**Definition:** A random vector  $X = (X_1, X_2, ..., X_d)^T$  has a spherical distribution if for every orthogonal matrix  $U \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  we have  $UX \stackrel{d}{=} X$ .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

**Definition:** A random vector  $X = (X_1, X_2, ..., X_d)^T$  has a spherical distribution if for every orthogonal matrix  $U \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  we have  $UX \stackrel{d}{=} X$ . **Theorem:** The following statements are equivalent:

・ロト・日本・モート モー うへぐ

1.  $X \in {\rm I\!R}^d$  has a spherical distribution.

**Definition:** A random vector  $X = (X_1, X_2, ..., X_d)^T$  has a spherical distribution if for every orthogonal matrix  $U \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  we have  $UX \stackrel{d}{=} X$ . **Theorem:** The following statements are equivalent:

- 1.  $X \in {\rm I\!R}^d$  has a spherical distribution.
- 2. There exists a function  $\psi \colon \mathrm{I\!R} \to \mathrm{I\!R}$  of a scalar variable, such that the characteristic function of X satisfies

$$\phi_X(t) = \psi(t^T t) = \psi(t_1^2 + t_2^2 + \ldots + t_d^2)$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

**Definition:** A random vector  $X = (X_1, X_2, ..., X_d)^T$  has a spherical distribution if for every orthogonal matrix  $U \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  we have  $UX \stackrel{d}{=} X$ . **Theorem:** The following statements are equivalent:

- 1.  $X \in \mathbb{R}^d$  has a spherical distribution.
- 2. There exists a function  $\psi \colon \mathrm{I\!R} \to \mathrm{I\!R}$  of a scalar variable, such that the characteristic function of X satisfies

$$\phi_X(t) = \psi(t^T t) = \psi(t_1^2 + t_2^2 + \ldots + t_d^2)$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

3. For every vector 
$$a \in \mathbb{R}^d$$
,  $a^t X \stackrel{d}{=} ||a||X_1$  holds, where  $||a||^2 = a_1^2 + a_2^2 + \ldots + a_d^2$ .

**Definition:** A random vector  $X = (X_1, X_2, ..., X_d)^T$  has a spherical distribution if for every orthogonal matrix  $U \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  we have  $UX \stackrel{d}{=} X$ . **Theorem:** The following statements are equivalent:

- 1.  $X \in {\rm I\!R}^d$  has a spherical distribution.
- 2. There exists a function  $\psi \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  of a scalar variable, such that the characteristic function of X satisfies

$$\phi_X(t) = \psi(t^T t) = \psi(t_1^2 + t_2^2 + \ldots + t_d^2)$$

3. For every vector 
$$a \in \mathbb{R}^d$$
,  $a^t X \stackrel{d}{=} ||a||X_1$  holds, where  $||a||^2 = a_1^2 + a_2^2 + \ldots + a_d^2$ .

4. X has the stochastic representation X <sup>d</sup>= RS, where S ∈ ℝ<sup>d</sup> is a random vector uniformly distributed on the unit sphere S<sup>d-1</sup>, S<sup>d-1</sup> := {x ∈ ℝ<sup>d</sup> : ||x|| = 1}, and R ≥ 0 is a r.v. independent of S. Notation: X ~ S<sub>d</sub>(ψ), cf. 2.

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

**Example:** The standard normal distribution is a spherical distribution.

Let  $X \sim N_d(0, I)$ . Then  $X \sim S_d(\psi)$  mit  $\psi = \exp(-x/2)$ . Indeed,  $\phi_X(t) = \exp\{it^T 0 - \frac{1}{2}t^T It\} = \exp\{-t^T t/2\} = \psi(t^T t)$ , and thus X has a spherical distribution.

**Example:** The standard normal distribution is a spherical distribution.

Let  $X \sim N_d(0, I)$ . Then  $X \sim S_d(\psi)$  mit  $\psi = \exp(-x/2)$ . Indeed,  $\phi_X(t) = \exp\{it^T 0 - \frac{1}{2}t^T It\} = \exp\{-t^T t/2\} = \psi(t^T t)$ , and thus X has a spherical distribution.

Let X = RS be the stochastic representation of  $X \sim N_d(0, I)$ . Then  $||X||^2 \stackrel{d}{=} R^2 \sim \chi^2_d$ ;

**Example:** The standard normal distribution is a spherical distribution.

Let  $X \sim N_d(0, I)$ . Then  $X \sim S_d(\psi)$  mit  $\psi = \exp(-x/2)$ . Indeed,  $\phi_X(t) = \exp\{it^T 0 - \frac{1}{2}t^T It\} = \exp\{-t^T t/2\} = \psi(t^T t)$ , and thus X has a spherical distribution.

