<□ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ @

Assumptions:

(1) The default of each debtor depends on a number of (macro-economical) factors which are modelled stochastically.

Assumptions:

- (1) The default of each debtor depends on a number of (macro-economical) factors which are modelled stochastically.
- (2) For a given realisation of these factors the defaults of different debtors are independent on each other.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Assumptions:

- (1) The default of each debtor depends on a number of (macro-economical) factors which are modelled stochastically.
- (2) For a given realisation of these factors the defaults of different debtors are independent on each other.

#### Definition: The Bernoulli mixture distribution

The 0-1 random vector  $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_n)^T$  has a *Bernoulli mixture* distribution (*BMD*) iff there exists a random vector  $Z = (Z_1, Z_2, \ldots, Z_m)^T$ , m < n, and the functions  $f_i : \mathbb{R}^m \to [0, 1]$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ , such that X conditioned on Z has independent components with  $X_i | Z \sim \text{Bernoulli}(f_i(Z))$ .

Assumptions:

- (1) The default of each debtor depends on a number of (macro-economical) factors which are modelled stochastically.
- (2) For a given realisation of these factors the defaults of different debtors are independent on each other.

#### Definition: The Bernoulli mixture distribution

The 0-1 random vector  $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_n)^T$  has a *Bernoulli mixture* distribution (*BMD*) iff there exists a random vector  $Z = (Z_1, Z_2, \ldots, Z_m)^T$ , m < n, and the functions  $f_i : \mathbb{R}^m \to [0, 1]$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ , such that X conditioned on Z has independent components with  $X_i | Z \sim \text{Bernoulli}(f_i(Z))$ .

Then  $\mathbb{P}(X = x | Z) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} f_i(Z)^{x_i} (1 - f_i(Z))^{1 - x_i}$ ,  $\forall x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)^T \in \{0, 1\}^n$ 

Assumptions:

- (1) The default of each debtor depends on a number of (macro-economical) factors which are modelled stochastically.
- (2) For a given realisation of these factors the defaults of different debtors are independent on each other.

#### Definition: The Bernoulli mixture distribution

The 0-1 random vector  $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_n)^T$  has a *Bernoulli mixture* distribution (*BMD*) iff there exists a random vector  $Z = (Z_1, Z_2, \ldots, Z_m)^T$ , m < n, and the functions  $f_i : \mathbb{R}^m \to [0, 1]$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ , such that X conditioned on Z has independent components with  $X_i | Z \sim \text{Bernoulli}(f_i(Z))$ .

Then 
$$\mathbb{P}(X = x | Z) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} f_i(Z)^{x_i} (1 - f_i(Z))^{1-x_i}$$
,  
 $\forall x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)^T \in \{0, 1\}^n$ 

The unconditional distribution:

$$\mathbb{P}(X = x) = E(\mathbb{P}(X = x | Z)) = E\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} f_i(Z)^{x_i}(1 - f_i(Z))^{1 - x_i}\right)$$

Assumptions:

- (1) The default of each debtor depends on a number of (macro-economical) factors which are modelled stochastically.
- (2) For a given realisation of these factors the defaults of different debtors are independent on each other.

#### Definition: The Bernoulli mixture distribution

The 0-1 random vector  $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_n)^T$  has a *Bernoulli mixture* distribution (*BMD*) iff there exists a random vector  $Z = (Z_1, Z_2, \ldots, Z_m)^T$ , m < n, and the functions  $f_i : \mathbb{R}^m \to [0, 1]$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ , such that X conditioned on Z has independent components with  $X_i | Z \sim \text{Bernoulli}(f_i(Z))$ .

Then 
$$\mathbb{P}(X = x | Z) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} f_i(Z)^{x_i} (1 - f_i(Z))^{1-x_i}$$
,  
 $\forall x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)^T \in \{0, 1\}^n$ 

The unconditional distribution:

$$\mathbb{P}(X = x) = E(\mathbb{P}(X = x | Z)) = E\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} f_i(Z)^{x_i}(1 - f_i(Z))^{1 - x_i}\right)$$

If all function  $f_i$  coincide, i.e.  $f_i = f$ ,  $\forall i$ , we get  $N | Z \sim Bin(n, f(Z))$  for the number  $N = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$  of defaults.

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

**Definition:** The discrete random vector  $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_n)^T$  has a *Poisson mixture distribution (PMD)* iff there exists a random vector  $Z = (Z_1, Z_2, \ldots, Z_m)^T$ , m < n, and the functions  $\lambda_i : \mathbb{R}^m \to (0, \infty)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ , such that X conditioned on Z has independent components with  $X_i | Z \sim Poi(\lambda_i(Z))$ .

**Definition:** The discrete random vector  $X = (X_1, ..., X_n)^T$  has a *Poisson mixture distribution (PMD)* iff there exists a random vector  $Z = (Z_1, Z_2, ..., Z_m)^T$ , m < n, and the functions  $\lambda_i : \mathbb{R}^m \to (0, \infty)$ , i = 1, 2, ..., n, such that X conditioned on Z has independent components with  $X_i | Z \sim Poi(\lambda_i(Z))$ .

Then  $\mathbb{P}(X = x|Z) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\lambda_i(Z)^{x_i}}{x_i!} e^{-\lambda_i(Z)}$  $\forall x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)^T \in (\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})^n.$ 

**Definition:** The discrete random vector  $X = (X_1, ..., X_n)^T$  has a *Poisson mixture distribution (PMD)* iff there exists a random vector  $Z = (Z_1, Z_2, ..., Z_m)^T$ , m < n, and the functions  $\lambda_i : \mathbb{R}^m \to (0, \infty)$ , i = 1, 2, ..., n, such that X conditioned on Z has independent components with  $X_i | Z \sim Poi(\lambda_i(Z))$ .

Then 
$$\mathbb{P}(X = x | Z) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\lambda_i(Z)^{x_i}}{x_i!} e^{-\lambda_i(Z)}$$
  
 $\forall x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)^T \in (\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})^n.$ 

The unconditional distribution:

$$\mathbb{P}(X=x) = E(\mathbb{P}(X=x|Z)) = E\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\lambda_i(Z)^{x_i}}{x_i!} e^{-\lambda_i(Z)}\right)$$

**Definition:** The discrete random vector  $X = (X_1, ..., X_n)^T$  has a *Poisson mixture distribution (PMD)* iff there exists a random vector  $Z = (Z_1, Z_2, ..., Z_m)^T$ , m < n, and the functions  $\lambda_i : \mathbb{R}^m \to (0, \infty)$ , i = 1, 2, ..., n, such that X conditioned on Z has independent components with  $X_i | Z \sim Poi(\lambda_i(Z))$ .

Then 
$$\mathbb{P}(X = x | Z) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\lambda_i(Z)^{x_i}}{x_i!} e^{-\lambda_i(Z)}$$
  
 $\forall x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)^T \in (\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})^n.$ 

The unconditional distribution:

$$\mathbb{P}(X=x) = E(\mathbb{P}(X=x|Z)) = E\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\lambda_i(Z)^{x_i}}{x_i!} e^{-\lambda_i(Z)}\right)$$

Let  $\bar{X}_i := \mathbb{I}_{[1,\infty)}(X_i)$ . Then  $\bar{X} = (\bar{X}_1, \dots, \bar{X}_n)$  is BMD with  $f_i(Z) = 1 - e^{-\lambda_i(Z)}$ 

**Definition:** The discrete random vector  $X = (X_1, ..., X_n)^T$  has a *Poisson mixture distribution (PMD)* iff there exists a random vector  $Z = (Z_1, Z_2, ..., Z_m)^T$ , m < n, and the functions  $\lambda_i : \mathbb{R}^m \to (0, \infty)$ , i = 1, 2, ..., n, such that X conditioned on Z has independent components with  $X_i | Z \sim Poi(\lambda_i(Z))$ .

Then 
$$\mathbb{P}(X = x | Z) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\lambda_i(Z)^{x_i}}{x_i!} e^{-\lambda_i(Z)}$$
  
 $\forall x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)^T \in (\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})^n.$ 

The unconditional distribution:

$$\mathbb{P}(X = x) = E(\mathbb{P}(X = x | Z)) = E\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\lambda_i(Z)^{x_i}}{x_i!} e^{-\lambda_i(Z)}\right)$$

Let  $\bar{X}_i := \mathbb{I}_{[1,\infty)}(X_i)$ . Then  $\bar{X} = (\bar{X}_1, \dots, \bar{X}_n)$  is BMD with  $f_i(Z) = 1 - e^{-\lambda_i(Z)}$ If  $\lambda_i(Z) << 1$  we get for the number  $\tilde{N} = \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{X}_i \approx \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  of defaults:

$$\tilde{N}|Z \sim Poisson(\bar{\lambda}(Z))$$
, where  $\bar{\lambda} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i(Z)$ .

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ → 圖 - 釣�?

