Properties of elliptical distributions Theorem:

Let $X \sim E_k(\mu, \Sigma, \psi)$. X has the following properties:

• Linear combinations For $B \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times d}$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}^k$ we have:

 $BX + b \in E_k(B\mu + b, B\Sigma B^T, \psi).$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Properties of elliptical distributions Theorem:

Let $X \sim E_k(\mu, \Sigma, \psi)$. X has the following properties:

• Linear combinations For $B \in {\rm I\!R}^{k imes d}$ and $b \in {\rm I\!R}^k$ we have:

$$BX + b \in E_k(B\mu + b, B\Sigma B^T, \psi).$$

Marginal distributions

Set
$$X^T = \left(X^{(1)T}, X^{(2)T}\right)$$
 for $X^{(1)T} = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)^T$ and
 $X^{(2)T} = (X_{n+1}, X_{n+2}, \dots, X_k)^T$ and analogously set
 $\mu^T = \left(\mu^{(1)T}, \mu^{(2)T}\right)$ as well as $\Sigma = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \Sigma^{(1,1)} & \Sigma^{(1,2)} \\ \Sigma^{(2,1)} & \Sigma^{(2,2)} \end{array}\right)$. Then
 $X_1 \sim E_n \left(\mu^{(1)}, \Sigma^{(1,1)}, \psi\right)$ and $X_2 \sim E_{k-n} \left(\mu^{(2)}, \Sigma^{(2,2)}, \psi\right)$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ○○○

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

Conditional distributions

Assume that Σ is nonsingular. Then $X^{(2)} \left| X^{(1)} = x^{(1)} \sim E_{d-k} \left(\mu^{(2,1)}, \Sigma^{(22,1)}, \tilde{\psi} \right) \text{ where} \right.$ $\mu^{(2,1)} = \mu^{(2)} + \Sigma^{(2,1)} \left(\Sigma^{(1,1)} \right)^{-1} \left(x^{(1)} - \mu^{(1)} \right) \text{ and}$ $\Sigma^{(22,1)} = \Sigma^{(2,2)} - \Sigma^{(2,1)} \left(\Sigma^{(1,1)} \right)^{-1} \Sigma^{(1,2)}.$

Typically $\tilde{\psi}$ is a different characteristic generator than the original ψ (see Fang, Katz and Ng 1987).

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

<ロ> <回> <回> <回> <三> <三> <三> <三> <三> <三</p>

Quadratic forms

If Σ is nonsingular, then $D^2 = (X - \mu)^T \Sigma^{-1} (X - \mu) \sim R^2$, where R is the nonnegative r.v. in the stochastic representation Y = RS of

the spherical distribution Y with $S \sim U\left(\mathcal{S}^{(d-1)}\right)$ and $X = \mu + AY$.

The random variable D is called Mahalanobis distance.

Quadratic forms

If Σ is nonsingular, then $D^2 = (X - \mu)^T \Sigma^{-1} (X - \mu) \sim R^2$, where R is the nonnegative r.v. in the stochastic representation Y = RS of

the spherical distribution Y with $S \sim U\left(S^{(d-1)}\right)$ and $X = \mu + AY$. The random variable D is called *Mahalanobis distance*.

Convolutions

Let $X \sim E_k(\mu, \Sigma, \psi)$ and $Y \sim E_k(\tilde{\mu}, \Sigma, \tilde{\psi})$ be two independent random vectors. Then $X + Y \sim E_k(\mu + \tilde{\mu}, \Sigma, \bar{\psi})$ where $\bar{\psi} = \psi \tilde{\psi}$.

Quadratic forms

If Σ is nonsingular, then $D^2 = (X - \mu)^T \Sigma^{-1} (X - \mu) \sim R^2$, where R is the nonnegative r.v. in the stochastic representation Y = RS of

the spherical distribution Y with $S \sim U\left(S^{(d-1)}\right)$ and $X = \mu + AY$. The random variable D is called *Mahalanobis distance*.

