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## Examples of finance instruments affected by credit risk

- bond portfolios
- OTC ("over the counter") transactions
- trades with credit derivatives
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Model the default of bond i until time $T$ by a Bernoulli distributed r.v. $X_{i}$ with with $p_{i}=P\left(X_{i}=1\right)$ :

$$
X_{i}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \text { bond } i \text { defaults } \\
0 & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Total loss at time $T: L=\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i} \cdot L G D_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}\left(1-\lambda_{i}\right) L_{i}$.
$L$ is a r.v. and its distribution depends from the c.d.f. of $\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}, \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right)^{T} \mathrm{ab}$.
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$S=\left(S_{1}, S_{2}, \ldots, S_{n}\right)^{T}$ is modelled by means of latent variables
$Y=\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{n}\right)^{T}$, e.g. $Y_{i}$ could be the value of the assets of obligor
$i$ (firm value models).
Let $d_{i j}, i=1,2, \ldots, n, j=0,1, \ldots, m+1$ be threshold values such that $d_{i, 0}=-\infty$ und $d_{i, m+1}=\infty$ and $S_{i}=j \Longleftrightarrow Y_{i} \in\left(d_{i, j}, d_{i, j+1}\right]$.

Let $F_{i}$ be the distribution function of $Y_{i}$. The probability of default for obligor $i$ is $p_{i}=F_{i}\left(d_{i, 1}\right)$.
The probability that the fisrt $k$ obligors default:

$$
\begin{gather*}
p_{1,2, \ldots, k}:=P\left(Y_{1} \leq d_{1,1}, Y_{2} \leq d_{2,1}, \ldots, Y_{k} \leq d_{k, 1}\right) \\
=C\left(F_{1}\left(d_{1,1}\right), F_{2}\left(d_{2,1}\right), \ldots, F_{k}\left(d_{k, 1}\right), 1,1, \ldots, 1\right)=C\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{k}, 1,\right.
\end{gather*}
$$

Thus the totalt defalut probability depends essentially on the copula $C$ of $\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{n}\right)$.
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The latent variables $Y=\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{n}\right)^{T}$ depend on the value of the assets of the obligors as follows.

## Merton's model

The balance sheet of each firm consists of assets and liabilities. The latter are devided in debt and equities.
Notations:
$V_{A, i}(T)$ : value of assets of firm $i$ at time point $T$
$K_{i}:=K_{i}(T)$ : value of the debt of firm $i$ at time point $T$
$V_{E, i}(T)$ : value of equity of firm $i$ at time point $T$
Assumption: future asset value is modelled by a geometric Brownian motion
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Computation of the "distance to default"
$V_{A, i}(t), \mu_{A, i}$ and $\sigma_{A, i}$ are needed.
Difficulty: $V_{A, i}(t)$ can not be observed directly.
However $V_{E, i}(t)$ can be observed by looking at the market stock prices.
KMVs viewpoint: the equity holders have the right, but not the obligation, to pay off the holders of the other liabilities and take over the remaining assets of the firm.
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## Computation of the "distance to default"

$V_{A, i}(t), \mu_{A, i}$ and $\sigma_{A, i}$ are needed.
Difficulty: $V_{A, i}(t)$ can not be observed directly.
However $V_{E, i}(t)$ can be observed by looking at the market stock prices.
KMVs viewpoint: the equity holders have the right, but not the obligation, to pay off the holders of the other liabilities and take over the remaining assets of the firm.
This can be seen as a call option on the firms assets with a strike price equal to the book value of the firms liabilities.
Thus $V_{E, i}(T)=\max \left\{V_{A, i}(T)-K_{i}, 0\right\}$.
The Black-Scholes formula implies (option price theory):
$V_{E, i}(t)=C\left(V_{A, i}(t), r, \sigma_{A, i}\right)=V_{A, i}(t) \phi\left(e_{1}\right)-K_{i} e^{-r(T-t)} \phi\left(e_{2}\right)$, where
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$V_{E, i}(t)$ and $\sigma_{E, i}$ are estimated based on historical data and the system of equalities below is solved w.r.t. $V_{A, i}(t)$ and $\sigma_{A, i}$ :
$V_{E, i}(t)=C\left(V_{A, i}(t), r, \sigma_{A, i}\right)$
$\sigma_{E, i}=g\left(V_{A, i}(t), \sigma_{A, i}, r\right)$
The values obtained for $V_{A, i}(t)$ and $\sigma_{A, i}$ are used to compute $D D_{i}$ :
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The KMV model also postulates
$\sigma_{E, i}=g\left(V_{A, i}(t), \sigma_{A, i}, r\right)$, where $g$ is some suitably selected proprietary function.
$V_{E, i}(t)$ and $\sigma_{E, i}$ are estimated based on historical data and the system of equalities below is solved w.r.t. $V_{A, i}(t)$ and $\sigma_{A, i}$ :
$V_{E, i}(t)=C\left(V_{A, i}(t), r, \sigma_{A, i}\right)$
$\sigma_{E, i}=g\left(V_{A, i}(t), \sigma_{A, i}, r\right)$
The values obtained for $V_{A, i}(t)$ and $\sigma_{A, i}$ are used to compute $D D_{i}$ :
$D D_{i}=\frac{\ln V_{A, i}(t)-\ln K_{i}+\left(\mu_{A, i}-\frac{\sigma_{A, i}^{2}}{2}\right)(T-t)}{\sigma_{A, i} \sqrt{T-t}}$.
Then $P\left(V_{A, i}(T)<K_{i}\right)=P\left(Y_{i}<-D D_{i}\right)$ and in the general setup of the latent variable model with $m=1$ we have $d_{i 1}=-D D_{i}$.
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## The expected default frequency (EDF)