Let X = RS be the stochastic representation of  $X \sim N_d(0, I)$ . Then  $||X||^2 \stackrel{d}{=} R^2 \sim \chi^2_d$ ;

#### Simulation of a spherical distribution:

(i) Simulate s from S which is uniformly distributed on the unit sphere  $S^{d-1}$  (e.g. by simulating y from a multivariate standard normal distribution  $Y \sim N_d(0, I)$  and then setting s = y/||y||).

**Example:** The standard normal distribution is a spherical distribution.

Let  $X \sim N_d(0, I)$ . Then  $X \sim S_d(\psi)$  mit  $\psi = \exp(-x/2)$ . Indeed,  $\phi_X(t) = \exp\{it^T 0 - \frac{1}{2}t^T It\} = \exp\{-t^T t/2\} = \psi(t^T t)$ , and thus X has a spherical distribution.

Let X = RS be the stochastic representation of  $X \sim N_d(0, I)$ . Then  $||X||^2 \stackrel{d}{=} R^2 \sim \chi^2_d$ ;

#### Simulation of a spherical distribution:

(i) Simulate s from S which is uniformly distributed on the unit sphere  $S^{d-1}$  (e.g. by simulating y from a multivariate standard normal distribution  $Y \sim N_d(0, I)$  and then setting s = y/||y||).

(ii) Simulate r from R.

**Example:** The standard normal distribution is a spherical distribution.

Let  $X \sim N_d(0, I)$ . Then  $X \sim S_d(\psi)$  mit  $\psi = \exp(-x/2)$ . Indeed,  $\phi_X(t) = \exp\{it^T 0 - \frac{1}{2}t^T It\} = \exp\{-t^T t/2\} = \psi(t^T t)$ , and thus X has a spherical distribution.

Let X = RS be the stochastic representation of  $X \sim N_d(0, I)$ . Then  $||X||^2 \stackrel{d}{=} R^2 \sim \chi^2_d$ ;

#### Simulation of a spherical distribution:

(i) Simulate *s* from *S* which is uniformly distributed on the unit sphere  $S^{d-1}$  (e.g. by simulating *y* from a multivariate standard normal distribution  $Y \sim N_d(0, I)$  and then setting s = y/||y||).

- (ii) Simulate r from R.
- (iii) Set x = rs.

**Definition:** A random vector  $X \in \mathbb{R}^d$  has an elliptical distribution if  $X \stackrel{d}{=} \mu + AY$ , where  $Y \sim S_k(\psi)$ ,  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k}$ .

・ロト・日本・モト・モート ヨー うへで

**Definition:** A random vector  $X \in \mathbb{R}^d$  has an elliptical distribution if  $X \stackrel{d}{=} \mu + AY$ , where  $Y \sim S_k(\psi)$ ,  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k}$ .

The characteristic function can be written as

$$\phi_X(t) = E(\exp\{it^T X\}) = E(\exp\{it^T (\mu + AY)\})$$
$$= \exp\{it^T \mu\}E(\exp\{i(A^T t)^T Y\})$$
$$= \exp\{it^T \mu\}\psi(t^T \Sigma t),$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

where  $\Sigma = AA^T$ .

**Definition:** A random vector  $X \in \mathbb{R}^d$  has an elliptical distribution if  $X \stackrel{d}{=} \mu + AY$ , where  $Y \sim S_k(\psi)$ ,  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k}$ .

The characteristic function can be written as

$$\phi_X(t) = E(\exp\{it^T X\}) = E(\exp\{it^T (\mu + AY)\})$$
$$= \exp\{it^T \mu\}E(\exp\{i(A^T t)^T Y\})$$
$$= \exp\{it^T \mu\}\psi(t^T \Sigma t),$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

where  $\Sigma = AA^T$ . Notation:  $X \sim E_d(\mu, \Sigma, \psi)$ .

**Definition:** A random vector  $X \in \mathbb{R}^d$  has an elliptical distribution if  $X \stackrel{d}{=} \mu + AY$ , where  $Y \sim S_k(\psi)$ ,  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k}$ .

The characteristic function can be written as

$$\phi_X(t) = E(\exp\{it^T X\}) = E(\exp\{it^T (\mu + AY)\})$$
$$= \exp\{it^T \mu\}E(\exp\{i(A^T t)^T Y\})$$
$$= \exp\{it^T \mu\}\psi(t^T \Sigma t),$$

where  $\Sigma = AA^T$ . Notation:  $X \sim E_d(\mu, \Sigma, \psi)$ .

 $\mu$  is called *location parameter*,  $\Sigma$  is called *dispersion parameter*,  $\psi$  is called *characteristic generator of the elliptic distribution*.

**Definition:** A random vector  $X \in \mathbb{R}^d$  has an elliptical distribution if  $X \stackrel{d}{=} \mu + AY$ , where  $Y \sim S_k(\psi)$ ,  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k}$ .

