_1$  holds, where  $||a||^2 = a_1^2 + a_2^2 + \ldots + a_d^2$ .

4. X has the stochastic representation X <sup>d</sup>= RS, where S ∈ ℝ<sup>d</sup> is a random vector uniformly distributed on the unit sphere S<sup>d-1</sup>, S<sup>d-1</sup> := {x ∈ ℝ<sup>d</sup> : ||x|| = 1}, and R ≥ 0 is a r.v. independent of S. Notation: X ~ S<sub>d</sub>(ψ), cf. 2.