In the KMV model the default probability is not computed by setting $p_{i}:=P\left(Y_{i}<-D D_{i}\right)$.
Alternative: historical data are used to identify companies which at some stage in their history had the same distance to default $D D_{i}$.
Then the observed default frequency is used as an estimator for the default probability $p_{i}$. This estimator is called expected default frequency, (EDF).
Summary of the univariate KMV model to compute the default probability of a company:

- Estimate the asset value $V_{A, i}$ and the volatilty $\sigma_{A, i}$ by using observations of the market value and the volatility of equity $V_{E, i}$, $\sigma_{E, i}$, the book of liabilities $K_{i}$, and by solving the system of equations above.
- Compute the distance-to-default $D D_{i}$ by means of the corresponding formula.
- Estimate the default probability $p_{i}$ in terms of the empirical distribution which relates the distance to default with the expected default frequency.
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Let $W j(t)$ be independent standard Brownian motions for $0 \leq t \leq T$, $j=1,2, \ldots, n$.
Basic model: $V_{A, i}(T)=$
$V_{A, i}(t) \exp \left\{\left(\mu_{A, i}-\frac{\sigma_{A, i}^{2}}{2}\right)(T-t)+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sigma_{A, i, j}\left(W_{j}(T)-W_{j}(t)\right)\right\}$,
where
$\mu_{A, i}$ is the drift, $\sigma_{A, i}^{2}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sigma_{A, i, j}^{2}$ is the volatility, and $\sigma_{A, i, j}$ quantifies the impact of the $j$ th Brownian motion on the asset value of firm $i$, $i, j \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$.
Set $Y_{i}:=\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{m} \sigma_{A, i, j}\left(W_{j}(T)-W_{j}(t)\right)}{\sigma_{A, i} \sqrt{T-t}}$. Then $Y=\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{n}\right) \sim N_{n}(0, \Sigma)$, where $\Sigma=\left(\sigma_{i j}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $\sigma_{i j}:=\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sigma_{A, i, k} \sigma_{A, j, k}}{\sigma_{A, i} \sigma_{A, j}}$.
We get $V_{A, i}(T)<K_{i} \Longleftrightarrow Y_{i}<-D D_{i}$ for all $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ with

$$
D D_{i}=\frac{\ln V_{A, i}(t)-\ln K_{i}+\left(\frac{-\sigma_{A, i}^{2}}{2}+\mu_{A, i}\right)(T-t)}{\sigma_{A, i} \sqrt{T-t}} .
$$
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The probability that the $k$ first firms default:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left(X_{1}=1, X_{2}=1, \ldots, X_{k}=1\right)=P\left(Y_{1}<-D D_{1}, \ldots, Y_{k}<-D D_{k}\right) \\
& =C_{\Sigma}^{G a}\left(\phi\left(-D D_{1}\right), \ldots, \phi\left(-D D_{k}\right), 1, \ldots, 1\right),
\end{aligned}
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## The multivariate KMV model (contd.)

The probability that the $k$ first firms default:
$P\left(X_{1}=1, X_{2}=1, \ldots, X_{k}=1\right)=P\left(Y_{1}<-D D_{1}, \ldots, Y_{k}<-D D_{k}\right)$
$=C_{\Sigma}^{G a}\left(\phi\left(-D D_{1}\right), \ldots, \phi\left(-D D_{k}\right), 1, \ldots, 1\right)$,
where $C_{\Sigma}^{G a}$ is the copula of a $n$-variate normal distribution with covariance matrix $\Sigma$.
Joint default frequency:
$J D F_{1,2, \ldots, k}=C_{\Sigma}^{G a}\left(E D F_{1}, E D F_{2}, \ldots, E D F_{k}, 1, \ldots, 1\right)$,
where $E D F_{i}$ is the default frequency for firm $i, i=1,2, \ldots, k$.
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## Estimation of covariances/correlations $\sigma_{A, i, j}$

Difficulties:

- The number $n$ of debtors is typically quite large
- relatively few historical data available
- if $n$ is large, then the pairwise estimated correlations coefficients do not build a positive correlation matrix, in general.

