

## IS in the case of Bernoulli mixture models

(see Glasserman and Li (2003))

Consider the loss function of a credit portfolio  $L = \sum_{i=1}^m e_i Y_i$ .

## IS in the case of Bernoulli mixture models

(see Glasserman and Li (2003))

Consider the loss function of a credit portfolio  $L = \sum_{i=1}^m e_i Y_i$ .

$Y_i$  are the loss indicators with default probability  $\bar{p}_i$  and  $e_i = (1 - \lambda_i)L_i$  are the positive deterministic exposures in the case that a corresponding loss happens.  $\lambda_i$  are the recovery rates and  $L_i$  are the credit nominals, for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ .

## IS in the case of Bernoulli mixture models

(see Glasserman and Li (2003))

Consider the loss function of a credit portfolio  $L = \sum_{i=1}^m e_i Y_i$ .

$Y_i$  are the loss indicators with default probability  $\bar{p}_i$  and  $e_i = (1 - \lambda_i)L_i$  are the positive deterministic exposures in the case that a corresponding loss happens.  $\lambda_i$  are the recovery rates and  $L_i$  are the credit nominals, for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ .

Let  $Z$  be a vector of economical impact factors, such that  $Y_i|Z$  are independent and  $Y_i|(Z = z) \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p_i(z))$ ,  $\forall i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ .

## IS in the case of Bernoulli mixture models

(see Glasserman and Li (2003))

Consider the loss function of a credit portfolio  $L = \sum_{i=1}^m e_i Y_i$ .

$Y_i$  are the loss indicators with default probability  $\bar{p}_i$  and  $e_i = (1 - \lambda_i)L_i$  are the positive deterministic exposures in the case that a corresponding loss happens.  $\lambda_i$  are the recovery rates and  $L_i$  are the credit nominals, for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ .

Let  $Z$  be a vector of economical impact factors, such that  $Y_i|Z$  are independent and  $Y_i|(Z = z) \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p_i(z))$ ,  $\forall i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ .

Goal: Estimation of  $\theta = \mathbb{P}(L \geq c)$  by means of IS, for some given  $c$  with  $c \gg E(L)$ .

## IS in the case of Bernoulli mixture models

(see Glasserman and Li (2003))

Consider the loss function of a credit portfolio  $L = \sum_{i=1}^m e_i Y_i$ .

$Y_i$  are the loss indicators with default probability  $\bar{p}_i$  and  $e_i = (1 - \lambda_i)L_i$  are the positive deterministic exposures in the case that a corresponding loss happens.  $\lambda_i$  are the recovery rates and  $L_i$  are the credit nominals, for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ .

Let  $Z$  be a vector of economical impact factors, such that  $Y_i|Z$  are independent and  $Y_i|(Z = z) \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p_i(z))$ ,  $\forall i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ .

Goal: Estimation of  $\theta = \mathbb{P}(L \geq c)$  by means of IS, for some given  $c$  with  $c \gg E(L)$ .

**Simplified case:**  $Y_i$  are independent for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ .

Let  $\Omega = \{0, 1\}^m$  be the state space of the random vector  $Y$ .

Consider the probability measure  $P$  in  $\Omega$ :

$$P(\{y\}) = \prod_{i=1}^m \bar{p}_i^{y_i} (1 - \bar{p}_i)^{1-y_i}, \quad y \in \{0, 1\}^m.$$

The moment generating function of  $L$  is  $M_L(t) = \prod_{i=1}^m (e^{te_i} \bar{p}_i + 1 - \bar{p}_i)$ .