Convolutions

Let $X \sim E_k(\mu, \Sigma, \psi)$ and $Y \sim E_k(\tilde{\mu}, \Sigma, \tilde{\psi})$ be two independent random vectors. Then $X + Y \sim E_k(\mu + \tilde{\mu}, \Sigma, \tilde{\psi})$ where $\tilde{\psi} = \psi \tilde{\psi}$.

Note that the dispersion matrix Σ must be the same for X and Y.

Quadratic forms

If Σ is nonsingular, then $D^2 = (X - \mu)^T \Sigma^{-1} (X - \mu) \sim R^2$, where R is the nonnegative r.v. in the stochastic representation Y = RS of

the spherical distribution Y with $S \sim U\left(S^{(d-1)}\right)$ and $X = \mu + AY$. The random variable D is called *Mahalanobis distance*.

Convolutions

Let $X \sim E_k(\mu, \Sigma, \psi)$ and $Y \sim E_k(\tilde{\mu}, \Sigma, \tilde{\psi})$ be two independent random vectors. Then $X + Y \sim E_k(\mu + \tilde{\mu}, \Sigma, \bar{\psi})$ where $\bar{\psi} = \psi \tilde{\psi}$. Note that the dispersion matrix Σ must be the same for X and Y.

Important: $X \sim E_k(\mu, I_k, \psi)$ does not imply that the components of X are independent. The components of X are independent iff X is multivariate normally distributed with the unit matrix as a covariance matrix.

Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a probability space with a sample space Ω , a σ -algebra of events \mathcal{F} and a probability measure P.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ★ □▶ = □ ● の < @

Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a probability space with a sample space Ω , a σ -algebra of events \mathcal{F} and a probability measure P.

Let $L^{(0)}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ be the set of almost surely finite random variables in (Ω, \mathcal{F}) . Let $M \subseteq L^{(0)}$ and $\rho \colon M \to \mathbb{R}$ a risk measure in M.

Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a probability space with a sample space Ω , a σ -algebra of events \mathcal{F} and a probability measure P.

Let $L^{(0)}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ be the set of almost surely finite random variables in (Ω, \mathcal{F}) . Let $M \subseteq L^{(0)}$ and $\rho \colon M \to \mathbb{R}$ a risk measure in M.

Definition: A risk measure ρ in *M* is called *coherent* iff it has the following properties

Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a probability space with a sample space Ω , a σ -algebra of events \mathcal{F} and a probability measure P.

Let $L^{(0)}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ be the set of almost surely finite random variables in (Ω, \mathcal{F}) . Let $M \subseteq L^{(0)}$ and $\rho \colon M \to \mathbb{R}$ a risk measure in M.

Definition: A risk measure ρ in *M* is called *coherent* iff it has the following properties

(C1) Invariance with respect to translation:

 $\rho(X+r) = \rho(X) + r, \ \forall r \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } \forall X \in M.$

Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a probability space with a sample space Ω , a σ -algebra of events \mathcal{F} and a probability measure P.

Let $L^{(0)}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ be the set of almost surely finite random variables in (Ω, \mathcal{F}) . Let $M \subseteq L^{(0)}$ and $\rho \colon M \to \mathbb{R}$ a risk measure in M.

Definition: A risk measure ρ in *M* is called *coherent* iff it has the following properties

(C1) Invariance with respect to translation:

$$ho(X+r)=
ho(X)+r,\ orall r\in{
m I\!R}\ {
m and}\ orall X\in M.$$

(C2) Subadditivity:

 $\forall X_1, X_2 \in M \text{ it holds } \rho(X_1 + X_2) \leq \rho(X_1) + \rho(X_2).$

Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a probability space with a sample space Ω , a σ -algebra of events \mathcal{F} and a probability measure P.

Let $L^{(0)}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ be the set of almost surely finite random variables in (Ω, \mathcal{F}) . Let $M \subseteq L^{(0)}$ and $\rho \colon M \to \mathbb{R}$ a risk measure in M.