Assumptions :

Z is univariate (i.e. there is only one risk factor)

•  $f_i = f$ , for all  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ 

Assumptions :

Z is univariate (i.e. there is only one risk factor)

• 
$$f_i = f$$
, for all  $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ 

We have  $P(X_i = 1|Z) = f(Z)$ ,  $\forall i$ ;  $N|Z = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sim Bin(n, f(Z))$ .

Assumptions :

Z is univariate (i.e. there is only one risk factor)

• 
$$f_i = f$$
, for all  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ 

We have  $P(X_i = 1|Z) = f(Z)$ ,  $\forall i$ ;  $N|Z = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sim Bin(n, f(Z))$ .

The unconditional probability of default of the first k debtors is  $\mathbb{P}(X_1 = 1, \dots, X_k = 1, X_{k+1} = 0, \dots, X_n = 0) = E(\mathbb{P}(X_1 = 1, \dots, X_k = 1, X_{k+1} = 0, \dots, X_n = 0 | Z)) = E(f(Z)^k (1 - f(Z))^{n-k})$ 

Assumptions :

Z is univariate (i.e. there is only one risk factor)

• 
$$f_i = f$$
, for all  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ 

We have  $P(X_i = 1|Z) = f(Z)$ ,  $\forall i$ ;  $N|Z = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sim Bin(n, f(Z))$ .

The unconditional probability of default of the first k debtors is  $\mathbb{P}(X_1 = 1, \dots, X_k = 1, X_{k+1} = 0, \dots, X_n = 0) = E(\mathbb{P}(X_1 = 1, \dots, X_k = 1, X_{k+1} = 0, \dots, X_n = 0 | Z)) = E(f(Z)^k (1 - f(Z))^{n-k})$ 

Let G be the distribution function of Z. Then  $\mathbb{P}(X_1 = 1, \dots, X_k = 1, X_{k+1} = 0, \dots, X_n = 0) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(z)^k (1 - f(z))^{n-k} d(G(z))$ 

Assumptions :

Z is univariate (i.e. there is only one risk factor)

• 
$$f_i = f$$
, for all  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ 

We have  $P(X_i = 1|Z) = f(Z)$ ,  $\forall i$ ;  $N|Z = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sim Bin(n, f(Z))$ .

The unconditional probability of default of the first k debtors is  $\mathbb{P}(X_1 = 1, \dots, X_k = 1, X_{k+1} = 0, \dots, X_n = 0) = E(\mathbb{P}(X_1 = 1, \dots, X_k = 1, X_{k+1} = 0, \dots, X_n = 0 | Z)) = E(f(Z)^k (1 - f(Z))^{n-k})$ 

Let G be the distribution function of Z. Then  $\mathbb{P}(X_1 = 1, \dots, X_k = 1, X_{k+1} = 0, \dots, X_n = 0) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(z)^k (1 - f(z))^{n-k} d(G(z))$ 

The distribution of the number N of defaults:

$$\mathbb{P}(N=k) = \binom{n}{k} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(z)^k (1-f(z))^{n-k} d(G(z))$$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ → 圖 - 釣�?

<□ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ @

Let  $Z \sim Beta(a, b)$  and f(z) = z.

Let  $Z \sim Beta(a, b)$  and f(z) = z. The d.f. g of Z is given as  $g(z) = \frac{1}{\beta(a,b)}z^{a-1}(1-z)^{b-1}$ , for a, b > 0,  $z \in (0, 1)$ , where  $\beta(a, b) = \int_0^1 z^{a-1}(1-z)^{b-1} dz$  is the Euler beta function.

Let  $Z \sim Beta(a, b)$  and f(z) = z. The d.f. g of Z is given as  $g(z) = \frac{1}{\beta(a,b)} z^{a-1} (1-z)^{b-1}$ , for a, b > 0,  $z \in (0, 1)$ , where  $\beta(a, b) = \int_0^1 z^{a-1} (1-z)^{b-1} dz$  is the Euler beta function.

The distribution of the number of defaults:

$$\mathbb{P}(N=k) = \binom{n}{k} \int_0^1 z^k (1-z)^{n-k} g(z) dz = \binom{n}{k} \frac{1}{\beta(a,b)} \int_0^1 z^{a+k-1} (1-z)^{n-k+b-1} dz$$
$$= \binom{n}{k} \frac{\beta(a+k,b+n-k)}{\beta(a,b)} \qquad \text{is the beta-binomial distribution}$$

Let  $Z \sim Beta(a, b)$  and f(z) = z. The d.f. g of Z is given as  $g(z) = \frac{1}{\beta(a,b)} z^{a-1} (1-z)^{b-1}$ , for a, b > 0,  $z \in (0, 1)$ , where  $\beta(a, b) = \int_0^1 z^{a-1} (1-z)^{b-1} dz$  is the Euler beta function.

The distribution of the number of defaults:

$$\mathbb{P}(N=k) = \binom{n}{k} \int_0^1 z^k (1-z)^{n-k} g(z) dz = \binom{n}{k} \frac{1}{\beta(a,b)} \int_0^1 z^{a+k-1} (1-z)^{n-k+b-1} dz$$
$$= \binom{n}{k} \frac{\beta(a+k,b+n-k)}{\beta(a,b)} \qquad \text{is the beta-binomial distribution}$$

### The probit-normal mixture

Let  $Z \sim Beta(a, b)$  and f(z) = z. The d.f. g of Z is given as  $g(z) = \frac{1}{\beta(a,b)}z^{a-1}(1-z)^{b-1}$ , for a, b > 0,  $z \in (0, 1)$ , where  $\beta(a, b) = \int_0^1 z^{a-1}(1-z)^{b-1} dz$  is the Euler beta function.

The distribution of the number of defaults:

$$\mathbb{P}(N=k) = \binom{n}{k} \int_0^1 z^k (1-z)^{n-k} g(z) dz = \binom{n}{k} \frac{1}{\beta(a,b)} \int_0^1 z^{a+k-1} (1-z)^{n-k+b-1} dz$$
$$= \binom{n}{k} \frac{\beta(a+k,b+n-k)}{\beta(a,b)} \qquad \text{is the beta-binomial distribution}$$

#### The probit-normal mixture

is obtained with  $Z \sim N(0, 1)$ ,  $f(z) = \phi(\mu + \sigma z)$ ,  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\sigma > 0$ , where  $\phi$  is the standard normal distribution.

Let  $Z \sim Beta(a, b)$  and f(z) = z. The d.f. g of Z is given as  $g(z) = \frac{1}{\beta(a,b)} z^{a-1} (1-z)^{b-1}$ , for a, b > 0,  $z \in (0, 1)$ , where  $\beta(a, b) = \int_0^1 z^{a-1} (1-z)^{b-1} dz$  is the Euler beta function.

The distribution of the number of defaults:

$$\mathbb{P}(N=k) = \binom{n}{k} \int_0^1 z^k (1-z)^{n-k} g(z) dz = \binom{n}{k} \frac{1}{\beta(a,b)} \int_0^1 z^{a+k-1} (1-z)^{n-k+b-1} dz$$
$$= \binom{n}{k} \frac{\beta(a+k,b+n-k)}{\beta(a,b)}$$
is the beta-binomial distribution

### The probit-normal mixture

is obtained with  $Z \sim N(0, 1)$ ,  $f(z) = \phi(\mu + \sigma z)$ ,  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\sigma > 0$ , where  $\phi$  is the standard normal distribution.

## The logit-normal mixture

Let  $Z \sim Beta(a, b)$  and f(z) = z. The d.f. g of Z is given as  $g(z) = \frac{1}{\beta(a,b)} z^{a-1} (1-z)^{b-1}$ , for a, b > 0,  $z \in (0,1)$ , where  $\beta(a,b) = \int_0^1 z^{a-1} (1-z)^{b-1} dz$  is the Euler beta function.

The distribution of the number of defaults:

$$\mathbb{P}(N=k) = \binom{n}{k} \int_0^1 z^k (1-z)^{n-k} g(z) dz = \binom{n}{k} \frac{1}{\beta(a,b)} \int_0^1 z^{a+k-1} (1-z)^{n-k+b-1} dz$$
$$= \binom{n}{k} \frac{\beta(a+k,b+n-k)}{\beta(a,b)}$$
is the beta-binomial distribution

### The probit-normal mixture

is obtained with  $Z \sim N(0, 1)$ ,  $f(z) = \phi(\mu + \sigma z)$ ,  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\sigma > 0$ , where  $\phi$  is the standard normal distribution.

# The logit-normal mixture is with $Z \sim N(0, 1)$ , $f(z) = (1 + \exp\{\mu + \sigma z\})^{-1}$ , $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ , $\sigma > 0$ .