## IS in the case of Bernoulli mixture models (contd.)

Consider a probability measure  $Q_t$ :

$$Q_t(\{y\}) = \prod_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{\exp\{te_i y_i\}}{\exp\{te_i\} \bar{p}_i + 1 - \bar{p}_i} \bar{p}_i^{y_i} (1 - \bar{p}_i)^{1-y_i} \right).$$

## IS in the case of Bernoulli mixture models (contd.)

Consider a probability measure  $Q_t$ :

$$Q_t(\{y\}) = \prod_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{\exp\{te_i y_i\}}{\exp\{te_i\}\bar{p}_i + 1 - \bar{p}_i} \bar{p}_i^{y_i} (1 - \bar{p}_i)^{1-y_i} \right).$$

Let  $\bar{q}_{t,i}$  be new default probabilities

$$\bar{q}_{t,i} := \exp\{te_i\}\bar{p}_i / (\exp\{te_i\}\bar{p}_i + 1 - \bar{p}_i).$$

## IS in the case of Bernoulli mixture models (contd.)

Consider a probability measure  $Q_t$ :

$$Q_t(\{y\}) = \prod_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{\exp\{te_i y_i\}}{\exp\{te_i\}\bar{p}_i + 1 - \bar{p}_i} \bar{p}_i^{y_i} (1 - \bar{p}_i)^{1-y_i} \right).$$

Let  $\bar{q}_{t,i}$  be new default probabilities

$$\bar{q}_{t,i} := \exp\{te_i\}\bar{p}_i / (\exp\{te_i\}\bar{p}_i + 1 - \bar{p}_i).$$

We have  $Q_t(\{y\}) = \prod_{i=1}^m \bar{q}_i^{y_i} (1 - \bar{q}_i)^{1-y_i}$ , for  $y \in \{0, 1\}^m$ .



## IS in the case of Bernoulli mixture models (contd.)

Consider a probability measure  $Q_t$ :

$$Q_t(\{y\}) = \prod_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{\exp\{te_i y_i\}}{\exp\{te_i\}\bar{p}_i + 1 - \bar{p}_i} \bar{p}_i^{y_i} (1 - \bar{p}_i)^{1-y_i} \right).$$

Let  $\bar{q}_{t,i}$  be new default probabilities

$$\bar{q}_{t,i} := \exp\{te_i\}\bar{p}_i / (\exp\{te_i\}\bar{p}_i + 1 - \bar{p}_i).$$

We have  $Q_t(\{y\}) = \prod_{i=1}^m \bar{q}_i^{y_i} (1 - \bar{q}_i)^{1-y_i}$ , for  $y \in \{0, 1\}^m$ .

Thus after applying the exponential tilting the default indicators are independent with new default probabilities  $\bar{q}_{t,i}$ .

## IS in the case of Bernoulli mixture models (contd.)

Consider a probability measure  $Q_t$ :

$$Q_t(\{y\}) = \prod_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{\exp\{te_i y_i\}}{\exp\{te_i\}\bar{p}_i + 1 - \bar{p}_i} \bar{p}_i^{y_i} (1 - \bar{p}_i)^{1-y_i} \right).$$

Let  $\bar{q}_{t,i}$  be new default probabilities

$$\bar{q}_{t,i} := \exp\{te_i\}\bar{p}_i / (\exp\{te_i\}\bar{p}_i + 1 - \bar{p}_i).$$

We have  $Q_t(\{y\}) = \prod_{i=1}^m \bar{q}_i^{y_i} (1 - \bar{q}_i)^{1-y_i}$ , for  $y \in \{0, 1\}^m$ .

Thus after applying the exponential tilting the default indicators are independent with new default probabilities  $\bar{q}_{t,i}$ .

$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \bar{q}_{t,i} = 1$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \bar{q}_{t,i} = 0$  imply that  $E^{Q_t}(L)$  takes all values in  $(0, \sum_{i=1}^m e_i)$  for  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

## IS in the case of Bernoulli mixture models (contd.)

Consider a probability measure  $Q_t$ :

$$Q_t(\{y\}) = \prod_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{\exp\{te_i y_i\}}{\exp\{te_i\} \bar{p}_i + 1 - \bar{p}_i} \bar{p}_i^{y_i} (1 - \bar{p}_i)^{1-y_i} \right).$$

Let  $\bar{q}_{t,i}$  be new default probabilities

$$\bar{q}_{t,i} := \exp\{te_i\} \bar{p}_i / (\exp\{te_i\} \bar{p}_i + 1 - \bar{p}_i).$$

We have  $Q_t(\{y\}) = \prod_{i=1}^m \bar{q}_i^{y_i} (1 - \bar{q}_i)^{1-y_i}$ , for  $y \in \{0, 1\}^m$ .