Possible approach:
Factor model for the latent variables in which the asset value of a company depends on certain common factors (macro-economical, global, regional, sector-based or country-based factors) and a company specific factor.
$Y=\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{n}\right)^{T}=A Z+B U$ where
$Z=\left(Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{k}\right)^{T} \sim N_{k}(0, \Lambda)$ are the $k$ common factors,
$U=\left(U_{1}, \ldots, U_{n}\right)^{T} \sim N_{n}(0, I)$ are the company specific factors such that $Z$ and $U$ are independent, and the constant matrices $A=\left(a_{i j}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$, $B=\operatorname{diag}\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ are model parameters.
Then we have $\operatorname{cov}(Y)=A \wedge A^{T}+D$ where $D=\operatorname{diag}\left(b_{1}^{2}, \ldots, b_{n}^{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$.
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## Migration based models: Credit Metrics

It was developed by J.P.Morgan, see also MSCI
(https://www.msci.com/)
It is primarily used fo the evaluation of bond portfolios (Siehe Crouhy et al. (2000)) and is based on a rating system (eg. Moody's or Standard and Poor's).
It considers the changes of the portfolio value due to changes on the corresponding rating categories of the assets.
Let $P$ be a portfolio consisting of $n$ credits with a fixed holding duration (eg. 1 year). Let $S_{i}$ be the status variable for debtor $i$, where the states are $0,1, \ldots, m$ and $S_{i}=0$ corresponds to default.
Example: Rating system of Standard and Poor's $m=7 ; S_{i}=0$ means default; $S_{i}=1$ or $C C C ; S_{i}=2$ or $B ; S_{i}=3$ or $B B$;
$S_{i}=4$ or $B B B ; S_{i}=5$ or $A ; S_{i}=6$ or $A A ; S_{i}=7$ or $A A A$.
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## Migration based models: Credit Metrics (contd.)

For each debtor the dynamics of the status variable is modelled by means of a Markov chain with status set $\{0,1, \ldots, m\}$ and transition matrix $P$. The transition probabilities are computed based on historical data: e.g.

| Original | state category at the end of the year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| state category | AAA | AA | A | BBB | BB | B | CCC | default |
| AAA | 90.81 | 8.33 | 0.68 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| AA | 0.70 | 90.65 | 7.79 | 0.64 | 0.06 | 0.14 | 0.02 | 0 |
| A | 0.09 | 2.27 | 91.05 | 5.52 | 0.74 | 0.26 | 0.01 | 0.06 |
| BBB | 0.02 | 0.33 | 5.95 | 86.93 | 5.30 | 1.17 | 0.12 | 0.18 |
| BB | 0.03 | 0.14 | 0.67 | 7.73 | 80.53 | 8.84 | 1.00 | 1.06 |
| B | 0 | 0.11 | 0.24 | 0.43 | 6.48 | 83.46 | 4.07 | 5.20 |
| CCC | 0.22 | 0 | 0.22 | 1.30 | 2.38 | 11.24 | 64.86 | 19.79 |

## Migration based models: Credit Metrics (contd.)

For each debtor the dynamics of the status variable is modelled by means of a Markov chain with status set $\{0,1, \ldots, m\}$ and transition matrix $P$. The transition probabilities are computed based on historical data: e.g.

| Original | state category at the end of the year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| state category | AAA | AA | A | BBB | BB | B | CCC | default |
| AAA | 90.81 | 8.33 | 0.68 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| AA | 0.70 | 90.65 | 7.79 | 0.64 | 0.06 | 0.14 | 0.02 | 0 |
| A | 0.09 | 2.27 | 91.05 | 5.52 | 0.74 | 0.26 | 0.01 | 0.06 |
| BBB | 0.02 | 0.33 | 5.95 | 86.93 | 5.30 | 1.17 | 0.12 | 0.18 |
| BB | 0.03 | 0.14 | 0.67 | 7.73 | 80.53 | 8.84 | 1.00 | 1.06 |
| B | 0 | 0.11 | 0.24 | 0.43 | 6.48 | 83.46 | 4.07 | 5.20 |
| CCC | 0.22 | 0 | 0.22 | 1.30 | 2.38 | 11.24 | 64.86 | 19.79 |

## Recovery rates

In case of default the recovery rate depends on the status category of the defaulting debtor (prior to default). The mean and the standard deviation of the recovery rate are computed based on the historical data observed over time within each state category.
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## Evaluation of bonds if the status category changes