(developed by CSFB in 1997, see Crouhy et al. (2000) and www.credit\_suisse.com/investment\_banking/research/en/credit\_risk.jsp

・ロト・日本・モート モー うへぐ

(developed by CSFB in 1997, see Crouhy et al. (2000) and www.credit\_suisse.com/investment\_banking/research/en/credit\_risk.jsp

Consider *m* independent risik factors  $Z_1, Z_2, \ldots, Z_m, Z_j \sim \Gamma(\alpha_j, \beta_j)$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \ldots, m$ , with parameter  $\alpha_j$ ,  $\beta_j$  generally choosen such that such that  $E(Z_j) = 1$ .

(developed by CSFB in 1997, see Crouhy et al. (2000) and www.credit\_suisse.com/investment\_banking/research/en/credit\_risk.jsp

Consider *m* independent risik factors  $Z_1, Z_2, \ldots, Z_m, Z_j \sim \Gamma(\alpha_j, \beta_j)$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \ldots, m$ , with parameter  $\alpha_j$ ,  $\beta_j$  generally choosen such that such that  $E(Z_j) = 1$ . Let  $\lambda_i(Z) = \bar{\lambda}_i \sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij} Z_j$ ,  $\sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij} = 1$  for  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$  for some parameters  $\bar{\lambda}_i > 0$ .

(developed by CSFB in 1997, see Crouhy et al. (2000) and www.credit\_suisse.com/investment\_banking/research/en/credit\_risk.jsp

Consider *m* independent risik factors  $Z_1, Z_2, \ldots, Z_m, Z_j \sim \Gamma(\alpha_j, \beta_j)$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \ldots, m$ , with parameter  $\alpha_j$ ,  $\beta_j$  generally choosen such that such that  $E(Z_j) = 1$ . Let  $\lambda_i(Z) = \bar{\lambda}_i \sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij} Z_j$ ,  $\sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij} = 1$  for  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$  for some parameters  $\bar{\lambda}_i > 0$ . Then  $E(\lambda_i(Z)) = \bar{\lambda}_i > 0$ ) holds.

(developed by CSFB in 1997, see Crouhy et al. (2000) and www.credit\_suisse.com/investment\_banking/research/en/credit\_risk.jsp

Consider *m* independent risik factors  $Z_1, Z_2, ..., Z_m, Z_j \sim \Gamma(\alpha_j, \beta_j)$ , j = 1, 2, ..., m, with parameter  $\alpha_j$ ,  $\beta_j$  generally choosen such that such that  $E(Z_j) = 1$ . Let  $\lambda_i(Z) = \overline{\lambda}_i \sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij}Z_j$ ,  $\sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij} = 1$  for i = 1, 2, ..., n for some parameters  $\overline{\lambda}_i > 0$ . Then  $E(\lambda_i(Z)) = \overline{\lambda}_i > 0)$  holds.

The density function of  $Z_j$  is given as  $f_j(z) = \frac{z^{\alpha_j - 1} \exp\{-z/\beta_j\}}{\beta_j^{\alpha_j} \Gamma(\alpha_j)}$ 

(developed by CSFB in 1997, see Crouhy et al. (2000) and www.credit\_suisse.com/investment\_banking/research/en/credit\_risk.jsp

Consider *m* independent risik factors  $Z_1, Z_2, ..., Z_m, Z_j \sim \Gamma(\alpha_j, \beta_j)$ , j = 1, 2, ..., m, with parameter  $\alpha_j$ ,  $\beta_j$  generally choosen such that such that  $E(Z_j) = 1$ . Let  $\lambda_i(Z) = \bar{\lambda}_i \sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij}Z_j$ ,  $\sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij} = 1$  for i = 1, 2, ..., n for some parameters  $\bar{\lambda}_i > 0$ . Then  $E(\lambda_i(Z)) = \bar{\lambda}_i > 0$ ) holds.

The density function of  $Z_j$  is given as  $f_j(z) = \frac{z^{\alpha_j - 1} \exp\{-z/\beta_j\}}{\beta_j^{\alpha_j} \Gamma(\alpha_j)}$ 

The loss given default for debtor *i* is  $LGD_i = (1 - \lambda_i)L_i$ ,  $1 \le i \le n$ , where  $\lambda_i$  is the expected deterministic recovery rate and  $L_i$  is the amount of credit *i*.

(developed by CSFB in 1997, see Crouhy et al. (2000) and www.credit\_suisse.com/investment\_banking/research/en/credit\_risk.jsp

Consider *m* independent risik factors  $Z_1, Z_2, \ldots, Z_m, Z_j \sim \Gamma(\alpha_j, \beta_j)$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \ldots, m$ , with parameter  $\alpha_j$ ,  $\beta_j$  generally choosen such that such that  $E(Z_j) = 1$ .

Let  $\lambda_i(Z) = \overline{\lambda}_i \sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij}Z_j$ ,  $\sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij} = 1$  for i = 1, 2, ..., n for some parameters  $\overline{\lambda}_i > 0$ . Then  $E(\lambda_i(Z)) = \overline{\lambda}_i > 0$  holds.

The density function of  $Z_j$  is given as  $f_j(z) = \frac{z^{\alpha_j - 1} \exp\{-z/\beta_j\}}{\beta_j^{\alpha_j} \Gamma(\alpha_j)}$ 

The loss given default for debtor *i* is  $LGD_i = (1 - \lambda_i)L_i$ ,  $1 \le i \le n$ , where  $\lambda_i$  is the expected deterministic recovery rate and  $L_i$  is the amount of credit *i*.

The goal: approximate the loss disribution by a discrete distribution and derive the generator function for the latter.

# The probability generating function and its properties

<□▶ < @▶ < @▶ < @▶ < @▶ < @ > @ < のQ @</p>
Let Y be a discrete r.v. taking values on  $\{y_1, \ldots, y_m\}$  (a continuous r.v. with density function f(y) in  $\mathbb{R}$ ). The probability generating function (pgf)  $g_Y$  of Y is a mapping of [0, 1] to the reals defined as

Let Y be a discrete r.v. taking values on  $\{y_1, \ldots, y_m\}$  (a continuous r.v. with density function f(y) in  $\mathbb{R}$ ). The probability generating function (pgf)  $g_Y$  of Y is a mapping of [0,1] to the reals defined as  $g_Y(t) := E(t^Y) = \sum_{i=1}^m t^{y_i} P(Y = y_i) (g_Y(t)) := \int_{-\infty}^\infty t^y f(y) dy$ ).

Let Y be a discrete r.v. taking values on  $\{y_1, \ldots, y_m\}$  (a continuous r.v. with density function f(y) in  $\mathbb{R}$ ). The probability generating function (pgf)  $g_Y$  of Y is a mapping of [0,1] to the reals defined as  $g_Y(t) := E(t^Y) = \sum_{i=1}^m t^{y_i} P(Y = y_i) (g_Y(t)) := \int_{-\infty}^\infty t^y f(y) dy$ .

Some properties of probability generating functions:

Let Y be a discrete r.v. taking values on  $\{y_1, \ldots, y_m\}$  (a continuous r.v. with density function f(y) in  $\mathbb{R}$ ). The probability generating function (pgf)  $g_Y$  of Y is a mapping of [0,1] to the reals defined as  $g_Y(t) := E(t^Y) = \sum_{i=1}^m t^{y_i} P(Y = y_i) (g_Y(t)) := \int_{-\infty}^\infty t^y f(y) dy$ .

#### Some properties of probability generating functions:

(i) If 
$$Y \sim Bernoulli(p)$$
, then  $g_Y(t) = 1 + p(t-1)$ .

Let Y be a discrete r.v. taking values on  $\{y_1, \ldots, y_m\}$  (a continuous r.v. with density function f(y) in  $\mathbb{R}$ ). The probability generating function (pgf)  $g_Y$  of Y is a mapping of [0,1] to the reals defined as  $g_Y(t) := E(t^Y) = \sum_{i=1}^m t^{y_i} P(Y = y_i) (g_Y(t)) := \int_{-\infty}^\infty t^y f(y) dy$ .

#### Some properties of probability generating functions:

(i) If 
$$Y \sim Bernoulli(p)$$
, then  $g_Y(t) = 1 + p(t-1)$ .

(ii) If 
$$Y \sim Poisson(\lambda)$$
, then  $g_Y(t) = \exp{\{\lambda(t-1)\}}$ .

Let Y be a discrete r.v. taking values on  $\{y_1, \ldots, y_m\}$  (a continuous r.v. with density function f(y) in  $\mathbb{R}$ ). The probability generating function (pgf)  $g_Y$  of Y is a mapping of [0,1] to the reals defined as  $g_Y(t) := E(t^Y) = \sum_{i=1}^m t^{y_i} P(Y = y_i) (g_Y(t)) := \int_{-\infty}^\infty t^y f(y) dy$ .