The characteristic function can be written as

$$\phi_X(t) = E(\exp\{it^T X\}) = E(\exp\{it^T (\mu + AY)\})$$
$$= \exp\{it^T \mu\}E(\exp\{i(A^T t)^T Y\})$$
$$= \exp\{it^T \mu\}\psi(t^T \Sigma t),$$

where  $\Sigma = AA^T$ . Notation:  $X \sim E_d(\mu, \Sigma, \psi)$ .

 $\mu$  is called *location parameter*,  $\Sigma$  is called *dispersion parameter*,  $\psi$  is called *characteristic generator of the elliptic distribution*.

If E(X) exists, then  $E(X) = \mu$ .

**Definition:** A random vector  $X \in \mathbb{R}^d$  has an elliptical distribution if  $X \stackrel{d}{=} \mu + AY$ , where  $Y \sim S_k(\psi)$ ,  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k}$ .

The characteristic function can be written as

$$\phi_X(t) = E(\exp\{it^T X\}) = E(\exp\{it^T (\mu + AY)\})$$
$$= \exp\{it^T \mu\}E(\exp\{i(A^T t)^T Y\})$$
$$= \exp\{it^T \mu\}\psi(t^T \Sigma t),$$

where  $\Sigma = AA^T$ . Notation:  $X \sim E_d(\mu, \Sigma, \psi)$ .

 $\mu$  is called *location parameter*,  $\Sigma$  is called *dispersion parameter*,  $\psi$  is called *characteristic generator of the elliptic distribution*.

If 
$$E(X)$$
 exists, then  $E(X) = \mu$ .

IF  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  is nonsingular, then we have the following relation between elliptical and spherical distributions:

 $X \sim E_d(\mu, \Sigma, \psi) \Leftrightarrow A^{-1}(X - \mu) \sim S_d(\psi), \ A \in \mathrm{I\!R}^{d \times d}, \ AA^T = \Sigma.$ 

**Theorem:** (Stochastic representation of elliptical distributions) Let  $X \in \mathbb{R}^d$  be an *d*-dimensional random vector.  $X \sim E_d(\mu, \Sigma, \psi)$  iff  $X \stackrel{d}{=} \mu + RAS$ , where  $S \in \mathbb{R}^k$  is a random vector uniformly distributed on the unit sphere  $S^{k-1}$ ,  $R \ge 0$  is a r.v. independent of S,  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k}$  is a constant matrix with  $\Sigma = AA^T$  and  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is a constant vector.

**Theorem:** (Stochastic representation of elliptical distributions) Let  $X \in \mathbb{R}^d$  be an *d*-dimensional random vector.  $X \sim E_d(\mu, \Sigma, \psi)$  iff  $X \stackrel{d}{=} \mu + RAS$ , where  $S \in \mathbb{R}^k$  is a random vector uniformly distributed on the unit sphere  $S^{k-1}$ ,  $R \ge 0$  is a r.v. independent of S,  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k}$  is a constant matrix with  $\Sigma = AA^T$  and  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is a constant vector.

#### Simulation of an elliptical distribution:

(i) Simulate *s* from *S* which is uniformly distributed on the unit sphere  $S^{d-1}$  (e.g. by simulating *y* from a multivariate standard normal distribution  $Y \sim N_d(0, I)$  and then setting s = y/||y||).

**Theorem:** (Stochastic representation of elliptical distributions) Let  $X \in \mathbb{R}^d$  be an *d*-dimensional random vector.  $X \sim E_d(\mu, \Sigma, \psi)$  iff  $X \stackrel{d}{=} \mu + RAS$ , where  $S \in \mathbb{R}^k$  is a random vector uniformly distributed on the unit sphere  $S^{k-1}$ ,  $R \ge 0$  is a r.v. independent of S,  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k}$  is a constant matrix with  $\Sigma = AA^T$  and  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is a constant vector.

#### Simulation of an elliptical distribution:

(i) Simulate *s* from *S* which is uniformly distributed on the unit sphere  $S^{d-1}$  (e.g. by simulating *y* from a multivariate standard normal distribution  $Y \sim N_d(0, I)$  and then setting s = y/||y||).

(ii) Simulate r from R.

**Theorem:** (Stochastic representation of elliptical distributions) Let  $X \in \mathbb{R}^d$  be an *d*-dimensional random vector.  $X \sim E_d(\mu, \Sigma, \psi)$  iff  $X \stackrel{d}{=} \mu + RAS$ , where  $S \in \mathbb{R}^k$  is a random vector uniformly distributed on the unit sphere  $S^{k-1}$ ,  $R \ge 0$  is a r.v. independent of S,  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k}$  is a constant matrix with  $\Sigma = AA^T$  and  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is a constant vector.