Definition: A risk measure ρ in *M* is called *coherent* iff it has the following properties

(C1) Invariance with respect to translation:

$$ho(X+r)=
ho(X)+r$$
, $orall r\in{
m I\!R}$ and $orall X\in M.$

(C2) Subadditivity:

 $orall X_1, X_2 \in M ext{ it holds }
ho(X_1 + X_2) \leq
ho(X_1) +
ho(X_2).$

(C3) Positive homogeneity:

$$\rho(\lambda X) = \lambda \rho(X), \ \forall \lambda \ge 0, \ \forall X \in M.$$

Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a probability space with a sample space Ω , a σ -algebra of events \mathcal{F} and a probability measure P.

Let $L^{(0)}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ be the set of almost surely finite random variables in (Ω, \mathcal{F}) . Let $M \subseteq L^{(0)}$ and $\rho \colon M \to \mathbb{R}$ a risk measure in M.

Definition: A risk measure ρ in *M* is called *coherent* iff it has the following properties

(C1) Invariance with respect to translation:

$$ho(X+r)=
ho(X)+r$$
, $orall r\in{
m I\!R}$ and $orall X\in M.$

(C2) Subadditivity:

 $\forall X_1, X_2 \in M ext{ it holds }
ho(X_1 + X_2) \leq
ho(X_1) +
ho(X_2).$

(C3) Positive homogeneity:

$$\rho(\lambda X) = \lambda \rho(X), \ \forall \lambda \ge 0, \ \forall X \in M.$$

(C4) Monotonicity:

 $\forall X_1, X_2 \in M \text{ the impliecation } X_1 \stackrel{a.s.}{\leq} X_2 \Longrightarrow \rho(X_1) \leq \rho(X_2) \text{ holds.}$

Consider the property:

(C5) Convexity:

 $egin{aligned} &orall X_1, X_2 \in \mathit{M}, \, orall \lambda \in [0,1] \ &
ho(\lambda X_1 + (1-\lambda) X_2) \leq \lambda
ho(X_1) + (1-\lambda)
ho(X_2) ext{ holds.} \end{aligned}$

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

Consider the property:

(C5) Convexity:

$$egin{aligned} &orall X_1, X_2 \in M, \ orall \lambda \in [0,1] \ &
ho(\lambda X_1 + (1-\lambda) X_2) \leq \lambda
ho(X_1) + (1-\lambda)
ho(X_2) \ ext{holds}. \end{aligned}$$

(C5) is weaker than (C2) and (C3), i.e. (C2) and (C3) together imply (C5), but not vice-verca.

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

Consider the property:

(C5) Convexity:

 $egin{aligned} &orall X_1, X_2 \in \mathit{M}, \, orall \lambda \in [0,1] \ &
ho(\lambda X_1 + (1-\lambda) X_2) \leq \lambda
ho(X_1) + (1-\lambda)
ho(X_2) ext{ holds.} \end{aligned}$

(C5) is weaker than (C2) and (C3), i.e. (C2) and (C3) together imply (C5), but not vice-verca.

Definition: A risk measure ρ in M with the properties (C1),(C4) and (C5) is called *convex* in M.

▲日▼ ▲□▼ ▲ □▼ ▲ □▼ ■ ● ● ●

Consider the property:

(C5) Convexity:

 $egin{aligned} &orall X_1, X_2 \in M, \ orall \lambda \in [0,1] \ &
ho(\lambda X_1 + (1-\lambda) X_2) \leq \lambda
ho(X_1) + (1-\lambda)
ho(X_2) \ ext{holds}. \end{aligned}$

(C5) is weaker than (C2) and (C3), i.e. (C2) and (C3) together imply (C5), but not vice-verca.

Definition: A risk measure ρ in M with the properties (C1),(C4) and (C5) is called *convex* in M.

▲日▼ ▲□▼ ▲ □▼ ▲ □▼ ■ ● ● ●

Observation: VaR is not coherent in general.