#### Some properties of probability generating functions:

(iii) If the r.v.  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  are independent, then  $g_{X_1+\ldots+X_n}(t) = \prod_{i=1}^n g_{X_i}(t).$ 

Let Y be a discrete r.v. taking values on  $\{y_1, \ldots, y_m\}$  (a continuous r.v. with density function f(y) in  $\mathbb{R}$ ). The probability generating function (pgf)  $g_Y$  of Y is a mapping of [0,1] to the reals defined as  $g_Y(t) := E(t^Y) = \sum_{i=1}^m t^{y_i} P(Y = y_i) (g_Y(t)) := \int_{-\infty}^\infty t^y f(y) dy$ .

#### Some properties of probability generating functions:

(i) If 
$$Y \sim Bernoulli(p)$$
, then  $g_Y(t) = 1 + p(t-1)$ .

(ii) If  $Y \sim Poisson(\lambda)$ , then  $g_Y(t) = \exp{\{\lambda(t-1)\}}$ .

(iii) If the r.v. 
$$X_1, \ldots, X_n$$
 are independent, then  $g_{X_1+\ldots+X_n}(t) = \prod_{i=1}^n g_{X_i}(t).$ 

(iv) Let Y be a r.v. with density function f and let  $g_{X|Y=y}(t)$  be the pgf of X|Y = y. Then  $g_X(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_{X|Y=y}(t)f(y)dy$ .

Let Y be a discrete r.v. taking values on  $\{y_1, \ldots, y_m\}$  (a continuous r.v. with density function f(y) in  $\mathbb{R}$ ). The probability generating function (pgf)  $g_Y$  of Y is a mapping of [0,1] to the reals defined as  $g_Y(t) := E(t^Y) = \sum_{i=1}^m t^{y_i} P(Y = y_i) (g_Y(t)) := \int_{-\infty}^\infty t^y f(y) dy$ .

#### Some properties of probability generating functions:

(i) If 
$$Y \sim Bernoulli(p)$$
, then  $g_Y(t) = 1 + p(t-1)$ .

(ii) If 
$$Y \sim Poisson(\lambda)$$
, then  $g_Y(t) = \exp{\{\lambda(t-1)\}}$ .

(iii) If the r.v. 
$$X_1, \ldots, X_n$$
 are independent, then  $g_{X_1+\ldots+X_n}(t) = \prod_{i=1}^n g_{X_i}(t).$ 

(iv) Let Y be a r.v. with density function f and let  $g_{X|Y=y}(t)$  be the pgf of X|Y = y. Then  $g_X(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_{X|Y=y}(t)f(y)dy$ .

(v) Let 
$$g_X(t)$$
 be the pgf of X. Then  $\mathbb{P}(X = k) = \frac{1}{k!}g_X^{(k)}(0)$ , where  $g_X^{(k)}(t) = \frac{d^k g_X(t)}{dt^k}$ .

The loss will be approximated as an integer multiple of a prespecified loss unit  $L_0$  (e.g.  $L_o = 10^6$  Euro):

The loss will be approximated as an integer multiple of a prespecified loss unit  $L_0$  (e.g.  $L_o = 10^6$  Euro):

$$\begin{split} LGD_i &= (1 - \lambda_i)L_i \approx \left[\frac{(1 - \lambda_i)L_i}{L_0}\right]L_0 = v_iL_0 \text{ with } v_i := \left[\frac{(1 - \lambda_i)L_i}{L_0}\right],\\ \text{where } [x] &= \arg\min_t\{|t - x| \colon t \in \mathbb{Z}, t - x \in (-1/2, 1/2]\}. \end{split}$$

The loss will be approximated as an integer multiple of a prespecified loss unit  $L_0$  (e.g.  $L_o = 10^6$  Euro):

$$\begin{split} LGD_i &= (1 - \lambda_i)L_i \approx \left[\frac{(1 - \lambda_i)L_i}{L_0}\right]L_0 = v_iL_0 \text{ with } v_i := \left[\frac{(1 - \lambda_i)L_i}{L_0}\right],\\ \text{where } [x] &= \arg\min_t\{|t - x| \colon t \in \mathbb{Z}, t - x \in (-1/2, 1/2]\}. \end{split}$$

The loss function is then given by  $L = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \bar{X}_i v_i L_0 \approx \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i v_i L_0$ , where  $\bar{X}_i$  is the loss indicator and  $(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$  has a PMD with factor vector  $(Z_1, Z_2, \ldots, Z_m)$  as described above.

The loss will be approximated as an integer multiple of a prespecified loss unit  $L_0$  (e.g.  $L_o = 10^6$  Euro):

$$LGD_i = (1 - \lambda_i)L_i \approx \left[\frac{(1 - \lambda_i)L_i}{L_0}\right]L_0 = v_iL_0 \text{ with } v_i := \left[\frac{(1 - \lambda_i)L_i}{L_0}\right],$$
  
where  $[x] = \arg\min_t\{|t - x|: t \in \mathbb{Z}, t - x \in (-1/2, 1/2]\}.$ 

The loss function is then given by  $L = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \bar{X}_i v_i L_0 \approx \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i v_i L_0$ , where  $\bar{X}_i$  is the loss indicator and  $(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$  has a PMD with factor vector  $(Z_1, Z_2, \ldots, Z_m)$  as described above.

Step 1 Determine the pgf of (the approximative) number of losses  $N = X_1 + \ldots + X_n$ 

The loss will be approximated as an integer multiple of a prespecified loss unit  $L_0$  (e.g.  $L_o = 10^6$  Euro):

$$LGD_i = (1 - \lambda_i)L_i \approx \left[\frac{(1 - \lambda_i)L_i}{L_0}\right]L_0 = v_iL_0 \text{ with } v_i := \left[\frac{(1 - \lambda_i)L_i}{L_0}\right],$$
  
where  $[x] = \arg\min_t\{|t - x|: t \in \mathbb{Z}, t - x \in (-1/2, 1/2]\}.$ 

The loss function is then given by  $L = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \bar{X}_i v_i L_0 \approx \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i v_i L_0$ , where  $\bar{X}_i$  is the loss indicator and  $(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$  has a PMD with factor vector  $(Z_1, Z_2, \ldots, Z_m)$  as described above.

Step 1 Determine the pgf of (the approximative) number of losses  $N = X_1 + \ldots + X_n$ 

 $\begin{aligned} X_i|Z \sim Poi(\lambda_i(Z)), \ \forall i \Longrightarrow g_{X_i|Z}(t) &= \exp\{\lambda_i(Z)(t-1)\}, \ \forall i \Longrightarrow \\ g_{N|Z}(t) &= \prod_{i=1}^n g_{X_i|Z}(t) = \prod_{i=1}^n \exp\{\lambda_i(Z)(t-1)\} = \exp\{\mu(t-1)\}, \\ \text{with } \mu &:= \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i(Z) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\bar{\lambda}_i \sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij} Z_j\right). \end{aligned}$ 

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへで

Then

 $g_N(t) = \int_0^\infty \dots \int_0^\infty g_{N|Z=(z_1,z_2,\dots,z_m)} f_1(z_1) \dots f_m(z_m) dz_1 \dots dz_m =$ 

# Then $g_{N}(t) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \dots \int_{0}^{\infty} g_{N|Z=(z_{1},z_{2},\dots,z_{m})} f_{1}(z_{1}) \dots f_{m}(z_{m}) dz_{1} \dots dz_{m} =$ $\int_{0}^{\infty} \dots \int_{0}^{\infty} \exp\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\bar{\lambda}_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{ij} z_{j}\right)(t-1)\right\} f_{1}(z_{1}) \dots f_{m}(z_{m}) dz_{1} \dots dz_{m} =$

Then  

$$g_{N}(t) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \dots \int_{0}^{\infty} g_{N|Z=(z_{1},z_{2},\dots,z_{m})} f_{1}(z_{1}) \dots f_{m}(z_{m}) dz_{1} \dots dz_{m} =$$

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \dots \int_{0}^{\infty} \exp\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\bar{\lambda}_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{ij} z_{j}\right)(t-1)\right\} f_{1}(z_{1}) \dots f_{m}(z_{m}) dz_{1} \dots dz_{m} =$$

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \dots \int_{0}^{\infty} \exp\left\{(t-1) \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \bar{\lambda}_{i} a_{ij}\right) z_{j}\right\} f_{1}(z_{1}) \dots f_{m}(z_{m}) dz_{1} \dots dz_{m} =$$

Then  

$$g_{N}(t) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \dots \int_{0}^{\infty} g_{N|Z=(z_{1},z_{2},\dots,z_{m})} f_{1}(z_{1}) \dots f_{m}(z_{m}) dz_{1} \dots dz_{m} =$$

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \dots \int_{0}^{\infty} \exp\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\bar{\lambda}_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{ij} z_{j}\right)(t-1)\right\} f_{1}(z_{1}) \dots f_{m}(z_{m}) dz_{1} \dots dz_{m} =$$

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \dots \int_{0}^{\infty} \exp\left\{(t-1) \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \bar{\lambda}_{i} a_{ij}\right) z_{j}\right\} f_{1}(z_{1}) \dots f_{m}(z_{m}) dz_{1} \dots dz_{m} =$$