#### Simulation of an elliptical distribution:

(i) Simulate s from S which is uniformly distributed on the unit sphere  $S^{d-1}$  (e.g. by simulating y from a multivariate standard normal distribution  $Y \sim N_d(0, I)$  and then setting s = y/||y||).

- (ii) Simulate r from R.
- (iii) Set  $x = \mu + rAs$ .

# **Examples of elliptical distributions**

#### Examples of elliptical distributions

Multivariate normal distribution

Let  $X \sim N(\mu, \Sigma)$  with  $\Sigma$  positive definite. Then for  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k}$  with  $AA^T = \Sigma$  we have  $X \stackrel{d}{=} \mu + AZ$ , where  $Z \in N_k(0, I)$ . Moreover Z = RS holds with S being uniformly distributed on the unit sphere  $S^{k-1}$  and  $R^2 \sim \chi_k^2$ . Thus  $X \stackrel{d}{=} \mu + RAS$  holds and hence  $X \sim E_d(\mu, \Sigma, \psi)$  with  $\psi(x) = \exp\{-x/2\}$ .

#### Examples of elliptical distributions

Multivariate normal distribution

Let  $X \sim N(\mu, \Sigma)$  with  $\Sigma$  positive definite. Then for  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k}$  with  $AA^T = \Sigma$  we have  $X \stackrel{d}{=} \mu + AZ$ , where  $Z \in N_k(0, I)$ . Moreover Z = RS holds with S being uniformly distributed on the unit sphere  $S^{k-1}$  and  $R^2 \sim \chi_k^2$ . Thus  $X \stackrel{d}{=} \mu + RAS$  holds and hence  $X \sim E_d(\mu, \Sigma, \psi)$  with  $\psi(x) = \exp\{-x/2\}$ .

Multivariate normal variance mixtures

Let  $Z \sim N_d(0, I)$ . Then Z has a spherical distribution with stochastic representation  $Z \stackrel{d}{=} VS$  with  $V^2 = ||Z||^2 \sim \chi_d^2$ . Let  $X = \mu + WAZ$  be a variance normal mixture distribution. Then we get  $X \stackrel{d}{=} \mu + VWAS$  with  $V^2 \sim \chi_d^2$  and VW is a nonnegative r.v. independent of S. Thus X is elliptically distributed with R = VW.

# Properties of elliptical distributions Theorem:

Let  $X \sim E_k(\mu, \Sigma, \psi)$ . X has the following properties:

• Linear transformation For  $B \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times d}$  and  $b \in \mathbb{R}^k$  we have:

 $BX + b \in E_k(B\mu + b, B\Sigma B^T, \psi).$ 

・ロト・日本・モート モー うへぐ

# Properties of elliptical distributions Theorem:

Let  $X \sim E_k(\mu, \Sigma, \psi)$ . X has the following properties:

• Linear transformation For  $B \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times d}$  and  $b \in \mathbb{R}^k$  we have:

$$BX + b \in E_k(B\mu + b, B\Sigma B^T, \psi).$$

Marginal distributions

Set 
$$X^T = \left(X^{(1)T}, X^{(2)T}\right)$$
 for  $X^{(1)T} = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)^T$  and  
 $X^{(2)T} = (X_{n+1}, X_{n+2}, \dots, X_k)^T$  and analogously set  
 $\mu^T = \left(\mu^{(1)T}, \mu^{(2)T}\right)$  as well as  $\Sigma = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \Sigma^{(1,1)} & \Sigma^{(1,2)} \\ \Sigma^{(2,1)} & \Sigma^{(2,2)} \end{array}\right)$ . Then  
 $X_1 \sim E_n \left(\mu^{(1)}, \Sigma^{(1,1)}, \psi\right)$  and  $X_2 \sim E_{k-n} \left(\mu^{(2)}, \Sigma^{(2,2)}, \psi\right)$ .

Conditional distributions

Assume that  $\Sigma$  is nonsingular. Then  $X^{(2)} \left| X^{(1)} = x^{(1)} \sim E_{d-k} \left( \mu^{(2,1)}, \Sigma^{(22,1)}, \tilde{\psi} \right) \text{ where} \right.$   $\mu^{(2,1)} = \mu^{(2)} + \Sigma^{(2,1)} \left( \Sigma^{(1,1)} \right)^{-1} \left( x^{(1)} - \mu^{(1)} \right) \text{ and}$   $\Sigma^{(22,1)} = \Sigma^{(2,2)} - \Sigma^{(2,1)} \left( \Sigma^{(1,1)} \right)^{-1} \Sigma^{(1,2)}.$ 

Typically  $\tilde{\psi}$  is a different characteristic generator than the original  $\psi$  (see Fang, Katz and Ng 1987).