Thus after applying the exponential tilting the default indicators are independent with new default probabilities  $\bar{q}_{t,i}$ .

$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \bar{q}_{t,i} = 1$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \bar{q}_{t,i} = 0$  imply that  $E^{Q_t}(L)$  takes all values in  $(0, \sum_{i=1}^m e_i)$  for  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Choose  $t$ , such that  $\sum_{i=1}^m e_i \bar{q}_{t,i} = c$ .

# IS in the case of Bernoulli mixture models (contd.)

The general case:  $Y_i$  are independent conditional on  $Z$

## IS in the case of Bernoulli mixture models (contd.)

**The general case:**  $Y_i$  are independent conditional on  $Z$

First step: Estimation of the conditional excess probabilities

$\theta(z) := \mathbb{P}(L \geq c | Z = z)$  for a given realisation  $z$  of the economic factor  $Z$ , by means of the IS approach for the simplified case.

## IS in the case of Bernoulli mixture models (contd.)

**The general case:**  $Y_i$  are independent conditional on  $Z$

First step: Estimation of the conditional excess probabilities

$\theta(z) := \mathbb{P}(L \geq c | Z = z)$  for a given realisation  $z$  of the economic factor  $Z$ , by means of the IS approach for the simplified case.

**Algorithm:** IS for the conditional loss distribution

- (1) For a given  $z$  compute the conditional default probabilities  $p_i(z)$  (as in the simplified case) and solve the equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^m e_i \frac{\exp\{te_i\} p_i(z)}{\exp\{te_i\} p_i(z) + 1 - p_i(z)} = c.$$

The solution  $t_z$  (which clearly depends also on the given  $c$ ) specifies the correct *degree of tilting*.

## IS in the case of Bernoulli mixture models (contd.)

**The general case:**  $Y_i$  are independent conditional on  $Z$

First step: Estimation of the conditional excess probabilities

$\theta(z) := \mathbb{P}(L \geq c | Z = z)$  for a given realisation  $z$  of the economic factor  $Z$ , by means of the IS approach for the simplified case.

**Algorithm:** IS for the conditional loss distribution

- (1) For a given  $z$  compute the conditional default probabilities  $p_i(z)$  (as in the simplified case) and solve the equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^m e_i \frac{\exp\{t e_i\} p_i(z)}{\exp\{t e_i\} p_i(z) + 1 - p_i(z)} = c.$$

The solution  $t_z$  (which clearly depends also on the given  $c$ ) specifies the correct *degree of tilting*.

- (2) Generate  $n_1$  conditional realisations of the vector of default indicators  $(Y_1, \dots, Y_m)$ ,  $Y_i$  are simulated from *Bernoulli*( $q_i$ ),  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , with

$$q_i = \frac{\exp\{t_z e_i\} p_i(z)}{\exp\{t_z e_i\} p_i(z) + 1 - p_i(z)}.$$

## The general case (contd.)



## The general case (contd.)

- (3) Let  $M_L^{(z)}(t) := \prod [\exp\{t_z e_i\} p_i(z) + 1 - p_i(z)]$  be the conditional moment generating function of  $L$ . Let  $L^{(1)}, L^{(2)}, \dots, L^{(n_1)}$  be the  $n_1$  conditional realisations of  $L$  for the  $n_1$  simulated realisations of  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_m$ . Compute the *IS*-estimator for the tail probability of the conditional loss distribution:

$$\hat{\theta}_{n_1}^{(IS)}(z) = M_L^{(z)}(t) \frac{1}{n_1} \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} I_{L^{(j)} \geq c} \exp\{-t_z L^{(j)}\} L^{(j)}.$$

## The general case (contd.)

- (3) Let  $M_L^{(z)}(t) := \prod [\exp\{t_z e_i\} p_i(z) + 1 - p_i(z)]$  be the conditional moment generating function of  $L$ . Let  $L^{(1)}, L^{(2)}, \dots, L^{(n_1)}$  be the  $n_1$  conditional realisations of  $L$  for the  $n_1$  simulated realisations of  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_m$ . Compute the *IS*-estimator for the tail probability of the conditional loss distribution:

$$\hat{\theta}_{n_1}^{(IS)}(z) = M_L^{(z)}(t) \frac{1}{n_1} \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} I_{L^{(j)} \geq c} \exp\{-t_z L^{(j)}\} L^{(j)}.$$

Second step: Estimation of the unconditional excess probability  
 $\theta = \mathbb{P}(L \geq c)$ .