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \dots \int_{0}^{\infty} \exp\{(t-1)\mu_{1}z_{1}\}f_{1}(z_{1})dz_{1}\dots\exp\{(t-1)\mu_{m}z_{m}\}f_{m}(z_{m})dz_{m} = \prod_{j=1}^{m} \int_{0}^{\infty} \exp\{z_{j}\mu_{j}(t-1)\}\frac{1}{\beta_{j}^{\alpha_{j}}\Gamma(\alpha_{j})}z_{j}^{\alpha_{j}-1}\exp\{-z_{j}/\beta_{j}\}dz_{j}$$

Then  

$$g_N(t) = \int_0^\infty \dots \int_0^\infty g_{N|Z=(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m)} f_1(z_1) \dots f_m(z_m) dz_1 \dots dz_m =$$

$$\int_0^\infty \dots \int_0^\infty \exp\left\{\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\bar{\lambda}_i \sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij} z_j\right)(t-1)\right\} f_1(z_1) \dots f_m(z_m) dz_1 \dots dz_m =$$

$$\int_0^\infty \dots \int_0^\infty \exp\left\{(t-1) \sum_{j=1}^m \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \bar{\lambda}_i a_{ij}\right) z_j\right)\right\} f_1(z_1) \dots f_m(z_m) dz_1 \dots dz_m =$$

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \dots \int_{0}^{\infty} \exp\{(t-1)\mu_{1}z_{1}\}f_{1}(z_{1})dz_{1}\dots\exp\{(t-1)\mu_{m}z_{m}\}f_{m}(z_{m})dz_{m} = \prod_{j=1}^{m} \int_{0}^{\infty} \exp\{z_{j}\mu_{j}(t-1)\}\frac{1}{\beta_{j}^{\alpha_{j}}\Gamma(\alpha_{j})}z_{j}^{\alpha_{j}-1}\exp\{-z_{j}/\beta_{j}\}dz_{j}$$

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

The computation of each integral in the product above yields

Then  

$$g_N(t) = \int_0^\infty \dots \int_0^\infty g_{N|Z=(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m)} f_1(z_1) \dots f_m(z_m) dz_1 \dots dz_m =$$

$$\int_0^\infty \dots \int_0^\infty \exp\left\{\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\bar{\lambda}_i \sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij} z_j\right)(t-1)\right\} f_1(z_1) \dots f_m(z_m) dz_1 \dots dz_m =$$

$$\int_0^\infty \dots \int_0^\infty \exp\left\{(t-1) \sum_{j=1}^m \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \bar{\lambda}_i a_{ij}\right) z_j\right)\right\} f_1(z_1) \dots f_m(z_m) dz_1 \dots dz_m =$$

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \dots \int_{0}^{\infty} \exp\{(t-1)\mu_{1}z_{1}\}f_{1}(z_{1})dz_{1}\dots\exp\{(t-1)\mu_{m}z_{m}\}f_{m}(z_{m})dz_{m} = \prod_{j=1}^{m} \int_{0}^{\infty} \exp\{z_{j}\mu_{j}(t-1)\}\frac{1}{\beta_{j}^{\alpha_{j}}\Gamma(\alpha_{j})}z_{j}^{\alpha_{j}-1}\exp\{-z_{j}/\beta_{j}\}dz_{j}$$

The computation of each integral in the product above yields

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_{j})\beta_{j}^{\alpha_{j}}} \exp\{z_{j}\mu_{j}(t-1)\}z_{j}^{\alpha_{j}-1} \exp\{-z_{j}/\beta_{j}\}dz_{j} = \left(\frac{1-\delta_{j}}{1-\delta_{j}t}\right)^{\alpha_{j}} \text{ with } \delta_{j} = \beta_{j}\mu_{j}/(1+\beta_{j}\mu_{j}).$$

Thus we have 
$$g_N(t) = \prod_{j=1}^m \left(\frac{1-\delta_j}{1-\delta_j t}\right)^{\alpha_j}$$
.

Thus we have 
$$g_N(t) = \prod_{j=1}^m \left(\frac{1-\delta_j}{1-\delta_j t}\right)^{\alpha_j}$$
.

Step 2 Determine the pgf of the (approximated) loss distribution  $L = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i v_i L_0.$ 

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Thus we have 
$$g_N(t) = \prod_{j=1}^m \left(\frac{1-\delta_j}{1-\delta_j t}\right)^{\alpha_j}$$
.

Step 2 Determine the pgf of the (approximated) loss distribution  $L = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i v_i L_0.$ 

The conditional loss due to default of debtor *i* is  $L_i|Z = v_i(X_i|Z)$ 

Thus we have 
$$g_N(t) = \prod_{j=1}^m \left( rac{1-\delta_j}{1-\delta_j t} 
ight)^{lpha_j}$$

Step 2 Determine the pgf of the (approximated) loss distribution  $L = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i v_i L_0.$ 

The conditional loss due to default of debtor *i* is  $L_i|Z = v_i(X_i|Z)$  $L_i|Z$  are independent for  $i = 1, 2, ..., n \Longrightarrow$  $g_{L_i|Z}(t) = E(t^{L_i}|Z) = E(t^{v_iX_i}|Z) = g_{X_i|Z}(t^{v_i}) = \exp\{\lambda_i(Z)(t^{v_i}-1)\}.$ 

Thus we have 
$$g_N(t) = \prod_{j=1}^m \left( rac{1-\delta_j}{1-\delta_j t} 
ight)^{lpha_j}$$

Step 2 Determine the pgf of the (approximated) loss distribution  $L = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i v_i L_0.$ 

The conditional loss due to default of debtor *i* is  $L_i|Z = v_i(X_i|Z)$   $L_i|Z$  are independent for  $i = 1, 2, ..., n \Longrightarrow$   $g_{L_i|Z}(t) = E(t^{L_i}|Z) = E(t^{v_iX_i}|Z) = g_{X_i|Z}(t^{v_i}) = \exp\{\lambda_i(Z)(t^{v_i}-1)\}.$ The pgf od the conditional overall loss is  $g_{L|Z}(t) = g_{L_1+L_2+...+L_n|Z}(t) = \prod_{i=1}^n g_{L_i|Z}(t) =$  $\prod_{i=1}^n g_{X_i|Z}(t^{v_i}) = \exp\{\sum_{j=1}^m Z_j(\sum_{i=1}^n \overline{\lambda}_i a_{ij}(t^{v_i}-1))\}.$ 

Thus we have 
$$g_N(t) = \prod_{j=1}^m \left(rac{1-\delta_j}{1-\delta_j t}
ight)^{lpha_j}$$

Step 2 Determine the pgf of the (approximated) loss distribution  $L = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i v_i L_0.$ 

The conditional loss due to default of debtor *i* is  $L_i|Z = v_i(X_i|Z)$   $L_i|Z$  are independent for  $i = 1, 2, ..., n \Longrightarrow$   $g_{L_i|Z}(t) = E(t^{L_i}|Z) = E(t^{v_iX_i}|Z) = g_{X_i|Z}(t^{v_i}) = \exp\{\lambda_i(Z)(t^{v_i}-1)\}.$ The pgf od the conditional overall loss is  $g_{L|Z}(t) = g_{L_1+L_2+...+L_n|Z}(t) = \prod_{i=1}^n g_{L_i|Z}(t) =$  $\prod_{i=1}^n g_{X_i|Z}(t^{v_i}) = \exp\left\{\sum_{j=1}^m Z_j\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \overline{\lambda}_i a_{ij}(t^{v_j}-1)\right)\right\}.$ 

Analogous computations as in the case of  $g_N(t)$  yield:

$$g_L(t) = \prod_{j=1}^m \left(rac{1-\delta_j}{1-\delta_j\Lambda_j(t)}
ight)^{lpha_j} ext{ wobei } \Lambda_j(t) = rac{1}{\mu_j}\sum_{i=1}^n ar\lambda_i a_{ij}t^{v_i}.$$

▲□▶▲圖▶▲圖▶▲圖▶ 圖 めへぐ

**Example:** Consider a credit portfolio with n = 100 credits, and m risk factors, where m = 1 or m = 5.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

**Example:** Consider a credit portfolio with n = 100 credits, and m risk factors, where m = 1 or m = 5. Assume that  $\overline{\lambda}_i = \overline{\lambda} = 0.15$ , for i = 1, 2, ..., n,  $\alpha_j = \alpha = 1$ ,  $\beta_j = \beta = 1$ ,  $a_{i,j} = 1/m$ , i = 1, 2, ..., n, j = 1, 2, ..., m.

**Example:** Consider a credit portfolio with n = 100 credits, and m risk factors, where m = 1 or m = 5. Assume that  $\bar{\lambda}_i = \bar{\lambda} = 0.15$ , for i = 1, 2, ..., n,  $\alpha_j = \alpha = 1$ ,  $\beta_j = \beta = 1$ ,  $a_{i,j} = 1/m$ , i = 1, 2, ..., n, j = 1, 2, ..., m.