・ロト ・母ト ・ヨト ・ヨー ・ つへで

Quadratic forms

If  $\Sigma$  is nonsingular, then  $D^2 = (X - \mu)^T \Sigma^{-1} (X - \mu) \sim R^2$ , where R is the nonnegative r.v. in the stochastic representation Y = RS of

the spherical distribution Y with  $S \sim U\left(S^{(d-1)}\right)$  and  $X = \mu + AY$ .

The random variable D is called Mahalanobis distance.

Quadratic forms

If  $\Sigma$  is nonsingular, then  $D^2 = (X - \mu)^T \Sigma^{-1} (X - \mu) \sim R^2$ , where R is the nonnegative r.v. in the stochastic representation Y = RS of the spherical distribution Y with  $S \sim U\left(S^{(d-1)}\right)$  and  $X = \mu + AY$ .

The random variable D is called Mahalanobis distance.

Convolutions

Let  $X \sim E_k(\mu, \Sigma, \psi)$  and  $Y \sim E_k(\tilde{\mu}, \Sigma, \tilde{\psi})$  be two independent random vectors. Then  $X + Y \sim E_k(\mu + \tilde{\mu}, \Sigma, \bar{\psi})$  where  $\bar{\psi} = \psi \tilde{\psi}$ .

Quadratic forms

If  $\Sigma$  is nonsingular, then  $D^2 = (X - \mu)^T \Sigma^{-1} (X - \mu) \sim R^2$ , where R is the nonnegative r.v. in the stochastic representation Y = RS of the spherical distribution Y with  $S \sim U\left(S^{(d-1)}\right)$  and  $X = \mu + AY$ . The random variable D is called *Mahalanobis distance*.

Convolutions

Let  $X \sim E_k(\mu, \Sigma, \psi)$  and  $Y \sim E_k(\tilde{\mu}, \Sigma, \tilde{\psi})$  be two independent random vectors. Then  $X + Y \sim E_k(\mu + \tilde{\mu}, \Sigma, \bar{\psi})$  where  $\bar{\psi} = \psi \tilde{\psi}$ . Note that the dispersion matrix  $\Sigma$  must be the same for X and Y.

Quadratic forms

If  $\Sigma$  is nonsingular, then  $D^2 = (X - \mu)^T \Sigma^{-1} (X - \mu) \sim R^2$ , where R is the nonnegative r.v. in the stochastic representation Y = RS of the spherical distribution Y with  $S \sim U\left(S^{(d-1)}\right)$  and  $X = \mu + AY$ .

The random variable D is called *Mahalanobis distance*.

Convolutions

Let  $X \sim E_k(\mu, \Sigma, \psi)$  and  $Y \sim E_k(\tilde{\mu}, \Sigma, \tilde{\psi})$  be two independent random vectors. Then  $X + Y \sim E_k(\mu + \tilde{\mu}, \Sigma, \bar{\psi})$  where  $\bar{\psi} = \psi \tilde{\psi}$ . Note that the dispersion matrix  $\Sigma$  must be the same for X and Y.

**Important:**  $X \sim E_k(\mu, I_k, \psi)$  does not imply that the components of X are independent. The components of X are independent iff X is multivariate normally distributed with the unit matrix as a covariance matrix.

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space with a sample space  $\Omega$ , a  $\sigma$ -algebra of events  $\mathcal{F}$  and a probability measure P.

・ロト・日本・モト・モート ヨー うへで

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space with a sample space  $\Omega$ , a  $\sigma$ -algebra of events  $\mathcal{F}$  and a probability measure P.

Let  $L^{(0)}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be the set of almost surely finite random variables in  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ . Let  $M \subseteq L^{(0)}$  and  $\rho: M \to \mathbb{R}$  a risk measure in M.

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space with a sample space  $\Omega$ , a  $\sigma$ -algebra of events  $\mathcal{F}$  and a probability measure P.

Let  $L^{(0)}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be the set of almost surely finite random variables in  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ . Let  $M \subseteq L^{(0)}$  and  $\rho \colon M \to \mathbb{R}$  a risk measure in M.

**Definition:** A risk measure  $\rho$  in *M* is called *coherent* iff it has the following properties

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space with a sample space  $\Omega$ , a  $\sigma$ -algebra of events  $\mathcal{F}$  and a probability measure P.

Let  $L^{(0)}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be the set of almost surely finite random variables in  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ . Let  $M \subseteq L^{(0)}$  and  $\rho \colon M \to \mathbb{R}$  a risk measure in M.