## The general case (contd.)

- (3) Let  $M_L^{(z)}(t) := \prod [\exp\{t_z e_i\} p_i(z) + 1 - p_i(z)]$  be the conditional moment generating function of  $L$ . Let  $L^{(1)}, L^{(2)}, \dots, L^{(n_1)}$  be the  $n_1$  conditional realisations of  $L$  for the  $n_1$  simulated realisations of  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_m$ . Compute the *IS*-estimator for the tail probability of the conditional loss distribution:

$$\hat{\theta}_{n_1}^{(IS)}(z) = M_L^{(z)}(t) \frac{1}{n_1} \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} I_{L^{(j)} \geq c} \exp\{-t_z L^{(j)}\} L^{(j)}.$$

Second step: Estimation of the unconditional excess probability  
 $\theta = \mathbb{P}(L \geq c)$ .

Naive approach: Generate many realisations  $z$  of the impact factors  $Z$  and compute  $\hat{\theta}_{n_1}^{(IS)}(z)$  for every one of them. The required estimator is the average of  $\hat{\theta}_{n_1}^{(IS)}(z)$  over all realisations  $z$ .

This is not the most efficient approach, see Glasserman and Li (2003).

## The general case (contd.)

- (3) Let  $M_L^{(z)}(t) := \prod [\exp\{t_z e_i\} p_i(z) + 1 - p_i(z)]$  be the conditional moment generating function of  $L$ . Let  $L^{(1)}, L^{(2)}, \dots, L^{(n_1)}$  be the  $n_1$  conditional realisations of  $L$  for the  $n_1$  simulated realisations of  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_m$ . Compute the IS-estimator for the tail probability of the conditional loss distribution:

$$\hat{\theta}_{n_1}^{(IS)}(z) = M_L^{(z)}(t) \frac{1}{n_1} \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} I_{L^{(j)} \geq c} \exp\{-t_z L^{(j)}\} L^{(j)}.$$

Second step: Estimation of the unconditional excess probability  
 $\theta = \mathbb{P}(L \geq c)$ .

Naive approach: Generate many realisations  $z$  of the impact factors  $Z$  and compute  $\hat{\theta}_{n_1}^{(IS)}(z)$  for every one of them. The required estimator is the average of  $\hat{\theta}_{n_1}^{(IS)}(z)$  over all realisations  $z$ .

This is not the most efficient approach, see Glasserman and Li (2003).

A better alternative: IS for the impact factors.

# IS for the impact factors

## IS for the impact factors

Assumption:  $Z \sim N_k(0, \Sigma)$  (e.g. like in the probit-normal or logit BMD), where  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  is the number of factors.

## IS for the impact factors

Assumption:  $Z \sim N_k(0, \Sigma)$  (e.g. like in the probit-normal or logit BMD), where  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  is the number of factors.

Let the IS density  $g$  be the density of  $N_k(\mu, \Sigma)$  for a new expected vector  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^k$ . A good choice of  $\mu$  should lead to frequent realisations of  $z$  which imply high conditional default probabilities  $p_i(z)$ .

## IS for the impact factors

Assumption:  $Z \sim N_k(0, \Sigma)$  (e.g. like in the probit-normal or logit BMD), where  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  is the number of factors.

Let the IS density  $g$  be the density of  $N_k(\mu, \Sigma)$  for a new expected vector  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^k$ . A good choice of  $\mu$  should lead to frequent realisations of  $z$  which imply high conditional default probabilities  $p_i(z)$ .