The probability that k creditors will default is given as follows for any  $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ :

**Example:** Consider a credit portfolio with n = 100 credits, and m risk factors, where m = 1 or m = 5. Assume that  $\bar{\lambda}_i = \bar{\lambda} = 0.15$ , for i = 1, 2, ..., n,  $\alpha_j = \alpha = 1$ ,  $\beta_j = \beta = 1$ ,  $a_{i,j} = 1/m$ , i = 1, 2, ..., n, j = 1, 2, ..., m.

The probability that k creditors will default is given as follows for any  $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ :  $\mathbb{P}(N = k) = \frac{1}{k!} g_N^{(k)}(0) = \frac{1}{k!} \frac{d^k g_N}{d^k k}.$ 

**Example:** Consider a credit portfolio with n = 100 credits, and m risk factors, where m = 1 or m = 5. Assume that  $\bar{\lambda}_i = \bar{\lambda} = 0.15$ , for i = 1, 2, ..., n,  $\alpha_j = \alpha = 1$ ,  $\beta_j = \beta = 1$ ,  $a_{i,j} = 1/m$ , i = 1, 2, ..., n, j = 1, 2, ..., m.

The probability that k creditors will default is given as follows for any  $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ :

$$\mathbb{P}(N=k)=\tfrac{1}{k!}g_N^{(k)}(0)=\tfrac{1}{k!}\tfrac{d^kg_N}{dt^k}.$$

For the computation of  $\mathbb{P}(N = k)$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots, 100$ , we can use the following recursive formula

**Example:** Consider a credit portfolio with n = 100 credits, and m risk factors, where m = 1 or m = 5. Assume that  $\bar{\lambda}_i = \bar{\lambda} = 0.15$ , for i = 1, 2, ..., n,  $\alpha_j = \alpha = 1$ ,  $\beta_j = \beta = 1$ ,  $a_{i,j} = 1/m$ , i = 1, 2, ..., n, j = 1, 2, ..., m.

The probability that k creditors will default is given as follows for any  $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ :

$$\mathbb{P}(N=k)=\tfrac{1}{k!}g_N^{(k)}(0)=\tfrac{1}{k!}\tfrac{d^kg_N}{dt^k}.$$

For the computation of  $\mathbb{P}(N = k)$ , k = 0, 1, ..., 100, we can use the following recursive formula

$$g_N^{(k)}(0) = \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} {k-1 \choose l} g_N^{(k-1-l)}(0) \sum_{j=1}^m l! lpha_j \delta_j^{l+1}$$
, where  $k>1$ .

Monte Carlo methods in credit risk management
Let *P* be a credit portfolio consisting of *m* credits. The loss function is  $L = \sum_{i=1}^{m} L_i$  and the single credit losses  $L_i$  are independent conditioned on a vector *Z* of economical impact factors.

Let *P* be a credit portfolio consisting of *m* credits. The loss function is  $L = \sum_{i=1}^{m} L_i$  and the single credit losses  $L_i$  are independent conditioned on a vector *Z* of economical impact factors.

**Goal:** Determine  $VaR_{\alpha}(L) = q_{\alpha}(L)$ ,  $CVaR_{\alpha} = E(L|L > q_{\alpha}(L))$ ,  $CVaR_{i,\alpha} = E(L_i|L > q_{\alpha}(L))$ , for all *i*.

Let P be a credit portfolio consisting of m credits.

The loss function is  $L = \sum_{i=1}^{m} L_i$  and the single credit losses  $L_i$  are independent conditioned on a vector Z of economical impact factors.

**Goal:** Determine  $VaR_{\alpha}(L) = q_{\alpha}(L)$ ,  $CVaR_{\alpha} = E(L|L > q_{\alpha}(L))$ ,  $CVaR_{i,\alpha} = E(L_i|L > q_{\alpha}(L))$ , for all *i*.

Application of Monte Carlo (MC) simulation has to deal with the simulation of rare events!

E.g. for  $\alpha = 0,99$  only 1% of the standard MC simulations will lead to a loss L, such that  $L > q_{\alpha}(L)$ .

(日) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Let P be a credit portfolio consisting of m credits.

The loss function is  $L = \sum_{i=1}^{m} L_i$  and the single credit losses  $L_i$  are independent conditioned on a vector Z of economical impact factors.

**Goal:** Determine  $VaR_{\alpha}(L) = q_{\alpha}(L)$ ,  $CVaR_{\alpha} = E(L|L > q_{\alpha}(L))$ ,  $CVaR_{i,\alpha} = E(L_i|L > q_{\alpha}(L))$ , for all *i*.

Application of Monte Carlo (MC) simulation has to deal with the simulation of rare events!

E.g. for  $\alpha = 0,99$  only 1% of the standard MC simulations will lead to a loss L, such that  $L > q_{\alpha}(L)$ .

The standard MC estimator is:

$$\widehat{CVaR}_{\alpha}^{(MC)}(L) = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} I_{(q_{\alpha},+\infty)}(L^{(i)})} \sum_{i=1}^{n} L^{(i)} I_{(q_{\alpha},+\infty)}(L^{(i)}),$$

(日) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

where  $L_i$  is the value of the loss in the *i*-th simulation run.

Let P be a credit portfolio consisting of m credits.

The loss function is  $L = \sum_{i=1}^{m} L_i$  and the single credit losses  $L_i$  are independent conditioned on a vector Z of economical impact factors.

**Goal:** Determine  $VaR_{\alpha}(L) = q_{\alpha}(L)$ ,  $CVaR_{\alpha} = E(L|L > q_{\alpha}(L))$ ,  $CVaR_{i,\alpha} = E(L_i|L > q_{\alpha}(L))$ , for all *i*.

Application of Monte Carlo (MC) simulation has to deal with the simulation of rare events!

E.g. for  $\alpha = 0,99$  only 1% of the standard MC simulations will lead to a loss L, such that  $L > q_{\alpha}(L)$ .

The standard MC estimator is:

$$\widehat{CVaR}_{\alpha}^{(MC)}(L) = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} I_{(q_{\alpha},+\infty)}(L^{(i)})} \sum_{i=1}^{n} L^{(i)} I_{(q_{\alpha},+\infty)}(L^{(i)}),$$

where  $L_i$  is the value of the loss in the *i*-th simulation run.  $\widehat{CVaR}^{(MC)}_{\alpha}(L)$  is unstable, i.e. it has a very high variance unless the number of simulation runs is very high.

◆□ → <圖 → < Ξ → < Ξ → Ξ · 9 < @</p>

Let X be a r.v. in a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  with absolutely continuous distribution function and density function f.

Goal: Determine  $\theta = E(h(X)) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(x)f(x)dx$  for some given function *h*.

Let X be a r.v. in a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  with absolutely continuous distribution function and density function f.

Goal: Determine  $\theta = E(h(X)) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(x)f(x)dx$  for some given function *h*.

Examples:

Set  $h(x) = I_A(x)$  to compute the probability of an event A. Set  $h(x) = x \mathbb{I}_{\{x > c\}}(x)$  with c = VaR(X) to compute CVaR(X).

Let X be a r.v. in a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  with absolutely continuous distribution function and density function f.

Goal: Determine  $\theta = E(h(X)) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(x)f(x)dx$  for some given function *h*.

Examples:

Set  $h(x) = I_A(x)$  to compute the probability of an event A. Set  $h(x) = x \mathbb{I}_{\{x > c\}}(x)$  with c = VaR(X) to compute CVaR(X). Algorithm: Monte Carlo integration

- (1) Simulate  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$  independently with density f.
- (2) Compute the standard MC estimator  $\hat{\theta}_n^{(MC)} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n h(X_i)$ .

(日) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Let X be a r.v. in a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  with absolutely continuous distribution function and density function f.

Goal: Determine  $\theta = E(h(X)) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(x)f(x)dx$  for some given function *h*.

Examples:

Set  $h(x) = I_A(x)$  to compute the probability of an event A. Set  $h(x) = x \mathbb{I}_{\{x > c\}}(x)$  with c = VaR(X) to compute CVaR(X). Algorithm: Monte Carlo integration

- (1) Simulate  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$  independently with density f.
- (2) Compute the standard MC estimator  $\hat{\theta}_n^{(MC)} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n h(X_i)$ .

The strong low of large numbers implies  $\lim_{n\to\infty}\hat{\theta}_n^{(MC)}=\theta$  almost surely.

Let X be a r.v. in a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  with absolutely continuous distribution function and density function f.

Goal: Determine  $\theta = E(h(X)) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(x)f(x)dx$  for some given function *h*.

Examples:

Set  $h(x) = I_A(x)$  to compute the probability of an event A. Set  $h(x) = x \mathbb{I}_{\{x > c\}}(x)$  with c = VaR(X) to compute CVaR(X). Algorithm: Monte Carlo integration

- (1) Simulate  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$  independently with density f.
- (2) Compute the standard MC estimator  $\hat{\theta}_n^{(MC)} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n h(X_i)$ .