**Definition:** A risk measure  $\rho$  in M is called *coherent* iff it has the following properties

(C1) Invariance with respect to translation:

 $\rho(X+r) = \rho(X) + r, \ \forall r \in \mathrm{I\!R} \text{ and } \forall X \in M.$ 

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space with a sample space  $\Omega$ , a  $\sigma$ -algebra of events  $\mathcal{F}$  and a probability measure P.

Let  $L^{(0)}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be the set of almost surely finite random variables in  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ . Let  $M \subseteq L^{(0)}$  and  $\rho \colon M \to \mathbb{R}$  a risk measure in M.

**Definition:** A risk measure  $\rho$  in M is called *coherent* iff it has the following properties

(C1) Invariance with respect to translation:

 $\rho(X+r) = \rho(X) + r, \ \forall r \in \mathrm{I\!R} \ \mathrm{and} \ \forall X \in M.$ 

(C2) Subadditivity:

 $\forall X_1, X_2 \in M \text{ it holds } \rho(X_1 + X_2) \leq \rho(X_1) + \rho(X_2).$ 

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space with a sample space  $\Omega$ , a  $\sigma$ -algebra of events  $\mathcal{F}$  and a probability measure P.

Let  $L^{(0)}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be the set of almost surely finite random variables in  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ . Let  $M \subseteq L^{(0)}$  and  $\rho \colon M \to \mathbb{R}$  a risk measure in M.

**Definition:** A risk measure  $\rho$  in M is called *coherent* iff it has the following properties

(C1) Invariance with respect to translation:

 $\rho(X+r) = \rho(X) + r, \forall r \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } \forall X \in M.$ 

(C2) Subadditivity:

 $\forall X_1, X_2 \in M \text{ it holds } \rho(X_1 + X_2) \leq \rho(X_1) + \rho(X_2).$ 

(C3) Positive homogeneity:

$$\rho(\lambda X) = \lambda \rho(X), \ \forall \lambda \ge 0, \ \forall X \in M.$$

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space with a sample space  $\Omega$ , a  $\sigma$ -algebra of events  $\mathcal{F}$  and a probability measure P.

Let  $L^{(0)}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be the set of almost surely finite random variables in  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ . Let  $M \subseteq L^{(0)}$  and  $\rho \colon M \to \mathbb{R}$  a risk measure in M.

**Definition:** A risk measure  $\rho$  in M is called *coherent* iff it has the following properties

(C1) Invariance with respect to translation:

$$ho(X+r)=
ho(X)+r$$
,  $orall r\in{
m I\!R}$  and  $orall X\in M.$ 

(C2) Subadditivity:

 $orall X_1, X_2 \in M ext{ it holds } 
ho(X_1 + X_2) \leq 
ho(X_1) + 
ho(X_2).$ 

(C3) Positive homogeneity:

$$\rho(\lambda X) = \lambda \rho(X), \ \forall \lambda \ge 0, \ \forall X \in M.$$

(C4) Monotonicity:

 $\forall X_1, X_2 \in M \text{ the implication } X_1 \stackrel{a.s.}{\leq} X_2 \Longrightarrow \rho(X_1) \leq \rho(X_2) \text{ holds.}$ 

Consider the property:

(C5) Convexity:

 $egin{aligned} &orall X_1, X_2 \in \mathit{M}, \, orall \lambda \in [0,1] \ &
ho(\lambda X_1 + (1-\lambda) X_2) \leq \lambda 
ho(X_1) + (1-\lambda) 
ho(X_2) ext{ holds.} \end{aligned}$ 

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

Consider the property:

(C5) Convexity:

 $egin{aligned} &orall X_1, X_2 \in \mathit{M}, \ orall \lambda \in [0,1] \ &
ho(\lambda X_1 + (1-\lambda) X_2) \leq \lambda 
ho(X_1) + (1-\lambda) 
ho(X_2) \ ext{holds}. \end{aligned}$ 

(C5) is weaker than (C2) and (C3), i.e. (C2) and (C3) together imply (C5), but not vice-versa.

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

Consider the property:

(C5) Convexity:

 $egin{aligned} &orall X_1, X_2 \in \mathit{M}, \ orall \lambda \in [0,1] \ &
ho(\lambda X_1 + (1-\lambda) X_2) \leq \lambda 
ho(X_1) + (1-\lambda) 
ho(X_2) \ ext{holds}. \end{aligned}$ 

(C5) is weaker than (C2) and (C3), i.e. (C2) and (C3) together imply (C5), but not vice-versa.

**Definition:** A risk measure  $\rho$  in M with the properties (C1),(C4) and (C5) is called *convex* in M.

Consider the property:

(C5) Convexity:

 $egin{aligned} &orall X_1, X_2 \in \mathit{M}, \ orall \lambda \in [0,1] \ &
ho(\lambda X_1 + (1-\lambda) X_2) \leq \lambda 
ho(X_1) + (1-\lambda) 
ho(X_2) \ ext{holds}. \end{aligned}$ 

(C5) is weaker than (C2) and (C3), i.e. (C2) and (C3) together imply (C5), but not vice-versa.