The likelihood ratio:

$$r_\mu(Z) = \frac{\exp\{-\frac{1}{2}Z^t\Sigma^{-1}Z\}}{\exp\{-\frac{1}{2}(Z - \mu)^t\Sigma^{-1}(Z - \mu)\}} = \exp\{-\mu^t\Sigma^{-1}Z + \frac{1}{2}\mu^t\Sigma^{-1}\mu\}$$



## IS for the impact factors

Assumption:  $Z \sim N_k(0, \Sigma)$  (e.g. like in the probit-normal or logit BMD), where  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  is the number of factors.

Let the IS density  $g$  be the density of  $N_k(\mu, \Sigma)$  for a new expected vector  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^k$ . A good choice of  $\mu$  should lead to frequent realisations of  $z$  which imply high conditional default probabilities  $p_i(z)$ .

The likelihood ratio:

$$r_\mu(Z) = \frac{\exp\{-\frac{1}{2}Z^t\Sigma^{-1}Z\}}{\exp\{-\frac{1}{2}(Z - \mu)^t\Sigma^{-1}(Z - \mu)\}} = \exp\{-\mu^t\Sigma^{-1}Z + \frac{1}{2}\mu^t\Sigma^{-1}\mu\}$$

**Algorithm:** complete IS for Bernoulli mixture models with Gaussian factors

- (1) Generate  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n \sim N_k(\mu, \Sigma)$  ( $n$  is the number of the simulation rounds)

## IS for the impact factors

Assumption:  $Z \sim N_k(0, \Sigma)$  (e.g. like in the probit-normal or logit BMD), where  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  is the number of factors.

Let the IS density  $g$  be the density of  $N_k(\mu, \Sigma)$  for a new expected vector  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^k$ . A good choice of  $\mu$  should lead to frequent realisations of  $z$  which imply high conditional default probabilities  $p_i(z)$ .

The likelihood ratio:

$$r_\mu(Z) = \frac{\exp\{-\frac{1}{2}Z^t\Sigma^{-1}Z\}}{\exp\{-\frac{1}{2}(Z - \mu)^t\Sigma^{-1}(Z - \mu)\}} = \exp\{-\mu^t\Sigma^{-1}Z + \frac{1}{2}\mu^t\Sigma^{-1}\mu\}$$

**Algorithm:** complete IS for Bernoulli mixture models with Gaussian factors

- (1) Generate  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n \sim N_k(\mu, \Sigma)$  ( $n$  is the number of the simulation rounds)
- (2) For each  $z_i$  compute  $\hat{\theta}_{n_1}^{(IS)}(z_i)$  by applying the IS algorithm for the conditional loss.

## IS for the impact factors

Assumption:  $Z \sim N_k(0, \Sigma)$  (e.g. like in the probit-normal or logit BMD), where  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  is the number of factors.

Let the IS density  $g$  be the density of  $N_k(\mu, \Sigma)$  for a new expected vector  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^k$ . A good choice of  $\mu$  should lead to frequent realisations of  $z$  which imply high conditional default probabilities  $p_i(z)$ .

The likelihood ratio:

$$r_\mu(Z) = \frac{\exp\{-\frac{1}{2}Z^t \Sigma^{-1} Z\}}{\exp\{-\frac{1}{2}(Z - \mu)^t \Sigma^{-1} (Z - \mu)\}} = \exp\{-\mu^t \Sigma^{-1} Z + \frac{1}{2}\mu^t \Sigma^{-1} \mu\}$$

**Algorithm:** complete IS for Bernoulli mixture models with Gaussian factors

- (1) Generate  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n \sim N_k(\mu, \Sigma)$  ( $n$  is the number of the simulation rounds)
- (2) For each  $z_i$  compute  $\hat{\theta}_{n_1}^{(IS)}(z_i)$  by applying the IS algorithm for the conditional loss.
- (3) compute the IS estimator for the independent excess probability:

$$\hat{\theta}_n^{(IS)} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n r_\mu(z_i) \hat{\theta}_{n_1}^{(IS)}(z_i)$$

## The choice of $\mu$

## The choice of $\mu$

$\mu$  should be chosen such that the variance of the estimator is small.

## The choice of $\mu$

$\mu$  should be chosen such that the variance of the estimator is small.