The strong low of large numbers implies  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \hat{\theta}_n^{(MC)} = \theta$  almost surely. In case of rare events, e.g.  $h(x) = I_A(x)$  with  $\mathbb{P}(A) << 1$ , the convergence is very slow.

<□ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ @

Let g be a probability density function, such that  $f(x) > 0 \Rightarrow g(x) > 0$ .

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

We define the *likelihood ratio* as:  $r(x) := \begin{cases} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} & g(x) > 0\\ 0 & g(x) = 0 \end{cases}$ 

Let g be a probability density function, such that  $f(x) > 0 \Rightarrow g(x) > 0$ .

We define the *likelihood ratio* as:  $r(x) := \begin{cases} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} & g(x) > 0\\ 0 & g(x) = 0 \end{cases}$ 

The following equality holds:

$$\theta = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(x)r(x)g(x)dx = E_g(h(x)r(x))$$

Algorithm: Importance sampling

- (1) Simulate  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$  independently with density g.
- (2) Compute the IS-estimator  $\hat{\theta}_n^{(IS)} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n h(X_i) r(X_i)$ .

g is called *importance sampling density* (IS density).

Let g be a probability density function, such that  $f(x) > 0 \Rightarrow g(x) > 0$ .

We define the *likelihood ratio* as:  $r(x) := \begin{cases} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} & g(x) > 0\\ 0 & g(x) = 0 \end{cases}$ 

The following equality holds:

$$\theta = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(x)r(x)g(x)dx = E_g(h(x)r(x))$$

Algorithm: Importance sampling

- (1) Simulate  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$  independently with density g.
- (2) Compute the IS-estimator  $\hat{\theta}_n^{(IS)} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n h(X_i) r(X_i)$ .

g is called *importance sampling density* (IS density).

Goal: choose an IS density g such that the variance of the IS estimator is much smaller than the variance of the standard MC-estimator.

$$\operatorname{var}\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}^{(IS)}\right) = \frac{1}{n} (E_{g}(h^{2}(X)r^{2}(X)) - \theta^{2})$$
$$\operatorname{var}\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}^{(MC)}\right) = \frac{1}{n} (E_{f}(h^{2}(X)) - \theta^{2})$$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ ■ のへで

Theoretically the variance of the IS estimator can be reduced to 0!

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

Theoretically the variance of the IS estimator can be reduced to 0! Assume  $h(x) \ge 0, \forall x$ . For  $g^*(x) = f(x)h(x)/E(h(x))$  we get :  $\hat{\theta}_1^{(IS)} = h(X_1)r(X_1) = E(h(X))$ . The IS estimator yields the correct value already after one single simulation!

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Theoretically the variance of the IS estimator can be reduced to 0! Assume  $h(x) \ge 0, \forall x$ . For  $g^*(x) = f(x)h(x)/E(h(x))$  we get :  $\hat{\theta}_1^{(IS)} = h(X_1)r(X_1) = E(h(X))$ . The IS estimator yields the correct value already after one single simulation!

Let  $h(x) = \mathbb{I}_{\{X \ge c\}}(x)$  where c >> E(X) (rare event).

Theoretically the variance of the IS estimator can be reduced to 0! Assume  $h(x) \ge 0, \forall x$ . For  $g^*(x) = f(x)h(x)/E(h(x))$  we get :  $\hat{\theta}_1^{(IS)} = h(X_1)r(X_1) = E(h(X))$ . The IS estimator yields the correct value already after one single simulation!

Let  $h(x) = \mathbb{I}_{\{X \ge c\}}(x)$  where c >> E(X) (rare event). We have  $E(h^2(X)) = P(X \ge c)$  and

$$E_g(h^2(X)r^2(X)) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h^2(x)r^2(x)g(x)dx = E_g(r^2(X); X \ge c) =$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h^2(x)r(x)f(x)dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(x)r(x)f(x)dx = E_f(r(X); X \ge c)$$

Theoretically the variance of the IS estimator can be reduced to 0! Assume  $h(x) \ge 0, \forall x$ . For  $g^*(x) = f(x)h(x)/E(h(x))$  we get :  $\hat{\theta}_1^{(IS)} = h(X_1)r(X_1) = E(h(X))$ . The IS estimator yields the correct value already after one single simulation!

Let  $h(x) = \mathbb{I}_{\{X \ge c\}}(x)$  where c >> E(X) (rare event). We have  $E(h^2(X)) = P(X \ge c)$  and

$$E_g(h^2(X)r^2(X)) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h^2(x)r^2(x)g(x)dx = E_g(r^2(X); X \ge c) =$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h^2(x)r(x)f(x)dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(x)r(x)f(x)dx = E_f(r(X); X \ge c)$$

Goal: choose g such that  $E_g(h^2(X)r^2(X))$  becomes small, i.e. such that r(x) is small for  $x \ge c$ .

Theoretically the variance of the IS estimator can be reduced to 0! Assume  $h(x) \ge 0, \forall x$ . For  $g^*(x) = f(x)h(x)/E(h(x))$  we get :  $\hat{\theta}_1^{(IS)} = h(X_1)r(X_1) = E(h(X))$ . The IS estimator yields the correct value already after one single simulation!

Let  $h(x) = \mathbb{I}_{\{X \ge c\}}(x)$  where c >> E(X) (rare event). We have  $E(h^2(X)) = P(X \ge c)$  and

$$E_g(h^2(X)r^2(X)) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h^2(x)r^2(x)g(x)dx = E_g(r^2(X); X \ge c) =$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h^2(x)r(x)f(x)dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(x)r(x)f(x)dx = E_f(r(X); X \ge c)$$

Goal: choose g such that  $E_g(h^2(X)r^2(X))$  becomes small, i.e. such that r(x) is small for  $x \ge c$ . Aquivalently, the event  $X \ge c$  should be more probable under density g than under density f.

<ロト (個) (目) (目) (目) (0) (0)</p>

Let  $M_x(t) \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  be the moment generating function of the r.v. X with probability density f:

$$M_X(t) = E(e^{tX}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{tx} f(x) dx$$

Let  $M_x(t) \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  be the moment generating function of the r.v. X with probability density f:

$$M_X(t) = E(e^{tX}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{tx} f(x) dx$$

Consider the IS density  $g_t(x) := \frac{e^{tx}f(x)}{M_X(t)}$ . Then  $r_t(x) = \frac{f(x)}{g_t(x)} = M_X(t)e^{-tx}$ .

Let  $M_x(t)$ :  $\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  be the moment generating function of the r.v. X with probability density f:

$$M_X(t) = E(e^{tX}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{tx} f(x) dx$$

Consider the IS density  $g_t(x) := \frac{e^{tx}f(x)}{M_X(t)}$ . Then  $r_t(x) = \frac{f(x)}{g_t(x)} = M_X(t)e^{-tx}$ . Let  $\mu_t := E_{g_t}(X) = E(Xe^{tX})/M_X(t)$ .

Let  $M_x(t)$ :  $\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  be the moment generating function of the r.v. X with probability density f:

$$M_X(t) = E(e^{tX}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{tx} f(x) dx$$

Consider the IS density  $g_t(x) := \frac{e^{tx}f(x)}{M_X(t)}$ . Then  $r_t(x) = \frac{f(x)}{g_t(x)} = M_X(t)e^{-tx}$ . Let  $\mu_t := E_{g_t}(X) = E(Xe^{tX})/M_X(t)$ . How to determine a suitable t for a specific h(x)?

For example for the estimation of the tail probability  $\mathbb{P}(X \ge c)$ ?

Let  $M_x(t)$ :  $\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  be the moment generating function of the r.v. X with probability density f:

$$M_X(t) = E(e^{tX}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{tx} f(x) dx$$

Consider the IS density  $g_t(x) := \frac{e^{tx}f(x)}{M_X(t)}$ . Then  $r_t(x) = \frac{f(x)}{g_t(x)} = M_X(t)e^{-tx}$ . Let  $\mu_t := E_{g_t}(X) = E(Xe^{tX})/M_X(t)$ . How to determine a suitable t for a specific h(x)? For example for the estimation of the tail probability  $\mathbb{P}(X \ge c)$ ? Goal: choose t such that  $E(r(X); X \ge c) = E(\mathbb{I}_{\{X \ge c\}}M_X(t)e^{-tX})$ becomes small.