**Definition:** A risk measure  $\rho$  in M with the properties (C1),(C4) and (C5) is called *convex* in M.

**Observation:** VaR is not coherent in general.

Consider the property:

(C5) Convexity:

 $egin{aligned} &orall X_1, X_2 \in \mathit{M}, \ orall \lambda \in [0,1] \ &
ho(\lambda X_1 + (1-\lambda) X_2) \leq \lambda 
ho(X_1) + (1-\lambda) 
ho(X_2) \ ext{holds}. \end{aligned}$ 

(C5) is weaker than (C2) and (C3), i.e. (C2) and (C3) together imply (C5), but not vice-versa.

**Definition:** A risk measure  $\rho$  in M with the properties (C1),(C4) and (C5) is called *convex* in M.

**Observation:** VaR is not coherent in general.

Let the probability measure P be defined by some continuous or discrete probability distribution F.

 $VaR_{\alpha}(F) = F^{\leftarrow}(\alpha)$  has the properties (C1), (C3) and (C4), whereas the subadditivity (C2) is not fulfilled in general.

・ロト ・母ト ・ヨト ・ヨー ・ つへで

**Example:** Let the probability measure *P* be defined by the binomial distribution B(p, n) for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $p \in (0, 1)$ . We show that  $VaR_{\alpha}(B(p, n))$  is not subadditive.

**Example:** Let the probability measure P be defined by the binomial distribution B(p, n) for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $p \in (0, 1)$ . We show that  $VaR_{\alpha}(B(p, n))$  is not subadditive.

Consider a portfolio consisting of 100 bonds, which default independently with probability p. Observe that the VaR of the portfolio loss is larger than 100 times the VaR of the loss of a single bond.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

**Example:** Let the probability measure P be defined by the binomial distribution B(p, n) for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $p \in (0, 1)$ . We show that  $VaR_{\alpha}(B(p, n))$  is not subadditive.

Consider a portfolio consisting of 100 bonds, which default independently with probability p. Observe that the VaR of the portfolio loss is larger than 100 times the VaR of the loss of a single bond.

**Theorem:** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space and  $M \subseteq L^{(0)}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be the set of the random variables with a continuous distribution in  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ .  $CVaR_{\alpha}$  is a coherent risk measure in M,  $\forall \alpha \in (0, 1)$ .

**Example:** Let the probability measure P be defined by the binomial distribution B(p, n) for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $p \in (0, 1)$ . We show that  $VaR_{\alpha}(B(p, n))$  is not subadditive.

Consider a portfolio consisting of 100 bonds, which default independently with probability p. Observe that the VaR of the portfolio loss is larger than 100 times the VaR of the loss of a single bond.

**Theorem:** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space and  $M \subseteq L^{(0)}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ be the set of the random variables with a continuous distribution in  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ .  $CVaR_{\alpha}$  is a coherent risk measure in M,  $\forall \alpha \in (0, 1)$ . **Sketch of the proof:** (C1), (C3), (C4) follow from  $CVaR_{\alpha}(F) = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \int_{\alpha}^{1} Var_{p}(F)dp$ .

**Example:** Let the probability measure P be defined by the binomial distribution B(p, n) for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $p \in (0, 1)$ . We show that  $VaR_{\alpha}(B(p, n))$  is not subadditive.

Consider a portfolio consisting of 100 bonds, which default independently with probability p. Observe that the VaR of the portfolio loss is larger than 100 times the VaR of the loss of a single bond.

**Theorem:** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space and  $M \subseteq L^{(0)}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be the set of the random variables with a continuous distribution in  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ .  $CVaR_{\alpha}$  is a coherent risk measure in M,  $\forall \alpha \in (0, 1)$ . Sketch of the proof:

(C1),(C3), (C4) follow from  $CVaR_{\alpha}(F) = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \int_{\alpha}^{1} Var_{\rho}(F)dp$ .

To show (C2) observe that for a sequence of i.i.d. r.v.  $L_1$ ,  $L_2$ , ...,  $L_n$  with order statistics  $L_{1,n} \ge L_{2,n} \ge ... \ge L_{n,n}$  and for any  $m \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ 

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} L_{i,n} = \sup\{L_{i_1} + L_{i_2} + \ldots + L_{i_m} \colon 1 \le i_1 < \ldots < i_m \le n\} \text{ holds.}$$

Consider a portfolio of *d* risky assets and the random vector  $X = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_d)^T$  of their returns. Let  $E(X) = \mu$ .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

Consider a portfolio of *d* risky assets and the random vector  $X = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_d)^T$  of their returns. Let  $E(X) = \mu$ .

Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the family of all portfolios consisting of the obove d assets

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Consider a portfolio of *d* risky assets and the random vector  $X = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_d)^T$  of their returns. Let  $E(X) = \mu$ .

Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the family of all portfolios consisting of the obove d assets Any (long-short) portfolio in  $\mathcal{P}$  is uniquelly determined by its weight vector  $w = (w_i) \in \mathbb{R}^d$  with  $\sum_{i=1^d} |w_i| = 1$ .  $w_i > 0$  ( $w_i < 0$ ) represents a long (short) investment.

Consider a portfolio of *d* risky assets and the random vector  $X = (X_1, X_2, ..., X_d)^T$  of their returns. Let  $E(X) = \mu$ .

Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the family of all portfolios consisting of the obove d assets Any (long-short) portfolio in  $\mathcal{P}$  is uniquelly determined by its weight vector  $w = (w_i) \in \mathbb{R}^d$  with  $\sum_{i=1^d} |w_i| = 1$ .  $w_i > 0$  ( $w_i < 0$ ) represents a long (short) investment.

The return of portfolio w is the r.v.  $Z(w) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} w_i X_i$ . The expected portfolio return is  $E(Z(w)) = w^T \mu$ .

Consider a portfolio of *d* risky assets and the random vector  $X = (X_1, X_2, ..., X_d)^T$  of their returns. Let  $E(X) = \mu$ .

Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the family of all portfolios consisting of the obove d assets Any (long-short) portfolio in  $\mathcal{P}$  is uniquelly determined by its weight vector  $w = (w_i) \in \mathbb{R}^d$  with  $\sum_{i=1^d} |w_i| = 1$ .  $w_i > 0$  ( $w_i < 0$ ) represents a long (short) investment.

The return of portfolio w is the r.v.  $Z(w) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} w_i X_i$ . The expected portfolio return is  $E(Z(w)) = w^T \mu$ .

Let  $\mathcal{P}_m$  be the family of portfolios in  $\mathcal{P}$  with E(Z(w)) = m, for some  $m \in \mathbb{R}, m > 0$ .  $\mathcal{P}_m := \{w = (w_i) \in \mathbb{R}^d, \sum_{i=1}^d |w_i| = 1, w^T \mu = m\}$ 

Consider a portfolio of *d* risky assets and the random vector  $X = (X_1, X_2, ..., X_d)^T$  of their returns. Let  $E(X) = \mu$ .

Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the family of all portfolios consisting of the obove d assets Any (long-short) portfolio in  $\mathcal{P}$  is uniquelly determined by its weight vector  $w = (w_i) \in \mathbb{R}^d$  with  $\sum_{i=1^d} |w_i| = 1$ .  $w_i > 0$  ( $w_i < 0$ ) represents a long (short) investment.

The return of portfolio w is the r.v.  $Z(w) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} w_i X_i$ . The expected portfolio return is  $E(Z(w)) = w^T \mu$ .

Let  $\mathcal{P}_m$  be the family of portfolios in  $\mathcal{P}$  with E(Z(w)) = m, for some  $m \in \mathbb{R}, m > 0$ .  $\mathcal{P}_m := \{w = (w_i) \in \mathbb{R}^d, \sum_{i=1}^d |w_i| = 1, w^T \mu = m\}$ 

For a risk measure  $\rho$  the mean- $\rho$  portfolio optimization model is:

$$\min_{w \in \mathcal{P}_m} \rho(Z(w)) \tag{1}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

If  $\rho$  equals the portfolio variance we get  $\min_{w \in \mathcal{P}_m} var(Z(w))$ 

If  $\rho$  equals the portfolio variance we get  $\min_{w \in \mathcal{P}_m} var(Z(w))$ 

m s

If  $Cov(x) = \Sigma$  and the weights are nonnegative (long-only portfolio) we get the Markovitz portfolio optimization model (Markowitz 1952):

$$\lim_{w} w^{T} \Sigma w$$
.t.
$$w^{T} \mu = m$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{d} |w_{i}| = 1$$

If  $\rho$  equals the portfolio variance we get  $\min_{w \in \mathcal{P}_m} var(Z(w))$ 

If  $Cov(x) = \Sigma$  and the weights are nonnegative (long-only portfolio) we get the Markovitz portfolio optimization model (Markowitz 1952):

$$\min_{w} \qquad w^{T} \Sigma w$$
s.t.
$$w^{T} \mu = m$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{d} |w_{i}| = 1$$

If  $ho = VaR_{lpha}$ ,  $lpha \in (0,1)$  we get the mean-VaR pf. optimization model

$$\min_{w\in\mathcal{P}_m} VaR_\alpha(Z(w)).$$

Question: What is the relationship between these three portfolio optimization models?