Example: Consider a BBB bond with maturity 5 years, a nominal value of 100 units and a coupon of $6 \%$ each year.
The forward yield curves for each status category are given as follows (in \%):

| Status | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AAA | 3.60 | 4.17 | 4.73 | 5.12 |
| AA | 3.65 | 4.22 | 4.78 | 5.17 |
| A | 3.73 | 4.32 | 4.93 | 5.32 |
| BBB | 4.10 | 4.67 | 5.25 | 5.63 |
| BB | 6.05 | 7.02 | 8.03 | 8.52 |
| CCC | 15.05 | 15.02 | 14.03 | 13.52 |

The bond pays 6 units at the end of the 4 years 1, 2, 3, 4 and 106 unit at the end of year 5 .
Assumption: At the end of the first year the bond is rated as an $A$ bond.
The value at the end of the first year:
$V=6+\frac{6}{1+3,73 \%}+\frac{6}{(1+4,32 \%)^{2}}+\frac{6}{(1+4,93 \%)^{3}}+\frac{106}{(1+5,32 \%)^{4}}=108.64$
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## Example (contd.)

Analogous evaluation of the bond for other status category changes.
Assumption: recovery rate in case of default is $51.13 \%$.

| Status category at the end of the first year | value |
| :---: | :---: |
| AAA | 109.35 |
| AA | 109.17 |
| A | 108.64 |
| BBB | 107.53 |
| BB | 102.01 |
| B | 98.09 |
| CCC | 83.63 |
| Default | 51.13 |

## Evaluation of bonds if the status category changes (contd.)

## Example (contd.)

Analogous evaluation of the bond for other status category changes.
Assumption: recovery rate in case of default is $51.13 \%$.

| Status category at the end of the first year | value |
| :---: | :---: |
| AAA | 109.35 |
| AA | 109.17 |
| A | 108.64 |
| BBB | 107.53 |
| BB | 102.01 |
| B | 98.09 |
| CCC | 83.63 |
| Default | 51.13 |

Then use the transition probabilities of the Markov chain (estimated in terms of historical data) to compute the expected value of the bond at the end of the first year.
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Let $d_{\text {Def }}, d_{C C C}, \ldots, d_{A A A}=+\infty$ be thresholds which define the transitions probabilities of debtor $i$ at the end of the current period as follows:
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Let $d_{\text {Def }}, d_{C C C}, \ldots, d_{A A A}=+\infty$ be thresholds which define the transitions probabilities of debtor $i$ at the end of the current period as follows:
$P\left(S_{i}=0\right)=\phi\left(d_{D e f}\right), P\left(S_{i}=C C C\right)=\phi\left(d_{C C C}\right)-\phi\left(d_{D e f}\right), \ldots$,
$P\left(S_{i}=A A A\right)=1-\phi(A A)$.
These thresholds can be estimated in terms of historical data.
The return of a vector of bonds is modelled as a multivariate normal distribution with correlation matrix $R$ estimated by means of factor models.
Joint probabilities of status category changes, e.g.
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P\left(S_{1}=0, \ldots, S_{n}=3\right)=P\left(Y_{1} \leq d_{D e f}, \ldots, d_{B}<Y_{n} \leq d_{B B}\right)
$$

can be then computed by using the Gaussian copula $C_{n, R}^{G a}$ of $\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{n}\right)$.

## Value and risk of a bond portfolio in Credit Metrics

The dependencies between the status category changes of different bonds and the probabilities of simultaneous status category changes of bonds are modelled by means of the bond returns
The return of bond $i$ is modelled by a normal distribution $Y_{i}$.
Let $d_{\text {Def }}, d_{C C C}, \ldots, d_{A A A}=+\infty$ be thresholds which define the transitions probabilities of debtor $i$ at the end of the current period as follows:
$P\left(S_{i}=0\right)=\phi\left(d_{D e f}\right), P\left(S_{i}=C C C\right)=\phi\left(d_{C C C}\right)-\phi\left(d_{D e f}\right), \ldots$,
$P\left(S_{i}=A A A\right)=1-\phi(A A)$.
These thresholds can be estimated in terms of historical data.
The return of a vector of bonds is modelled as a multivariate normal distribution with correlation matrix $R$ estimated by means of factor models.
Joint probabilities of status category changes, e.g.

$$
P\left(S_{1}=0, \ldots, S_{n}=3\right)=P\left(Y_{1} \leq d_{D e f}, \ldots, d_{B}<Y_{n} \leq d_{B B}\right)
$$

can be then computed by using the Gaussian copula $C_{n, R}^{G a}$ of $\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{n}\right)$.
The risk measures ( $\mathrm{VaR}, \mathrm{CVaR}$ ) of the bond portfolio, can be computed by simulation.