Consider the property:

(C5) Convexity:

 $egin{aligned} &orall X_1, X_2 \in M, \ orall \lambda \in [0,1] \ &
ho(\lambda X_1 + (1-\lambda) X_2) \leq \lambda
ho(X_1) + (1-\lambda)
ho(X_2) \ ext{holds}. \end{aligned}$

(C5) is weaker than (C2) and (C3), i.e. (C2) and (C3) together imply (C5), but not vice-verca.

Definition: A risk measure ρ in M with the properties (C1),(C4) and (C5) is called *convex* in M.

Observation: VaR is not coherent in general.

Let the probability measure P be defined by some continuous or discrete probability distribution F.

 $VaR_{\alpha}(F) = F^{\leftarrow}(\alpha)$ has the properties (C1), (C3) and (C4), whereas the subadditivity (C2) is not fulfilled in general.

Example: Let the probability measure P be defined by the binomial distribution B(p, n) for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $p \in (0, 1)$. We show that $VaR_{\alpha}(B(p, n))$ is not subadditive.

Example: Let the probability measure P be defined by the binomial distribution B(p, n) for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $p \in (0, 1)$. We show that $VaR_{\alpha}(B(p, n))$ is not subadditive.

Consider a portfolio consisting of 100 bonds, which default independently with probability p. Observe that the VaR of the portfolio loss is larger than 100 times the VaR of the loss of a single bond.

Example: Let the probability measure P be defined by the binomial distribution B(p, n) for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $p \in (0, 1)$. We show that $VaR_{\alpha}(B(p, n))$ is not subadditive.

Consider a portfolio consisting of 100 bonds, which default independently with probability p. Observe that the VaR of the portfolio loss is larger than 100 times the VaR of the loss of a single bond.

Theorem: Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a probability space and $M \subseteq L^{(0)}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ be the set of the random variables with a continuous distribution in (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) . $CVaR_{\alpha}$ is a coherent risk measure in M, $\forall \alpha \in (0, 1)$.

Example: Let the probability measure P be defined by the binomial distribution B(p, n) for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $p \in (0, 1)$. We show that $VaR_{\alpha}(B(p, n))$ is not subadditive.

Consider a portfolio consisting of 100 bonds, which default independently with probability p. Observe that the VaR of the portfolio loss is larger than 100 times the VaR of the loss of a single bond.

Theorem: Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a probability space and $M \subseteq L^{(0)}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ be the set of the random variables with a continuous distribution in (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) . $CVaR_{\alpha}$ is a coherent risk measure in M, $\forall \alpha \in (0, 1)$.

Sketch of the proof:

(C1),(C3), (C4) follow from $CVaR_{\alpha}(F) = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \int_{\alpha}^{1} Var_{\rho}(F)dp$.

Example: Let the probability measure P be defined by the binomial distribution B(p, n) for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $p \in (0, 1)$. We show that $VaR_{\alpha}(B(p, n))$ is not subadditive.

Consider a portfolio consisting of 100 bonds, which default independently with probability p. Observe that the VaR of the portfolio loss is larger than 100 times the VaR of the loss of a single bond.

Theorem: Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a probability space and $M \subseteq L^{(0)}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ be the set of the random variables with a continuous distribution in (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) . $CVaR_{\alpha}$ is a coherent risk measure in M, $\forall \alpha \in (0, 1)$.

Sketch of the proof:

(C1),(C3), (C4) follow from $CVaR_{\alpha}(F) = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \int_{\alpha}^{1} Var_{\rho}(F)dp$.

To show (C2) observe that for a sequence of i.i.d. r.v. L_1 , L_2 , ..., L_n with order statistics $L_{1,n} \ge L_{2,n} \ge ... \ge L_{n,n}$ and for any $m \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} L_{i,n} = \sup\{L_{i_1} + L_{i_2} + \ldots + L_{i_m} \colon 1 \le i_1 < \ldots < i_m \le n\} \text{ holds.}$$