Let  $M_x(t)$ :  $\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  be the moment generating function of the r.v. X with probability density f:

$$M_X(t) = E(e^{tX}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{tx} f(x) dx$$

Consider the IS density  $g_t(x) := \frac{e^{tx}f(x)}{M_X(t)}$ . Then  $r_t(x) = \frac{f(x)}{g_t(x)} = M_X(t)e^{-tx}$ . Let  $\mu_t := E_{g_t}(X) = E(Xe^{tX})/M_X(t)$ . How to determine a suitable t for a specific h(x)? For example for the estimation of the tail probability  $\mathbb{P}(X \ge c)$ ? Goal: choose t such that  $E(r(X); X \ge c) = E(\mathbb{I}_{\{X \ge c\}}M_X(t)e^{-tX})$ becomes small.

$$e^{-tx} \leq e^{-tc}$$
, for  $x \geq c$ ,  $t \geq 0 \Rightarrow E(\mathbb{I}_{\{X \geq c\}}M_X(t)e^{-tX}) \leq M_X(t)e^{-tc}$ .

・ロト・西ト・ヨト・ヨト ウヘぐ

Let  $M_x(t)$ :  $\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  be the moment generating function of the r.v. X with probability density f:

$$M_X(t) = E(e^{tX}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{tx} f(x) dx$$

Consider the IS density  $g_t(x) := \frac{e^{tx}f(x)}{M_X(t)}$ . Then  $r_t(x) = \frac{f(x)}{g_t(x)} = M_X(t)e^{-tx}$ . Let  $\mu_t := E_{g_t}(X) = E(Xe^{tX})/M_X(t)$ . How to determine a suitable t for a specific h(x)? For example for the estimation of the tail probability  $\mathbb{P}(X \ge c)$ ? Goal: choose t such that  $E(r(X); X \ge c) = E(\mathbb{I}_{\{X \ge c\}}M_X(t)e^{-tX})$ becomes small.  $e^{-tx} \le e^{-tc}$ , for  $x \ge c$ ,  $t \ge 0 \Rightarrow E(\mathbb{I}_{\{X \ge c\}}M_X(t)e^{-tX}) \le M_X(t)e^{-tc}$ . Set  $t(c) :== argmin\{M_X(t)e^{-tc}: t \ge 0\}$  where t(c) is the solution of

the equation  $\mu_t = c$ .

Let  $M_x(t)$ :  $\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  be the moment generating function of the r.v. X with probability density f:

$$M_X(t) = E(e^{tX}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{tx} f(x) dx$$

Consider the IS density  $g_t(x) := \frac{e^{tx}f(x)}{M_X(t)}$ . Then  $r_t(x) = \frac{f(x)}{g_t(x)} = M_X(t)e^{-tx}$ . Let  $\mu_t := E_{g_t}(X) = E(Xe^{tX})/M_X(t)$ . How to determine a suitable t for a specific h(x)? For example for the estimation of the tail probability  $\mathbb{P}(X \ge c)$ ? Goal: choose t such that  $E(r(X); X \ge c) = E(\mathbb{I}_{\{X \ge c\}}M_X(t)e^{-tX})$ becomes small.  $e^{-tx} \le e^{-tc}$ , for  $x \ge c$ ,  $t \ge 0 \Rightarrow E(\mathbb{I}_{\{X \ge c\}}M_X(t)e^{-tX}) \le M_X(t)e^{-tc}$ . Set  $t(c) :== argmin\{M_X(t)e^{-tc}: t \ge 0\}$  where t(c) is the solution of

Set  $t(c) :== argmin\{M_X(t)e^{-ic}: t \ge 0\}$  where t(c) is the solution the equation  $\mu_t = c$ .

(A unique solution of the above equality exists for all relevant values of c, see e.g. Embrechts et al. for a proof).

(useful for the estimation of the credit portfolio risk)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

(useful for the estimation of the credit portfolio risk)

Let f and g be probability densities. Define probability measures P and Q:

$$P(A) := \int_{x \in A} f(x) dx$$
 and  $Q(A) := \int_{x \in A} g(x) dx$  for  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ .

(useful for the estimation of the credit portfolio risk)

Let f and g be probability densities. Define probability measures P and Q:

$$P(A) := \int_{x \in A} f(x) dx$$
 and  $Q(A) := \int_{x \in A} g(x) dx$  for  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ .

Goal: Estimate the expected value  $\theta := E^P(h(X))$  of a given function  $h: \mathcal{F} \to \mathbb{R}$  in the probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ .

(useful for the estimation of the credit portfolio risk)

Let f and g be probability densities. Define probability measures P and Q:

$$P(A) := \int_{x \in A} f(x) dx$$
 and  $Q(A) := \int_{x \in A} g(x) dx$  for  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ .

Goal: Estimate the expected value  $\theta := E^{P}(h(X))$  of a given function  $h: \mathcal{F} \to \mathbb{R}$  in the probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ .

We have  $\theta := E^P(h(X)) = E^Q(h(X)r(X))$  with r(x) := dP/dQ, thus r is the density of P w.r.t. Q.

(useful for the estimation of the credit portfolio risk)

Let f and g be probability densities. Define probability measures P and Q:

$$P(A) := \int_{x \in A} f(x) dx$$
 and  $Q(A) := \int_{x \in A} g(x) dx$  for  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ .

Goal: Estimate the expected value  $\theta := E^{P}(h(X))$  of a given function  $h: \mathcal{F} \to \mathbb{R}$  in the probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ .

We have  $\theta := E^P(h(X)) = E^Q(h(X)r(X))$  with r(x) := dP/dQ, thus r is the density of P w.r.t. Q.

#### **Exponential tilting in the case of probability measures:** Let X be a r.v. in $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ such that $M_X(t) = E^P(\exp\{tX\}) < \infty, \forall t$ .
## IS in the case of probability measures

(useful for the estimation of the credit portfolio risk)

Let f and g be probability densities. Define probability measures P and Q:

$$P(A) := \int_{x \in A} f(x) dx$$
 and  $Q(A) := \int_{x \in A} g(x) dx$  for  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ .

Goal: Estimate the expected value  $\theta := E^{P}(h(X))$  of a given function  $h: \mathcal{F} \to \mathbb{R}$  in the probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ .

We have  $\theta := E^P(h(X)) = E^Q(h(X)r(X))$  with r(x) := dP/dQ, thus r is the density of P w.r.t. Q.

Exponential tilting in the case of probability measures: Let X be a r.v. in  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  such that  $M_X(t) = E^P(\exp\{tX\}) < \infty$ ,  $\forall t$ . Define a probability measure  $Q_t$  in  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ , such that  $dQ_t/dP = \exp(tX)/M_X(t)$ , i.e.  $Q_t(A) := E^P\left(\frac{\exp\{tX\}}{M_X(t)}; A\right)$ .

## IS in the case of probability measures

(useful for the estimation of the credit portfolio risk)

Let f and g be probability densities. Define probability measures P and Q:

$$P(A) := \int_{x \in A} f(x) dx$$
 and  $Q(A) := \int_{x \in A} g(x) dx$  for  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ .

Goal: Estimate the expected value  $\theta := E^P(h(X))$  of a given function  $h: \mathcal{F} \to \mathbb{R}$  in the probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ .

We have  $\theta := E^P(h(X)) = E^Q(h(X)r(X))$  with r(x) := dP/dQ, thus r is the density of P w.r.t. Q.

Exponential tilting in the case of probability measures: Let X be a r.v. in  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  such that  $M_X(t) = E^P(\exp\{tX\}) < \infty$ ,  $\forall t$ . Define a probability measure  $Q_t$  in  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ , such that  $dQ_t/dP = \exp(tX)/M_X(t)$ , i.e.  $Q_t(A) := E^P\left(\frac{\exp\{tX\}}{M_X(t)}; A\right)$ . We have  $\frac{dP}{dQ_t} = M_X(t)\exp(-tX) =: r_t(X)$ .

## IS in the case of probability measures

(useful for the estimation of the credit portfolio risk)

Let f and g be probability densities. Define probability measures P and Q:

$$P(A) := \int_{x \in A} f(x) dx$$
 and  $Q(A) := \int_{x \in A} g(x) dx$  for  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ .

Goal: Estimate the expected value  $\theta := E^P(h(X))$  of a given function  $h: \mathcal{F} \to \mathbb{R}$  in the probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ .

We have  $\theta := E^{P}(h(X)) = E^{Q}(h(X)r(X))$  with r(x) := dP/dQ, thus r is the density of P w.r.t. Q.

Exponential tilting in the case of probability measures: Let X be a r.v. in  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  such that  $M_X(t) = E^P(\exp\{tX\}) < \infty$ ,  $\forall t$ . Define a probability measure  $Q_t$  in  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ , such that  $dQ_t/dP = \exp(tX)/M_X(t)$ , i.e.  $Q_t(A) := E^P\left(\frac{\exp\{tX\}}{M_X(t)}; A\right)$ . We have  $\frac{dP}{dQ_t} = M_X(t)\exp(-tX) =: r_t(X)$ . The IS algorithm does not change: Simulate independent realisations of

 $X_i$  in  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, Q_t)$  and set  $\hat{\theta}_n^{(IS)} = (1/n) \sum_{i=1}^n X_i r_t(X_i)$ .