A sketch of the idea of Glasserman and Li (2003):

## The choice of $\mu$

$\mu$  should be chosen such that the variance of the estimator is small.

A sketch of the idea of Glasserman and Li (2003):

Since  $\hat{\theta}_{n_1}^{(IS)}(z) \approx \mathbb{P}(L \geq c | Z = z)$ , search for an appropriate IS density for the function  $z \mapsto \mathbb{P}(L \geq c | Z = z)$ .

## The choice of $\mu$

$\mu$  should be chosen such that the variance of the estimator is small.

A sketch of the idea of Glasserman and Li (2003):

Since  $\hat{\theta}_{n_1}^{(IS)}(z) \approx \mathbb{P}(L \geq c | Z = z)$ , search for an appropriate IS density for the function  $z \mapsto \mathbb{P}(L \geq c | Z = z)$ .

Approach:

a) the IS density  $g^*$  should be proportional to  $\mathbb{P}(L \geq c | Z = z) \exp\{-\frac{1}{2}z^t \Sigma^{-1}z\}$ .



## The choice of $\mu$

$\mu$  should be chosen such that the variance of the estimator is small.

A sketch of the idea of Glasserman and Li (2003):

Since  $\hat{\theta}_{n_1}^{(IS)}(z) \approx \mathbb{P}(L \geq c | Z = z)$ , search for an appropriate IS density for the function  $z \mapsto \mathbb{P}(L \geq c | Z = z)$ .

Approach:

a) the IS density  $g^*$  should be proportional to  $\mathbb{P}(L \geq c | Z = z) \exp\{-\frac{1}{2}z^t \Sigma^{-1}z\}$ .

b) the IS density should be a multivariate normal distribution  $N_k(\mu, \Sigma)$  where the expected vector  $\mu$  is chosen as follows:

$$\mu = \operatorname{argmax}_z \left\{ \mathbb{P}(L \geq c | Z = z) \exp\{-\frac{1}{2}z^t \Sigma^{-1}z\} \right\}.$$

## The choice of $\mu$

$\mu$  should be chosen such that the variance of the estimator is small.

A sketch of the idea of Glasserman and Li (2003):

Since  $\hat{\theta}_{n_1}^{(IS)}(z) \approx \mathbb{P}(L \geq c | Z = z)$ , search for an appropriate IS density for the function  $z \mapsto \mathbb{P}(L \geq c | Z = z)$ .

Approach:

a) the IS density  $g^*$  should be proportional to

$$\mathbb{P}(L \geq c | Z = z) \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}z^t \Sigma^{-1}z\right\}.$$

b) the IS density should be a multivariate normal distribution  $N_k(\mu, \Sigma)$

where the expected vector  $\mu$  is chosen as follows:

$$\mu = \operatorname{argmax}_z \left\{ \mathbb{P}(L \geq c | Z = z) \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}z^t \Sigma^{-1}z\right\} \right\}.$$

This problem is hard to solve exactly; in general  $\mathbb{P}(L \geq c | Z = z)$  is not available in analytical form.

## The choice of $\mu$

$\mu$  should be chosen such that the variance of the estimator is small.

A sketch of the idea of Glasserman and Li (2003):

Since  $\hat{\theta}_{n_1}^{(IS)}(z) \approx \mathbb{P}(L \geq c | Z = z)$ , search for an appropriate IS density for the function  $z \mapsto \mathbb{P}(L \geq c | Z = z)$ .

Approach:

a) the IS density  $g^*$  should be proportional to

$$\mathbb{P}(L \geq c | Z = z) \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}z^t \Sigma^{-1}z\right\}.$$

b) the IS density should be a multivariate normal distribution  $N_k(\mu, \Sigma)$

where the expected vector  $\mu$  is chosen as follows:

$$\mu = \operatorname{argmax}_z \left\{ \mathbb{P}(L \geq c | Z = z) \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}z^t \Sigma^{-1}z\right\} \right\}.$$

This problem is hard to solve exactly; in general  $\mathbb{P}(L \geq c | Z = z)$  is not available in analytical form.

Glasserman und Li (2003) propose some numerical solution approaches.